

It's a new year, looking back and looking forward

Conjunctival petechiae

Wearable cardiac monitors: Where do we stand?

Gastric intestinal metaplasia and gastric cancer prevention: Watchful waiting 2023 Update in ambulatory general internal medicine

When should we consider SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with acute decompensated heart failure?

(CME MOC)

Nonstatin therapy to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and improve cardiovascular outcomes

Consumer-grade wearable cardiac monitors: What they do well, and what needs work



CLEVELAND CLINIC JOURNAL OF MEDICINE

EDITORIAL STAFF

Brian F. Mandell, MD, PhD, Editor in Chief Pelin Batur, MD, Deputy Editor Craig Nielsen, MD, Deputy Editor Mary T. Cusick, MS, Executive Editor David A. Huddleston, Managing Editor Robert Litchkofski, Managing Editor Allison Siegel, MSSA, Senior Editor Concetta M. Caporuscio, Senior Editor Ross Papalardo, CMI, Medical Art Director Martin Porter, Administrative Program Coordinator

PUBLISHING OPERATIONS

Peter G. Studer, Executive Publisher Bruce M. Marich, Production Manager Iris Trivilino, Production Manager, Special Projects Laurie Weiss, Accountant (Billing)

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Alejandro C. Arroliga, MD Moises Auron, MD Daniel J. Brotman, MD Abhijit Duggal, MD Ruth M. Farrell, MD, MA Kathleen Franco, MD John Gaskill, DO Steven M. Gordon, MD Lauren Granat, DO Brian Griffin, MD Kristin Highland, MD David L. Keller, MD Jason Kirincich, MD Mandy C. Leonard, PharmD Angelo A. Licata, MD, PhD Atul C. Mehta, MD Christian Nasr, MD Caroline Olt, MD Robert M. Palmer, MD David D.K. Rolston, MD Gregory Rutecki, MD Bernard J. Silver, MD Tyler Stevens, MD Theodore Suh, MD, PhD, MHSc Nivaas Thanoo, MD Tom Kai Ming Wang, MBChB, MD Marc Williams, MD

CCJM-UK EDITION

Olaf Wendler, MD, PhD, FRCS, Chief Editor Heather Muirhead, MHA, Clinical Institute Education and Training Manager

EDITORS EMERITI

John D. Clough, MD Herbert P. Wiedemann, MD James S. Taylor, MD

CLEVELAND CLINIC

Tom Mihaljevic, MD President and Chief Executive Officer

CLEVELAND CLINIC EDUCATION INSTITUTE

James K. Stoller, MD, MS, Chairman Steven Kawczak, PhD, Senior Director, Professional Development and Knowledge Resources

ADVERTISING

Sima Sherman, Director of Sales and Marketing SHERMAN MEDICAL MARKETING GROUP 1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd., #2200, Philadelphia, PA 19103 (610) 529-0322 • sima@shermanmmg.com

SUBSCRIPTIONS

U.S. and possessions: Personal \$160; institutional \$188; single copy/back issue \$20

Foreign: \$205; single copy/back issue \$20

Institutional (multiple-reader rate) applies to libraries, schools, hospitals, and federal, commercial, and private institutions and organizations. Individual subscriptions must be in the names of, billed to, and paid by individuals.

Please make check payable to *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine* and mail to: Cleveland Clinic Education Foundation, P.O. Box 373291, Cleveland, OH 44193-3291. To purchase a subscription with a credit card, please visit www.ccjm.org.

REPRINTS

(610) 529-0322 • sima@shermanmmg.com

PHOTOCOPYING

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use is granted by *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine* (ISSN 0891-1150 (print), ISSN 1939-2869 [online]), published by Cleveland Clinic, provided that the appropriate fee is paid directly to Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA (978) 750-8400. Prior to photocopying items for educational classroom use, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., at the address above. For permission to reprint material, please fax your request with complete information to the Republication department at CCC, fax (978) 750-4470. For further information visit CCC online at www.copyright.com. To order bulk reprints, see above.

CHANGE OF ADDRESS

To report a change of address, send a recent mailing label along with new information to:

AMA, Data Verification Unit, 330 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 39300, Chicago, IL 60611-5885 • Phone (800) 621-8335 • Fax (312) 464-4880 • dpprodjira@ama-assn.org

Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine uses the AMA database of physician names and addresses. The database includes all US physicians and not just AMA members. Only the AMA can update changes of address and other data.

SUBSCRIPTIONS, EDITORIAL, BILLING, AND PRODUCTION

9500 Euclid Avenue, JJ44, Cleveland, OH 44195 • Phone (216) 444-2661 • Fax (216) 444-9385 • ccjm@ccf.org • www.ccjm.org

DISCLAIMER

Statements and opinions expressed in the *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine* are those of the authors and not necessarily of Cleveland Clinic or its Board of Trustees.

Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine [ISSN 0891-1150 (print), ISSN 1939-2869 (online)] is published monthly by Cleveland Clinic at 9500 Euclid Avenue, JJ44, Cleveland, OH 44195.

COPYRIGHT© 2024 THE CLEVELAND CLINIC FOUNDATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. PRINTED IN U.S.A.





CME CALENDAR

2024

JANUARY

MULTISPECIALTY PATHOLOGY SYMPOSIUM January 26–28 Las Vegas, NV

BEST OF SAN ANTONIO BREAST CANCER SYMPOSIUM January 27 Hollywood, FL

FEBRUARY

WOMEN AND HEART DISEASE: UNIQUE RISKS, RECOGNITION AND MANAGEMENT February 2 Live stream

BASIC AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY FOR THE BUSY CLINICIAN February 17–18 Scottsdale, AZ

ADVANCES IN CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE SUMMIT February 22–24 Lake Buena Vista, FL

MARCH

VALVE DISEASE, STRUCTURAL INTERVENTIONS, AND DIASTOLOGY/IMAGING SUMMIT March 7–10 Miami Beach, FL

PAIN MANAGEMENT SYMPOSIUM March 9–13 San Antonio, TX

APRIL

THYROID SUMMIT 2024: ADVANCES IN THYROIDOLOGY April 11 Cleveland, OH

CLEVELAND CLINIC NEPHROLOGY UPDATE April 18–20 Cleveland, OH

MAY

DIABETES DAY May 2 Cleveland, OH

CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND MODIFIABLE CARDIOMETABOLIC RISK FACTORS: CURRENT AND EMERGING THERAPIES May 3 National Harbor, MD

CLEVELAND CLINIC ULTRASOUND COURSE: INTEGRATING POCUS INTO YOUR PRACTICE May 8–11 Cleveland, OH

MEDICAL DERMATOLOGY THERAPY UPDATE May 29–31 Cleveland, OH

JUNE

SHAPING THE MANAGEMENT OF PARKINSON DISEASE June 8–9 Bonita Springs, FL

INTENSIVE REVIEW OF INTERNAL MEDICINE June 10–14 Live stream INTERNAL MEDICINE UPDATES AND BOARD REVIEW: CERTIFICATION, RECERTIFICATION AND MOC PREPARATION June 17–21 Live stream

INNOVATIONS IN CEREBROVASCULAR CARE June 18–19 Cleveland, OH

AUGUST

STATE-OF-THE-ART TOPICS IN THE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE August 2–4 Cleveland, OH

NOVEMBER

ADVANCING CARDIOVASCULAR CARE November 8 Columbus, OH

DIMENSIONS IN CARDIAC CARE November 10–12 Cleveland, OH

DECEMBER

MASTERING THE MITRAL VALVE December 6–7 New York, NY

2025

JANUARY

PULMONARY HYPERTENSION SUMMIT January 16–17 Hollywood, FL

FOR SCHEDULE UPDATES AND TO REGISTER, VISIT: WWW.CCFCME.ORG/LIVE



Visit WWW.CCJM.ORG Test your knowledge of clinical topics





TABLE OF CONTENTS

FROM THE EDITOR

It's a new year, looking back and looking forward

15

20

23

31

Reflections on highlights from 2023 and impending changes in 2024, along with some acknowledgments and farewells.

Brian F. Mandell, MD, PhD

Conjunctival petechiae in infective endocarditis

THE CLINICAL PICTURE.....

A 75-year-old-man presented with a 33-day history of intermittent nocturnal fevers of 39°C (102°F) and truncal rashes. The rashes appeared on the same day as the fever.

Akinori Sekizawa, MD; Ayaka Nagano, MD; Kenichi Hashimoto, MD, PhD; Yosuke Ono, MD, PhD

REVIEW

Consumer-grade wearable cardiac monitors: What they do well, and what needs work

The authors review common consumer-grade wearables, including the accuracy of these monitors compared with medical-grade devices, and present an approach to managing rate or rhythm abnormalities identified on at-home monitoring.

Abdilahi Mohamoud, MBBS; Joseph Jensen, MD; Kevin G. Buda, DO

Wearable cardiac monitors: Where do we stand?

Wearable monitors perform well as screening tools for atrial fibrillation, but questions remain: Can they help with stroke prevention, what is their role in patients with known atrial fibrillation, and how do we streamline interpretation?

EDITORIAL

Jakub Sroubek, MD, PhD

CONTINUED ON PAGE 14

Upcoming Features

- Pancreatic cysts: A review for non-gastroenterologists
- Management of venous thromboembolism in patients with active cancer



CONTINUED FROM PAGE 7

Gastric intestinal metaplasia and gastric cancer prevention: Watchful waiting

Malignancy develops in only a small minority of patients with gastric intestinal metaplasia. Recognition of clinical, endoscopic, and histologic features linked with cancer development is critical to identifying patients who require endoscopic surveillance.

REVIEW

33

40

64

.....

Shrouq Khazaaleh, MD; Mohammad Alomari, MD; Mamoon Ur Rashid, MD; Daniel Castaneda, MD; Fernando J. Castro, MD

2023 Update in ambulatory general internal medicine

REVIEW.....

Topics reviewed include prevention of chronic kidney disease progression, diet for preventing secondary cardiovascular disease, prevention of kidney-stone recurrence, drug therapy for weight loss, and cholesterol management.

Jason T. Alexander, MD; Simran K. Singh, MD; Sachin D. Shah, MD; Brianna Lambert, MD; Jeremy P. Smith, MD

1-MINUTE CONSULT

When should we consider SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with acute 47 decompensated heart failure?

Evidence from clinical trials supports starting these medications as early as possible in patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure who do not have clear contraindications to them.

Osamah Z. Badwan, MD; Lorenzo Braghieri, MD; Warren Skoza, MD; Ankit Agrawal, MD; Venu Menon, MD; W. H. Wilson Tang, MD

CURRENT DRUG THERAPY CME MOG Nonstatin therapy to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol 53 and improve cardiovascular outcomes 53 Several new nonstatin medications have been approved in recent years, with robust data from clinical trials supporting their use in atherosclerotic disease. 53 Abhayjit Singh, MD; Leslie S. Cho, MD LETTER TO THE EDITOR. 19 Pelin Batur, MD, FACP, MSCP DEPARTMENTS 6

CME/MOC Instructions

It's a new year, looking back and looking forward

2023 on the world stage was not exactly an uplifting Oscar winner. But within the microcosm of medicine, we have at least for the moment weathered the worst of COVID-19.

The packed ICU hallways with crumpled blue masks overflowing from trash cans and trailer morgues in our hospital parking lots are memories. And we celebrated key professional accomplishments, recognizing Drs. Katalin Karikó and Drew Weissman with the Nobel prize in medicine and physiology for their research that contributed to the creation and delivery of the successful RNA vaccines that played a major role in "flattening the curve" of the pandemic.

And in what may be the denouement to a long chain of increasingly sophisticated molecular studies devoted to understanding and treating sickle cell anemia, arguably beginning with the work of Linus Pauling and colleagues in 1949, the US Food and Drug Administration approved 2 gene therapies as potential cures of the disease. However, there is the yang to the yin. Vaccine hesitancy in the United States has grown, and these therapies are prohibitively expensive, are a physical challenge to tolerate, and require technical expertise and resources that are available in very few medical centers. Delivering the new gene therapies to the hundreds of thousands of people with sickle cell disease worldwide will be impossible.

We continue to face challenges in our healthcare system that impede widespread implementation of other available life-prolonging medical treatments that are less technology-dependent. Consider the practical cost impediments to disseminating some very effective therapies discussed by Alexander et al,¹ Badwan et al,² and Singh and Cho³ in this issue of *The Journal*. These challenges are extremely difficult to overcome for patients who are socioeconomically disadvantaged, further widening the inequity of medical care across the globe, including demographic groups in the United States. Societal evolution seemingly takes longer than scientific evolution.

Moving from these global challenges to topics much closer to home, with the march of time into 2024 we have several impending changes of note at *The Journal*. Pelin Batur, 1 of our 2 physician deputy editors (Craig Nielsen is the other), is stepping down to devote more time to pursue her other clinical and educational interests. She will be the physician lead on a new project to expand midlife women's services throughout the Cleveland Clinic and aligned communities, with a special focus on optimizing connectivity between clinicians in the various specialties providing women's healthcare. As ongoing Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, Pelin will continue her clinical practice within women's health, national lecturing, and writing. She is developing a new patient-centered educational program that includes shared medical appointments focusing on menopause. This will allow patients to have 90 minutes to discuss and really digest background information and their many options. This program represents a wonderful alternative to patients simply searching "Dr. Google" to order testimonial-based supplements online, and an anticipated effective adjunct to the time patients spend with their physicians at annual "wellness visits," which is usually insufficient to permit meaningful dialogue. The women's health community's gain is *The Journal's* loss. Pelin has managed our Guidelines to Practice series, has personally shepherded the acquisition and editing of women's health (and many other) articles, and has been a superbly talented peer reviewer with a keen eye for identifying ways to enhance the educational value of all of the manuscripts she reviewed.

In another key transition, Dave Huddleston, our current Managing Editor, will be retiring from *The Journal*. He will be pursuing several personal interests, including continuing his musical career as an established guitarist and vocalist in the Cleveland area. Dave joined *The Journal* as Managing Editor in 1991 after more than a decade as a proofreader, general assignment reporter, and medical news magazine writer/editor. He left in 1995 for a 2-year stint in the United States Peace Corps, and he rejoined us in 1997 as Technical Writer and Editor, and then reassumed the role of Managing Editor. Dave has been an editorial rock throughout my 20 years as Editor in Chief. Dave initially worked with former long-time CCJM editors Phil Canuto and Ray Borazanian, and the 3 of them completely transformed the stylistic presentation of our articles into a consistently readable and accessible format. Dave's steady editorial hand and penchant for consistency have been evident in every piece he has touched, including my own commentaries and clinical publications, which (with I am sure a fair amount of frustration over my use of parenthetical comments) he has patiently "Englishized." Dave, thank you.

Finally, but not at all insignificantly, we welcome Robert Litchkofski as our new Managing Editor. Bob has spent more than 25 years editing peer-reviewed medical journals in both print and online formats, including the *Journal of Hospital Medicine*. He has extensive experience editing medical education materials for physicians preparing for board certification and recertification, attributes all useful in his new role with CCJM.

Brean Nandel

Brian F. Mandell, MD, PhD Editor in Chief

- 1. Alexander JT, Singh SK, Shah SD, Lambert B, Smith JP. 2023 Update in ambulatory general internal medicine. Clev Clin J Med 2024; 91(1):40–46. doi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23056
- Badwan OZ, Braghieri L, Skoza W, Agrawal A, Menon V, Tang WHW. When should we consider SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with acute decompensated heart failure? Clev Clin J Med 2024; 91(1):47–51. doi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23034
- Singh A, Cho LS. Nonstatin therapy to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and improve cardiovascular outcomes. Clev Clin J Med 2024; 91(1):53–63. doi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23058



Pelin Batur, MD, FACP, MSCP



Dave Huddleston

Cleveland Clinic In cooperation with



Valve Disease, Structural Interventions, and **Diastology/Imaging Summit** March 7-10, 2024 Fontainebleau Miami Beach | Miami, FL



Join us for a unique summit that will focus on mitral, aortic and tricuspid valve diseases as well as the latest advances in structural interventions including TAVR, percutaneous edge to edge repair, valve-in-valve procedures and LAA occluder devices, as well as artificial intelligence.

This activity has been approved for AMA PRA Category 1 Credit™, ANCC Contact Hours, AAPA Category I CME Credits, and ABIM MOC Points.

Register Today! ccfcme.org/ECHO



BEYOND THE PAGES: Cleve Clin J Med Podcast

"Beyond the Pages: Cleve Clin J Med Podcast" takes you in depth into Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine articles. Through moderated interviews with the authors and article reviews by experts in the field, clinicians can have an even better understanding of clinical breakthroughs that are changing the practice of medicine and how to practically apply them in patient care.

Listen today! www.ccfcme.org/CCJMpodcast



This activity has been approved for AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™].

Late complications after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant

I read with interest the excellent article by Granat et al¹ on long-term management after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant. As the authors noted, most patients will experience premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) after treatment. Premature (before age 40) and early (before 45) loss of estrogen are associated with multiple negative consequences, including adverse cardiovascular, neurologic, mortality, bone, quality-of-life, and sexual-health outcomes.² There is agreement that POI management should include menopausal hormone therapy (MHT) at higher doses to correct the physiologic deficiency until at least the age of natural menopause (age 51 or 52).² The notable exceptions are the small percentage of women who would require antiestrogen therapies to treat their condition. Yet in clinical practice young individuals are frequently asked to discontinue MHT based on misinterpretation of risks that apply to women who start hormones in their 60s or later. Thus, clarification of MHT risks is critical to the care of young cancer survivors with POI.

The authors caution about endometrial cancer risk with MHT, but this is only a concern when estrogen is used unopposed (without adequate progestin) in individuals with a uterus. Appropriately dosed MHT has been associated with a neutral to decreased risk of endometrial cancer and hyperplasia.^{3–5} To ensure endometrial safety, progestin should be offered for no less than 12 days of each month, at a dose to match the higher estrogen doses typically required to reach physiologic premenopausal ranges.

The authors also note the importance of assessing fracture risk and discuss treatment options such as bisphosphonates. MHT has been associated with preservation of bone density and fracture reduction at all sites (including the hip). In contrast to other osteoporosis therapies mentioned in the article, MHT has not been associated with risks of long-term suppression of bone turnover, such as osteonecrosis of the jaw or atypical femoral hip fractures. Given that women under age 50 have relatively lower fracture risk, MHT is an important option to postpone the need for other bone agents in those with POI, thereby limiting the duration of exposure and the rare risks of long-term bone suppression. Women who have undergone hematopoietic cell transplant and who suffer from POI should be reassured that MHT at physiologic dosing offers a favorable risk-benefit ratio, including protection from bone loss, without increased risk of endometrial cancer when correct formulations are chosen.

> Pelin Batur, MD, FACP, MSCP Professor of Ob/Gyn and Reproductive Biology Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

REFERENCES

- Granat LM, Ondeck M, Osantowski B, et al. Late complications after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant: What primary care physicians can do. Cleve Clin J Med 2023; 90(8):499–508. doi:10.3949/ccjm.90a.23006
- Kapoor E. Premature ovarian insufficiency. Curr Opin Endocr Metab Res 2023; 28:100435. doi:10.1016/j.coemr.2023.100435
- Furness S, Roberts H, Marjoribanks J, Lethaby A. Hormone therapy in postmenopausal women and risk of endometrial hyperplasia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012; (8):CD000402. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000402.pub4
- Brinton LA, Felix AS. Menopausal hormone therapy and risk of endometrial cancer. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2014; 142:83–89. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2013.05.001
- Tempfer CB, Hilal Z, Kern P, Juhasz-Boess I, Rezniczek GA. Menopausal hormone therapy and risk of endometrial cancer: a systematic review. Cancers (Basel). 2020; 12(8):2195. doi:10.3390/cancers12082195

doi:10.3949/ccjm.91c.01001

THE CLINICAL PICTURE

Akinori Sekizawa, MD Department of General Medicine, National Defense Medical College, Saitama, Japan Ayaka Nagano, MD Department of Cardiology, National Defense Medical College, Saitama. Japan Kenichi Hashimoto, MD, PhD Department of General Medicine,

Department of General Medicine, I National Defense Medical College, I Saitama, Japan

Yosuke Ono, MD, PhD Department of General Medicine, National Defense Medical College, Saitama, Japan

Conjunctival petechiae in infective endocarditis



Figure 1. Petechial hemorrhage in the palpebral conjunctiva of the right lower eyelid.

А 75 -YEAR-OLD-MAN presented with a 33-day history of intermittent nocturnal fevers of 39°С (102°F) and truncal rashes. He had a history of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, hyperlipidemia, and benign prostatic hyperplasia. He had been taking only the alpha-1 blocker naftopidil for benign prostatic hypertrophy.

The fevers initially persisted, but temporarily resolved after the patient took clarithromycin 200 mg twice daily for 4 days early after fever onset. He finished taking the clarithromycin 7 days after fever onset. The fevers recurred the day after he finished the clarithromycin prescription and became sustained, even with acetaminophen. Acetaminophen 600 mg daily was prescribed on day 20, and, because of insufficient This work was supported by the Japan Medical Education Foundation (https://www.jmef.or.jp/). The funders had no role in the preparation of the manuscript or the decision to publish.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23041



Figure 2. Irregular macular erythema distributed on the patient's abdomen.

antipyretic effect, the dose was increased to 1,200 mg daily, which was taken on day 30.

The truncal rashes were thumbprint-sized with pale-pink margins and without scales, pain, or itching. They appeared on the same day as the fever, and persisted for the entire period, even during the patient's afebrile periods.

The physical examination was notable for petechial hemorrhage on the right palpebral conjunctiva (Figure 1) and erythematous macules distributed over the abdomen to lower back (Figure 2). No cardiac murmur, lymphadenopathy, mucosal ulcers, or lesions on the arms or legs were observed. There were no notable findings on the fingers or nails suggestive of infectious endocarditis, including Osler nodes, Janeway lesions, and splinter hemorrhages. The patient's oral hygiene was poor. He had 4 teeth, all with associated gum inflammation.

Results of laboratory testing were as follows:

- White blood cell count 6.7 × 10⁹/L (reference range 3.3–8.6), with 79% neutrophils, 14.8% lymphocytes, 5.8% monocytes, and 0.1% eosinophils
- Hemoglobin 12.4 g/dL (13.7–16.8)
- Platelet count $158 \times 10^{9}/L$ (158–348)
- Lactate dehydrogenase 218 U/L (100–225)
- Blood urea nitrogen 17 mg/dL (8–20)
- Creatinine 0.91 mg/dL (0.61–1.13; patient's baseline level was 0.72–0.81 mg/dL)
- Brain natriuretic peptide 77.8 pg/mL (< 18.4)
- Ferritin 555.7 ng/mL (23–250)
- Erythrocyte sedimentation rate 79 mm/h (1–7)
- C-reactive protein 5.4 mg/dL (< 0.3)
- Procalcitonin 0.23 ng/mL (< 0.05).

Testing for rheumatoid factor, antinuclear antibodies, and antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies was negative. Urinalysis revealed mild proteinuria (1+) and microscopic hematuria (30–49 blood cells per high-power field). No white cell or blood cell casts were observed. Three sets of blood cultures were positive for *Streptococcus mitis*, an oral bacterium.

Transesophageal echocardiography revealed a vegetation 9 mm by 2 mm on the right coronary cusp of the aortic valve, diagnosed as left-sided infective endocarditis. Contrast-enhanced brain magnetic res-

REFERENCES

- Lasczkowski G, Risse M, Gamerdinger U, Weiler G. Pathogenesis of conjunctival petechiae. Forensic Sci Int 2005; 147(1):25–29. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.03.034
- Hoen B, Duval X. Clinical practice. Infective endocarditis [published correction appears in N Engl J Med 2013; 368(26):2536]. N Engl J Med 2013; 368(15):1425–1433. doi:10.1056/NEJMcp1206782
- 3. Sokumbi O, Wetter DA. Clinical features, diagnosis, and treatment of

onance imaging revealed 3 mycotic aneurysms and multiple cerebral microhemorrhages.

The patient received intravenous penicillin G and underwent extraction of the teeth, and the rashes and petechial hemorrhage completely disappeared within 20 days.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF CONJUNCTIVAL PETECHIAE AND ERYTHEMA MULTIFORME

Conjunctival petechiae can be caused by increased venous or capillary pressure in the head and neck, complete venous blockage, or capillary-wall damage.¹ Conjunctival petechiae are observed in situations such as homicidal asphyxia, head injury, asthma attack, epileptic seizure, post partum (after normal delivery), coughing, sneezing, vomiting, and the Valsalva maneuver.¹ The initial differential diagnosis of the conjunctival petechiae in our patient included septic microemboli, adenovirus infection, and vasculitis. Conjunctival petechiae are an uncommon sign of infective endocarditis, with a reported prevalence of 5%.²

Erythema multiforme is associated with various infections and drugs.³ A rare case of erythema multiforme associated with alpha-hemolytic streptococci was reported.⁴ Infection with S *mitis* or its proteins may induce the release of cytokines that lead to epidermal tissue damage and may explain our patient's truncal rash.

DISCLOSURES

The authors report no relevant financial relationships which, in the context of their contributions, could be perceived as a potential conflict of interest.

erythema multiforme: a review for the practicing dermatologist. Int J Dermatol 2012; 51(8):889–902. doi:10.1111/j.1365-4632.2011.05348.x

 Chebbi W, Berriche O. Polymorphic erythema secondary to alpha hemolytic streptococcus infection in Behcet disease. Pan Afr Med J 2014; 18:211. French. doi:10.11604/pamj.2014.18.211.4891

Address: Yosuke Ono, MD, PhD, Department of General Medicine, National Defense Medical College, 3-2 Namiki, Tokorozawa, Saitama 359-8513, Japan; onoyousuke1979@yahoo.co.jp



Cleveland Clinic Ultrasound Course: Integrating POCUS into Your Practice

May 8-11, 2024

Cleveland Clinic Simulation and Advanced Skills Center | Cleveland, OH

Why Attend?

The use of ultrasound to diagnose and guide procedures is growing rapidly and you don't want to be left behind. This growth is primarily fueled by data indicating that ultrasound can improve the success rate of various procedures while decreasing complications. This course will provide you with current state-of-the-art techniques for diagnosis and guiding procedures. The skills you learn by attending this course can easily be incorporated into your current practice.

Choose from 1, 2, 3 or all 4 specialized sessions!				
WEDNESDAY: Building a Point-of-Care Ultrasound Program	THURSDAY: Diagnostic POCUS Day, "Make the Diagnosis – Core Skills for POCUS Image Acquisition"	FRIDAY: Procedural POCUS Day, "Procedural Wins - Core Skills for Procedural POCUS Expertise"	SATURDAY: Hands-on Simulation Workshop Day	
Learn directly from POCUS experts as they engage in lively lectures and roundtable discussions. Machine Choice, IT Configuration, and EMR Workflows POCUS Training Quality Improvement and Credentialing & Privileging Billing & Coding and AI & Future Directions The Wednesday session is also available via Live Stream!	 Connect with instructors during learner-centered didactics and hands-on workshops! Probes and Planes (Not Airplanes!) and Artifacts and Beams (Not Lasers!) with Scan Sessions to follow Morning Session: "Pump and Pipes" Afternoon Session: "Evaluating the Tank" Thursday ends with an incredible opportunity to put it all together during the hands-on "Intro to Diagnosing Shock with POCUS Simulation"! 	Work side-by-side with expert facilitators during interactive lectures and hands-on workshops! Basics of Procedural Ultrasound: Pt prep, Equipment, and Technique Lecture & Workshop Paracentesis, Thoracentesis, and Soft Tissue Aspirations Lecture & Workshop Peripheral and Central Venous Access Lecture & Workshop Wrap up Friday with the fantastic chance to put it all together during the hands-on "Putting your Procedural Ultrasound Skills into Practice: Case Based Simulation"!	Take a DEEP DIVE into BOTH Hands-on Simulation Workshops! Space is limited! "RUSH" into your Practice: Assessing Critically III Patients in Shock Advanced Assessment of the Rapidly Decompensating Patient	

Who Should Attend

This activity is designed for physicians, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, nurses, fellows, and residents in emergency medicine, internal medicine, hospital medicine, critical care, cardiology, anesthesiology, pulmonology, and radiology.

This activity is approved for AMA PRA Category 1 Credit™, ANCC Contact Hours, AAPA Category 1 CME Credits, American Board of Anesthesiology and American Board of Internal Medicine MOC, and ABMS Lifelong Learning CME Activity (Family Medicine and Radiology).

Register Today! ccfcme.org/GoUltra

Abdilahi Mohamoud, MBBS Department of Internal Medicine, Hennepin County Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN Joseph Jensen, MD

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Hennepin County Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN; Minneapolis Heart Institute at Abbott Northwestern Hospital, Minneapolis, MN Kevin G. Buda, DO Department of Internal Medicine, Division

of Cardiology, Hennepin County Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN; Minneapolis Heart Institute at Abbott Northwestern Hospital, Minneapolis, MN

Consumer-grade wearable cardiac monitors: What they do well, and what needs work

ABSTRACT

Consumer-grade smart devices, including smartwatches and smartphones, are potentially valuable tools in detecting cardiac arrhythmias, particularly atrial fibrillation, and their use is increasing. These devices, which use photoplethysmography, show remarkably high sensitivity and specificity for detection of atrial fibrillation, with implications for stroke prevention and management in at-risk patients. The ability of the devices to detect atrial fibrillation is being compared with single-lead electrocardiography. Physicians will increasingly be asked to interpret data from these nonmedical-grade devices as they become more common. Limitations include high false-positive rates in certain populations and disparities in access.

KEY POINTS

Familiarity with the available devices and the data they generate will enhance patient care.

Many consumer devices have been validated against gold-standard medical-grade devices and have shown high sensitivity and specificity for heart rate and detection of atrial fibrillation.

There is a large gap between consumer-grade and medical-grade devices for detecting more complex arrhythmias. TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES in consumergrade wearable devices have increased the opportunity to diagnose and manage cardiac arrhythmias, especially atrial fibrillation. Devices that provide remote and long-term cardiac monitoring, such as smartphones, smartwatches, and handheld electrocardiography (ECG) devices, allow us to monitor high-risk patients outside the hospital.

See related editorial, page 31

As consumer wearables become more user-friendly, less costly, and more widely available, patients will expect physicians to be familiar with data generated from their devices.¹ Therefore, knowledge of the available devices and their reliability compared with medical-grade devices will become increasingly important.

This article reviews common consumergrade wearables, their accuracy compared with standard medical-grade devices, and our approach to patients with rate or rhythm abnormalities identified on at-home monitoring.

ATRIAL FIBRILLATION: A SIGNIFICANT RISK FACTOR

By 2030, an estimated 2.6 million people in the United States will have atrial fibrillation.² Often asymptomatic, atrial fibrillation may remain undetected until a thromboembolic event such as an ischemic stroke occurs. Approximately 25% of patients with transient

doi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23030

TABLE 1

Consumer-grade 'smart devices' for detecting cardiac arrhythmias

Device	CE and FDA clearance	Validation	PPG monitoring frequency	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %
FibriCheck ⁶ smartphone camera app	Atrial fibrillation	Validated vs standard 12-lead ECG	Not applicable	95.6	96.6
KardiaMobile ¹⁰ ECG monitor	Single-lead and 6-lead ECG to detect bradycardia, tachycardia, and atrial fibrillation	Validated vs standard 12-lead ECG	Not applicable	96.6	94.1
Apple Watch Series 6 ⁹	Irregular heart rhythm notification and ECG monitoring	Validated vs standard 12-lead ECG ^a	Intermittent (every 5 minutes)	85	75
Garmin smartwatch ⁸	Garmin Venu 2 Plus model with ECG capability	Garmin Forerunner 945 model validated vs Holter monitoring	Continuous	96.9	99.3
Samsung smartwatch ⁷	ECG capability	Active 2 model validated vs BioTech ECG patch	Intermittent or continuous (user defined)	96.9	99.3
Fitbit ⁹	Detecting atrial fibrillation, with ECG capability	Fitbit Sense model validated vs standard 12-lead ECG ^a	Continuous in some models (eg, Fitbit Charge 5)	66	79
Withings ScanWatch ⁹	Detecting atrial fibrillation using ECG functionality and measuring blood oxygen saturation	Validated vs standard 12-lead ECG ^a	Intermittent (every 10 minutes)	58	75

^aThe BASEL Wearable Study (reference 9) also validated Samsung Galaxy Watch 3 and KardiaMobile against standard 12-lead ECG and demonstrated closely comparable sensitivity and specificity to the Apple Watch, Fitbit, and Withings ScanWatch.

CE = Conformité Européenne; ECG = electrocardiography; FDA = US Food and Drug Administration; PPG = photoplethysmography

ischemic attack or stroke are found to have atrial fibrillation, diagnosed only after the event.³ In more than 25% of strokes, the stroke itself is the initial manifestation of atrial fibrillation.⁴ Even subclinical atrial fibrillation is a significant risk factor. A recent meta-analysis found a 2.4-fold increase in annual stroke risk (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.8–3.3, P < .001) in patients with subclinical atrial fibrillation compared to those without.⁵ Therefore, early recognition is critical.

Consumer wearables were validated primarily for detection of atrial fibrillation because of the ease of identifying irregular intervals. Most smartphones, smartwatches, and handheld single-lead ECG consumer devices use photoplethysmography (PPG) sensor technology, which measures changes in blood flow based on the intensity of reflected light. This produces pulse intervals known as tachograms, with the "peakto-peak" interval representing the R-R interval, or the interval from 1 QRS complex to the next. Devicedependent algorithms can therefore be used to detect irregular rhythms based on variation in pulse intervals. **Table 1** summarizes the available devices with their regulatory clearance and validation.^{6–10}

CONSUMER-GRADE VS MEDICAL-GRADE DEVICES: VALIDATION COMPARISONS

Overall, the sensitivity of smart devices for atrial fibrillation detection is remarkably high. A recent meta-analysis found that smartphones detected atrial fibrillation with a sensitivity of 94% and a specificity of 96%, and there was no difference in atrial fibrillation detection between devices that use PPG and single-lead ECG.¹¹ Another meta-analysis showed that smartwatches were noninferior to medical-grade devices for detecting atrial fibrillation.¹²

Camera applications

Using smartphone camera applications to detect atrial fibrillation is convenient, as it is easily accessible and requires no additional hardware. FibriCheck is the only smartphone-based application with US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) clearance for rhythm monitoring.⁶ It uses the light-emitting diode smartphone flash reflected from the finger (the index finger is placed on the smartphone's camera) or from facial video recordings. A validation study that compared the FibriCheck atrial fibrillation algorithm with a standard 12-lead ECG found that the application's sensitivity and specificity for atrial fibrillation detection were 95.6% and 96.6%, respectively.⁶

A meta-analysis of 3,852 participants found that smartphone camera applications for diagnosing atrial fibrillation (Cardiio Rhythm Mobile, PULSE-SMART, FibriCheck, and Preventicus) were highly successful in detecting atrial fibrillation (combined sensitivity 94.2%, specificity 95.8%). The negative predictive value was high (99.8%) in all analyses, but the positive predictive value was very low (19.3%– 37.5%) in asymptomatic individuals age 65 or older.¹³

Smartphone-paired devices

Handheld ECG devices are comparable in ease of use with the standard single-lead devices such as Zio patch but have the benefit of real-time monitoring. However, data from the Zio patch can be seen only after it is mailed in.

KardiaMobile is a small, portable handheld ECG device that can provide a 30-second single-lead ECG. The user places 1 finger of each hand on the electrodes and the device wirelessly transmits the ECG to a connected smartphone.^{14,15} It has multiple forms, including a small handheld device, phone case, watchband, and card. KardiaMobile 6L has the ability to record all 6 limb leads. A single-center study examined whether KardiaBand could accurately detect atrial fibrillation. When blinded electrophysiologists compared the KardiaBand ECGs with standard 12-lead ECGs, the sensitivity and specificity of KardiaBand ECG recordings for detecting atrial fibrillation were 93% and 84%, respectively, with a K coefficient of 0.77.14 In a similar study, patients with KardiaMobile were instructed to record their ECG 3 times daily or if they had palpitations. The KardiaMobile detection rate was superior to 24-hour ECG monitoring (9.5% vs 2.0%, respectively).¹⁵ Monitoring with KardiaMobile also seemed to increase atrial fibrillation detection. The REHEARSE-AF study (Assessment of Remote Heart Rhythm Sampling Using the AliveCor Heart Monitor to Screen for Atrial Fibrillation)¹⁶ randomized 1,001 participants over age 65 with no history of atrial fibrillation to standard care vs twice-weekly monitoring with AliveCor Kardia. Atrial fibrillation was noted in 3.8% of patients in the handheld ECG arm compared with less than 1% in the standard-care arm.¹⁶

Smartwatches

The Apple Watch uses PPG technology to periodically measure heart rate and rhythm over 1-minute intervals while the user is stationary. It can also continuously monitor every 6 seconds during workout mode. Earlier models of the Apple Watch (Series 1 to 3) had only PPG technology. Newer models have incorporated single-lead 30-second ECG, which can be recorded on demand through electrodes on the back of the watch and the watch crown. Of note, the International Trade Commission recently ruled that most Apple Watch models contain technology that infringes on patents held by Masimo Corporation. A cease-and-desist order on sales of the Apple Watch is scheduled to take effect December 26, 2023.¹⁷

Similarly, Garmin watches also use PPG technology. The Garmin Venu 2 Plus has ECG capability and FDA clearance for detecting arrhythmias. The Samsung smartwatches, including the Galaxy Watch 3 and Galaxy Watch Active 2, and the Withings ScanWatch have PPG and ECG technology.

The growth of the smartwatch market makes it easier to conduct studies with large sample sizes.¹⁸ The Apple Heart Study¹⁹ recruited 419,297 participants without atrial fibrillation over 8 months. Participants who received a notification of irregular pulse through their smartwatch would get a telemedicine visit and have an ECG patch mailed to them to monitor for up to 7 days. More than 2,000 participants (0.52%) had irregular pulse notifications; 450 returned their ECG patches with analyzable data. The positive predictive value for irregular pulse notifications for atrial fibrillation was 84% (95% CI 0.76–0.92).¹⁹

The Fitbit device, with 37 million active users as of 2022,²⁰ is a wrist-worn device used primarily as a fitness tracker, but it is also equipped with PPG technology. Fitbit models such as Fitbit Sense and Charge 5 can also record a single-lead ECG. The Fitbit Heart Study²¹ is a large prospective remote clinical trial that enrolled Fitbit users. Compared with the Apple Heart Study,¹⁹ it showed a better positive predictive value at 98.2% (95% CI 95.5%–99.5%).

While the Apple Heart Study used smartwatch PPG technology, it only monitored 1-minute intervals every 2 hours.¹⁹ Other studies assessed the ability of smartwatches using continuous PPG monitoring to detect atrial fibrillation and quantify atrial fibrillation burden

in a daily-living setting. In Avram et al,⁷ when the Samsung Galaxy Watch Active 2 was compared with a 28-day Holter monitor, it was found to have moderate ability to detect atrial fibrillation with PPG (sensitivity 87.8%, specificity 97.4%). Sensitivity improved to 96.9% and specificity improved to 99.3% with the addition of on-demand ECG for rhythm confirmation.⁷ In another study, the Garmin smartwatch also had high sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value for atrial fibrillation detection.⁸

Mannhart et al⁹ assessed the accuracy of 5 wearable smart devices in detecting atrial fibrillation and found that the sensitivity and specificity for atrial fibrillation detection were comparable between devices. A manual review was required in about one-fourth of the cases due to inconclusive tracings.

CONCERNS: DURATION, RISK REDUCTION, OTHER ARRHYTHMIAS

Duration of monitoring

Since rhythm monitoring with PPG is usually intermittent and of short duration (typically less than 5 minutes at a time), there is a theoretical concern that it may have a lower detection rate than longer-duration sampling. However, longer sampling frequency did not improve atrial fibrillation detection in the Watch AF trial (Smartwatches for the Detection of Atrial Fibrillation),²² which compared a smartwatch-based algorithm using PPG signals vs a single-lead handheld ECG analyzed by 2 cardiologists. The smartwatch algorithm detected atrial fibrillation based on 1-minute PPG recordings with 96.1% accuracy, and the diagnostic accuracy did not improve significantly with 3-minute or 5-minute recording durations.²²

Does increased atrial fibrillation detection reduce stroke risk?

It is crucial to determine whether increased detection with smart devices leads to increased use of therapeutic anticoagulation and reduced stroke risk. The Heartline Study,²³ an ongoing randomized app-based trial with more than 26,000 participants age 65 and older, addresses this uncertainty. Patients were randomized to 2 cohorts based on the presence of atrial fibrillation and were further randomized to a digital engagement program with or without the Apple Watch. The key outcomes are the detection of atrial fibrillation in patients with no prior history of atrial fibrillation in patients previously diagnosed with atrial fibrillation.²³ The STROKESTOP trial²⁴ (Systematic ECG Screening for Atrial Fibrillation Among 75-year-old Subjects in the Region of Stockholm and Halland, Sweden) randomly assigned 27,993 participants residing in the region of Halland and Stockholm, age 75 to 76, to a control group or to the use of a handheld single-lead Zenicor-ECG device twice daily for 2 weeks. At 6.9 years of follow-up, the screening group had a lower incidence of the combined end point of ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, systemic embolism, bleeding leading to hospitalization, and all-cause mortality, although the effect was small (5.45 vs 5.68 events per 100 patient-years), with a hazard ratio of 0.96 (95% CI 0.92–1.00, P = .045).²⁴

Detection of other rate or rhythm abnormalities

A small pilot study assessed the feasibility of measuring the corrected QT interval with KardiaMobile vs standard 12-lead ECG. The handheld single-lead ECG was noninferior to standard 12-lead ECG and was accurate within a range of plus or minus 20 ms.²⁵ The new 6-lead KardiaMobile device has interval measurements comparable to a standard 12-lead ECG.²⁶ There is currently no commercially available QT interval measurement algorithm, though preliminary data show that the Apple Watch can reliably assess the corrected QT interval.²⁷

Validation of consumer-grade devices has been less promising in detecting supraventricular tachycardia, in part because of the regular ventricular rhythm and lack of variation of the R-R interval.⁸ A prospective multicenter validation study of 50 patients aimed to improve the detection of atrial flutter using KardiaMobile.²⁷ After KardiaMobile recorded lead I, the device was repositioned by holding the panel in the right hand and placing the opposite electrode onto the left leg to generate a lead II. Two independent blinded electrophysiologists analyzed the recordings. The sensitivity of lead I alone for detecting atrial flutter was poor for both electrophysiologists at 27.3%, but sensitivity improved to 72.7% and 54.6% with the incorporation of the additional lead.²⁸

Detection of other forms of supraventricular tachycardia, pathologic Q waves, and heart blocks has received limited study. One study of Apple Watch 2, Samsung Galaxy Gear S3, and Fitbit Charge 2 found excellent accuracy in diagnosing the heart rate of supraventricular tachycardia, but the rhythm was not analyzed.²⁹ The sensitivity of KardiaMobile in detecting pathologic Q waves was found to be 20.6% in a study by Koltowski et al.³⁰ Limited data suggest that Apple Watch's single-lead ECG may help recognize first- and second-degree atrioventricular block.³¹



Figure 1. Our approach to atrial fibrillation identified on consumer-grade wearable devices.

LIMITATIONS OF CONSUMER-BASED DEVICES

The availability of consumer-grade heart rhythm monitors comes with limitations as well as potential for future research, including the following:

- A high false-positive rate
- Disparities in access
- An influx of consumer-grade data on a strained provider workforce
- Potential for improvements in technology and data.

False-positive results and pretest probability

The high false-positive rate for detecting atrial fibrillation in young, otherwise healthy populations is a significant limitation of consumer-based devices that may lead to increased anxiety and unnecessary healthcare utilization. However, although false alerts have been shown to reduce perceived physical well-being, the financial impact of false-positive detections is not well understood.³²

As with all medical tests, the positive predictive value varies significantly based on the patient population. An important tenet of Bayesian reasoning is that the posttest probability depends on the pretest probability. In other words, atrial fibrillation detected on a smartwatch in a young, healthy patient (low pretest probability) is unlikely to be atrial fibrillation. In contrast, atrial fibrillation detected in an elderly hypertensive patient with obstructive sleep apnea (high pretest probability) is highly likely to be atrial fibrillation. Atrial fibrillation incidence increases with age, from 1.5% at age 55 to 59 to 23.5% at age 80 to 89.³³ Both the Apple Heart Study¹⁹ and the Fitbit Heart Study²⁰ noted higher rates of detection and diagnosis of atrial fibrillation in participants age 65 and older. The VITAL-AF Study (Screening for Atrial Fibrillation in Older Adults at Primary Care Visits)³⁴ evaluated more than 30,000 participants age 65 or older without atrial fibrillation. The study compared KardiaMobile vs usual care and found no difference in the incidence of atrial fibrillation diagnosis between the screening and the control groups. However, in a prespecified analysis of patients over age 85, atrial fibrillation was more likely to be detected in the screening group than in the control group (5.56% vs 3.76%).³⁴

Disparities

There are disparities in device access and utilization. Only one-third of US adults and 18% of patients with cardiovascular disease have smart devices. Further, patients over age 65 and those with lower education and socioeconomic status have less access to smart devices and a higher risk of atrial fibrillation.¹⁰

Burden to healthcare system

The influx of data from consumer-grade devices will increase the burden on an already strained healthcare system. In addition to more data, automated rhythm readings may be deemed inconclusive despite producing readable single-lead ECGs, as was shown in the BASEL Wearable Study.⁹ However, a manual review of the tracings by a cardiologist reduced the rate of inconclusive tracings from 26% to around 1%.⁹ There are no well-established best practices for physician notifications, documentation, reimbursement protocols, and care coordination with detection of atrial fibrillation from consumer-grade devices.

Improved technology and security

Large, high-quality, randomized controlled trials demonstrating that wearable atrial fibrillation detection improves hard clinical outcomes are still lacking, and it is hoped that the randomized Heartline Study²³ will address some of these gaps. Future trials and observational studies are needed to determine whether earlier atrial fibrillation diagnosis from consumer-grade wearable devices increases adherence to appropriate anticoagulation and reduces adverse events. Further studies on cost-effectiveness are also needed.

Advances in sensors like improved PPG and multilead ECG may enhance accuracy of detection. More sophisticated algorithms that utilize deep learning on large ECG datasets could also improve performance and decrease false-positive results.

OUR APPROACH

Our approach to atrial fibrillation identified on consumer devices is summarized in **Figure 1**. Given the high sensitivity of each device, lack of detection on device interrogation makes atrial fibrillation unlikely regardless of pretest probability. We consider atrial fibrillation "unlikely" rather than "ruled out," given that consumer-grade devices are not truly continuous (they sample PPG or ECG only intermittently), are not always worn, and may need to be removed for charging.

If atrial fibrillation is detected, we review the tracings from the device, if available. It is common for a manual review to demonstrate normal rhythm with

ectopy or sinus arrhythmia, in which case reassurance and continued consumer-grade monitoring are appropriate.

If no tracings are available or the tracings suggest atrial fibrillation, we move on to medical-grade cardiac monitoring because of a slightly higher specificity in medical-grade devices. If the medical-grade monitor also shows atrial fibrillation, we diagnose atrial fibrillation and engage in shared decision-making with the patient about the risks and benefits of treatment.

If the consumer-grade device suggests atrial fibrillation and the medical-grade device shows none, we assess the pretest probability of atrial fibrillation. If the pretest probability is low and there are clear alternate causes of an irregular rhythm on the medical-grade monitoring (such as sinus rhythm with frequent ectopy or sinus arrhythmia), we consider atrial fibrillation unlikely.

If the medical-grade device shows no atrial fibrillation and we think the pretest probability is high, we typically increase the monitoring duration via longer Holter monitoring or, if the arrhythmia is infrequent, an implantable loop recorder.

OUTLOOK: BETTER DETECTION, BETTER TREATMENT

With comparable sensitivity to medical-grade devices, wearable consumer-grade devices show promise in detecting cardiac arrhythmias, particularly atrial fibrillation. These increasingly common devices can potentially improve the detection of atrial fibrillation and the prescription of therapeutic anticoagulation in appropriate cases, leading to improved patient outcomes. Given the high sensitivity and lower specificity of these devices, absence of atrial fibrillation should be reassuring, while detected atrial fibrillation should prompt further testing with medical-grade devices and referral to an experienced ECG reader. As with any diagnostic test, the result needs to be contextualized with an understanding of the pretest probability of atrial fibrillation. Ongoing research will address the effectiveness of these devices in detecting other cardiac pathologies and their impact on long-term outcomes, such as stroke risk and therapeutic anticoagulation.

DISCLOSURES

Dr. Jensen has disclosed being an advisor or review panel participant for, having ownership interest in, and being an executive level board member for Dose Health. The other authors report no relevant financial relationships which, in the context of their contributions, could be perceived as a potential conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Bergmann JH, Chandaria V, McGregor A. Wearable and implantable sensors: the patient's perspective. Sensors (Basel) 2012; 12(12):16695–16709. doi:10.3390/s121216695
- Colilla S, Crow A, Petkun W, Singer DE, Simon T, Liu X. Estimates of current and future incidence and prevalence of atrial fibrillation in the US adult population. Am J Cardiol 2013; 112(8):1142–1147. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.05.063
- Sposato LA, Cipriano LE, Saposnik G, Ruíz Vargas E, Riccio PM, Hachinski V. Diagnosis of atrial fibrillation after stroke and transient ischaemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Neurol 2015; 14(4):377–387. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(15)70027-X
- Freedman B, Potpara TS, Lip GY. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. Lancet 2016; 388(10046):806–817. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31257-0
- Mahajan R, Perera T, Elliott AD, et al. Subclinical device-detected atrial fibrillation and stroke risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Heart J 2018; 39(16):1407–1415. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehx731
- Proesmans T, Mortelmans C, Van Haelst R, Verbrugge F, Vandervoort P, Vaes B. Mobile phone-based use of the photoplethysmography technique to detect atrial fibrillation in primary care: diagnostic accuracy study of the FibriCheck app. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2019; 7(3):e12284. doi:10.2196/12284
- Avram R, Ramsis M, Cristal AD, et al. Validation of an algorithm for continuous monitoring of atrial fibrillation using a consumer smartwatch. Heart Rhythm 2021; 18(9):1482–1490. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2021.03.044
- Chang PC, Wen MS, Chou CC, Wang CC, Hung KC. Atrial fibrillation detection using ambulatory smartwatch photoplethysmography and validation with simultaneous holter recording. Am Heart J 2022; 247:55–62. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2022.02.002
- Mannhart D, Lischer M, Knecht S, et al. Clinical validation of 5 direct-to-consumer wearable smart devices to detect atrial fibrillation: BASEL Wearable Study. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2023; 9(2):232–242. doi:10.1016/j.jacep.2022.09.011
- Dhingra LS, Aminorroaya A, Oikonomou EK, et al. Use of wearable devices in individuals with or at risk for cardiovascular disease in the US, 2019 to 2020. JAMA Netw Open 2023; 6(6):e2316634. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.16634
- 11. **Prasitlumkum N, Cheungpasitporn W, Chokesuwattanaskul A, et al.** Diagnostic accuracy of smart gadgets/wearable devices in detecting atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2021; 114(1):4–16. doi:10.1016/j.acvd.2020.05.015
- Elbey MA, Young D, Kanuri SH, et al. Diagnostic utility of smartwatch technology for atrial fibrillation detection—a systematic analysis. J Atr Fibrillation 2021; 13(6):20200446. doi:10.4022/iafib.20200446
- O'Sullivan JW, Grigg S, Crawford W, et al. Accuracy of smartphone camera applications for detecting atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open 2020; 3(4):e202064. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.2064
- 14. **Bumgarner JM, Lambert CT, Hussein AA, et al.** Smartwatch algorithm for automated detection of atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018; 71(21):2381–2388. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.03.003
- Koh KT, Law WC, Zaw WM, et al. Smartphone electrocardiogram for detecting atrial fibrillation after a cerebral ischaemic event: a multicentre randomized controlled trial. Europace 2021; 23(7):1016–1023. doi:10.1093/europace/euab036
- Halcox JPJ, Wareham K, Cardew A, et al. Assessment of remote heart rhythm sampling using the AliveCor heart monitor to screen for atrial fibrillation: The REHEARSE-AF Study. Circulation 2017; 136(19):1784–1794.

doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.030583

 US International Trade Commission. In the matter of certain liquid transfer devices with an integral vial adapter. https://www.usitc.gov/ secretary/fed_reg_notices/337/337_1362_notice11022023sgl.pdf. Accessed November 29, 2023.

- Grand View Research, Inc. Smartwatch market size, share, & trends analysis report by price band, by display technology (LCD, OLED), by region, and segment forecasts, 2022–2030. https://www.grandviewresearch.com/ industry-analysis/smartwatches-market. Accessed November 29, 2023.
- Perez MV, Mahaffey KW, Hedlin H, et al. Large-scale assessment of a smartwatch to identify atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2019; 381(20):1909–1917. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1901183
- Laricchia F. Fitbit—number of active users 2012–2022. https://www.statista.com/statistics/472600/fitbit-active-users. Accessed November 29, 2023.
- Lubitz SA, Faranesh AZ, Selvaggi C, et al. Detection of atrial fibrillation in a large population using wearable devices: the Fitbit Heart Study. Circulation 2022; 146(19):1415–1424. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.060291
- Dörr M, Nohturfft V, Brasier N, et al. The WATCH AF trial: Smart-WATCHes for detection of atrial fibrillation. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2019; 5(2):199–208. doi:10.1016/j.jacep.2018.10.006
- Gibson CM, Steinhubl S, Lakkireddy D, et al. Does early detection of atrial fibrillation reduce the risk of thromboembolic events? Rationale and design of the Heartline Study. Am Heart J 2023; 259:30–41. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2023.01.004
- Svennberg E, Friberg L, Frykman V, Al-Khalili F, Engdahl J, Rosenqvist M. Clinical outcomes in systematic screening for atrial fibrillation (STROKESTOP): a multicentre, parallel group, unmasked, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2021; 398(10310):1498–1506. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01637-8
- Chung EH, Guise KD. QTC intervals can be assessed with the AliveCor heart monitor in patients on dofetilide for atrial fibrillation. J Electrocardiol 2015; 48(1):8–9. doi:10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2014.10.005
- Kleiman R, Darpo B, Brown R, et al. Comparison of electrocardiograms (ECG) waveforms and centralized ECG measurements between a simple 6-lead mobile ECG device and a standard 12-lead ECG. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2021; 26(6):e12872. doi:10.1111/anec.12872
- Spaccarotella CAM, Migliarino S, Mongiardo A, et al. Measurement of the QT interval using the Apple Watch. Sci Rep 2021; 11(1):10817. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-89199-z
- Rajakariar K, Koshy AN, Sajeev JK, Nair S, Roberts L, Teh AW. Modified positioning of a smartphone based single-lead electrocardiogram device improves detection of atrial flutter. J Electrocardiol 2018; 51(5):884–888. doi:10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2018.07.008
- Hwang J, Kim J, Choi KJ, Cho MS, Nam GB, Kim YH. Assessing accuracy of wrist-worn wearable devices in measurement of paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia heart rate. Korean Circ J 2019; 49(5):437–445. doi:10.4070/kcj.2018.0323
- Koltowski L, Balsam P, Glowczynska R, et al. Kardia Mobile applicability in clinical practice: a comparison of Kardia Mobile and standard 12-lead electrocardiogram records in 100 consecutive patients of a tertiary cardiovascular care center. Cardiol J 2021; 28(4):543–548. doi:10.5603/CJ.a2019.0001
- Saghir N, Aggarwal A, Soneji N, Valencia V, Rodgers G, Kurian T. A comparison of manual electrocardiographic interval and waveform analysis in lead 1 of 12-lead ECG and Apple Watch ECG: a validation study. Cardiovasc Digit Health J 2020; 1(1):30–36. doi:10.1016/j.cvdhj.2020.07.002
- Tran KV, Filippaios A, Noorishirazi K, et al. False atrial fibrillation alerts from smartwatches are associated with decreased perceived physical well-being and confidence in chronic symptoms management. Cardiol Cardiovasc Med 2023; 7(2):97–107. doi:10.26502/fccm.92920314
- Wolf PA, Abbott RD, Kannel WB. Atrial fibrillation as an independent risk factor for stroke: the Framingham Study. Stroke 1991; 22(8):983–988. doi:10.1161/01.str.22.8.983
- Lubitz SA, Atlas SJ, Ashburner JM, et al. Screening for atrial fibrillation in older adults at primary care visits: VITAL-AF randomized controlled trial. Circulation 2022; 145(13):946–954. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.057014

Address: Kevin G. Buda, DO, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Hennepin County Medical Center, 925 S. 8th St, G5-125, Minneapolis, MN 55415; Kevin.buda@hcmed.org Cleveland Clinic

Neuro Pathways Podcast

turo Pat/mays A Clevelan

Explore the latest advances in neurological practice.

A sampling of episode topics includes:

- · Managing complex chronic back pain
- Diagnosing psychogenic non-epileptic seizures
- · Evaluating Lewy body dementia
- · Incorporating sleep management into routine care
- Managing patients in the opioid crisis era

Access these episodes and more at clevelandclinic.org/ neuropodcast.

EDITORIAL

Jakub Sroubek, MD, PhD Department of Cardiovascular Medicine and Division of Cardiac Pacing and Electrophysiology, Heart, Vascular, and Thoracic Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; Assistant Professor, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Wearable cardiac monitors: Where do we stand?

O H, HOW TIME FLIES! When I started my postgraduate training a decade ago, evaluation of (most) patients with palpitations was simple: history, physical examination, and a 48-hour Holter monitor. In those days, affordable consumer-grade cardiac monitors were based solely on photoplethysmography (PPG, akin to pulse oximetry), which in its early form rarely offered actionable diagnostic information for an electrophysiologist. Instead, a clinical-grade Holter monitor was needed. Holter monitors and the related event monitors were conceptualized in the late 1940s¹ and commercialized in the early 1960s, but their fundamental design, management, and interpretation has changed very little over time. While not always practical for the patient, the devices represented a tried-and-true diagnostic tool for most clinicians.

See related article, page 23

Over the past decade, marked improvement in both the quality and affordability of consumer-grade wearable monitors has changed the game completely especially after many products acquired the ability to record single-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) tracings. This is most apparent in the outpatient electrophysiology clinic, where patients routinely hand me their phones and ask me to scroll through their ECG logs. I do this gladly, and not just to humor them. The home ECG data are incredibly helpful! Countless times, these tracings have directly affected patient management.

While Holter and event monitors continue to play a major role in patient care, the consumer-grade cardiac monitors are becoming just as important, and their value, reliability, and ubiquity will only grow. Regardless of one's technological savvy, any practicing clinician should be familiar with the most frequently used weardoi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23081 able cardiac monitors and, importantly, with the clinical evidence that supports or challenges their utility.

In this issue of the *Journal*, Mohamoud et al² provide a helpful and succinct review of the most up-to-date clinical information behind consumer-grade wearable monitors. They make it clear that the bulk of research efforts so far have focused on proving the utility of PPG-based devices as population-wide screening tools for atrial fibrillation. The 2 largest studies—the Apple Heart Study³ and the Fitbit Heart Study⁴—together enrolled almost 1 million patients and proved that wearable monitors do indeed perform well as screening tools for atrial fibrillation.

While such information is crucial for future research efforts, it has little direct impact on the day-to-day practice of most clinicians. Indeed, Mohamoud et al² show that some nuanced but clinically crucial questions have barely been addressed. From the vantage point of a clinical electrophysiologist, I am interested in consideration of 3 dilemmas, discussed below.

DO WEARABLE CARDIAC MONITORS TRANSLATE TO STROKE PREVENTION?

The idea is simple: patients self-detect incidental atrial fibrillation on wearable cardiac monitors. After confirming the diagnosis, a physician prescribes therapeutic anticoagulation to appropriate patients (eg, after risk-stratification using the CHA₂DS₂-VASc⁵ model or similar) and prevents cardioembolic events.

But we know that things are rarely so simple. For example, when patients with permanent pacemakers experience asymptomatic episodes of atrial fibrillation, their risk of stroke is indeed higher than that of the general population, but it is considerably lower than that predicted by the CHA₂DS₂-VASc model.⁶ It is easy to imagine that if we extend the atrial fibrillation screening process to an even healthier population (ie, anyone in the general public wearing a consumer-grade monitor), the applicability of existing risk-stratification paradigms may decline even more.

In practical terms, should we start therapeutic anticoagulation in every 66-year-old man with hypertension (CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 2) who walks into our office and shows us an Apple watch tracing with 15 minutes of atrial fibrillation? Additional studies are needed to address this question.

WHAT IS THE ROLE OF WEARABLE CARDIAC MONITORS FOR OTHER INDICATIONS?

As shown by Mohamoud and colleagues, most evidence for wearable monitors circles around de novo screening for atrial fibrillation. Relatively less is known about using these devices to manage patients with known atrial fibrillation. In our practice, we often ask patients to send us KardiaMobile ECG tracings once a week (or whenever the patient is symptomatic) for 3 months after undergoing catheter ablation. This approach makes intuitive sense and, in our experience, has been very effective in identifying early recurrences of atrial fibrillation. But it has never been formally studied.

The utility of cardiac wearables in the diagnosis and management of suspected short-duration arrhythmias is also unknown. Patients with symptoms caused by cardiac ectopy are often managed based on the absolute burden of premature beats. Will wearable devices help with that? What about patients with syncope? Will PPG-based wearable devices ever be able to provide sufficiently granular diagnostic information, or will clinical-grade ECG Holter devices always be necessary?

CAN WE STREAMLINE CLINICAL INTERPRETATION?

As noted, in our electrophysiology practice, established patients with arrhythmias occasionally ask to have their home device ECG tracings reviewed by a physician. Patients who require frequent ECG monitoring may also subscribe to a service that enables them to send their KardiaMobile ECG tracings directly to our device clinic, where a team of nurses and technicians

REFERENCES

- 1. Holter NJ, Generelli JA. Remote recording of physiological data by radio. Rocky Mt Med J 1949; 46(9):747–751. pmid:18137532
- Mohamoud A, Jensen J, Buda KG. Consumer-grade wearable cardiac monitors: what do they do well, and what needs work. Cleve Clin J Med 2024; 91:23–29. doi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23030
- Perez MV, Mahaffey KW, Hedlin H, et al. Large-scale assessment of a smartwatch to identify atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2019; 381(20):1909–1917. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1901183
- Lubitz SA, Faranesh AZ, Selvaggi C, et al. Detection of atrial fibrillation in a large population using wearable devices: the Fitbit Heart Study. Circulation 2022; 146(19):1415–1424. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.060291

can quickly review the information. This helps ensure prompt diagnosis of arrhythmias (if present), and it improves patient satisfaction and provides reassurance. In some cases, this ECG review precludes an unnecessary office or emergency room visit. Today, the volume of such information exchange is manageable, but as more patients purchase home monitors, the availability and affordability of review services may become limited unless systemic change is implemented.

The problem of scaling is even more evident when we consider population-wide screening using consumer-grade cardiac monitors. Most wearable devices provide automatic detection of atrial fibrillation, but its clinical verification remains manual. Even if we accept the high precision of the automated diagnosis of atrial fibrillation (a positive predictive value near 98% in the FitBit Heart Study⁴), most clinicians would be reluctant to treat new patients based only on what their home monitor app reports. Instead, physicians typically review the primary device data manually or reassess the patient with a Holter monitor or both before moving to treatment. In some cases, this may result in a specialty (cardiology) or subspecialty (electrophysiology) referral. Like the subscription services we provide in our practice, this process may be sustainable now, but increased numbers of self-screened individuals might require a more streamlined approach. What this would look like remains to be seen, but the possibilities include workforce extension (more ECG technicians in hospital and industry) and technology so precise that manual confirmation will be unnecessary.

CLOSING THOUGHTS

Technological advances have enabled us to reimagine the diagnosis and management of cardiac arrhythmias, especially atrial fibrillation. Judicious application of these enhanced tools will require continued analysis of their potential, as well as how to manage the data they generate.

DISCLOSURES

The author reports no relevant financial relationships which, in the context of his contributions, could be perceived as a potential conflict of interest.

- Lip GYH, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJGM. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the Euro Heart Survey on Atrial Fibrillation. Chest 2010; 137(2):263–272.
- Healey JS, Connolly SJ, Gold MR, et al. Subclinical atrial fibrillation and the risk of stroke [published correction appears in N Engl J Med 2016; 374(10):998]. N Engl J Med 2012; 366(2):120–129. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1105575

Address: Jakub Sroubek, MD, PhD, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, J2-2, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; sroubej@ccf.org

.....

REVIEW

Shrouq Khazaaleh, MD Department of Internal Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Fairview Hospital, Cleveland, OH Mohammad Alomari, MD Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL Mamoon Ur Rashid, MD Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL

Daniel Castaneda, MD Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Cone Health Annie Penn Hospital, Reidsville, NC Fernando J. Castro, MD Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL; Clinical Assistant Professor, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland. OH

Gastric intestinal metaplasia and gastric cancer prevention: Watchful waiting

ABSTRACT

Gastric intestinal metaplasia (GIM), a common histologic finding, is associated with increased risk of gastric cancer, and GIM associated with *Helicobacter pylori* infection is classified as an environmental metaplastic atrophic gastritis. Patients may be asymptomatic or present with various dyspeptic symptoms. Autoimmune metaplastic atrophic gastritis is a less common but important cause of chronic gastritis. The Correa cascade describes the evolution of precancerous mucosal changes that lead to development of GIM, with differentiation of 2 histologic types of GIM (complete and incomplete) and the consequences of each type. The risk of progression to malignancy is higher with incomplete GIM. It is also higher for those who immigrate from regions with a high incidence of *H pylori* infection to areas where the incidence is low. Guidelines regarding endoscopic management of GIM vary by geographic region.

KEY POINTS

Factors in the complex chain of events leading to malignant transformation include genetic predisposition, the anatomic extension of the metaplasia, and histologic differentiation.

Environmental risk factor control such as *H pylori* eradication, smoking cessation, and moderation in alcohol intake may halt the progression of atrophic gastritis to GIM.

Careful risk stratification is key: Patients at high risk should undergo endoscopic surveillance.

Ucommon histologic finding in clinical practice, is the differentiation of gastric epithelium into a form that resembles intestinal epithelium (Figure 1). It often represents a repair process in response to gastric injury such as from peptic ulcer or gastritis, and therefore most cases have no clinical significance. But if the gastric injury continues without treatment, GIM may be a warning sign of progression to gastric cancer, thus warranting further assessment and risk stratification. However, despite the risk of progression, malignancy develops in only a small minority of patients. Recognition of clinical, endoscopic, and histologic features linked with cancer development is critical to identifying high-risk patients who require endoscopic surveillance.

ASTRIC INTESTINAL METAPLASIA (GIM), A

RISK OF CANCER PROGRESSION

Although uncommon, progression of GIM to gastric cancer is well documented. A total of 10 cohort studies, including 2 that were US-based, involving 25,912 patients with GIM, reported a pooled incidence rate of gastric cancer of more than 12 cases per 10,000 person-years.¹ Cancer progression is more likely in patients with GIM who develop dysplasia. In a study from the Netherlands, the annual incidence of gastric cancer was 0.25% with GIM, 0.6% with mild-to-moderate dysplasia, and 6% with severe dysplasia at baseline.²

Barrett esophagus has a similar histopathologic background and established cancer-



Figure 1. Endoscopic appearance of gastric intestinal metaplasia (GIM). (A) White-light endoscopy reveals macroscopic GIM, with an irregular, even surface. The arrow indicates an elongated, groove-type pit pattern. (B) Enhanced narrow-band imaging of the same surface shows multiple pale, elevated patches.

	Autoimmune-mediated metaplastic atrophic gastritis	Helicobacter pylori-mediated metaplastic atrophic gastritis
Location	Primary involvement of the gastric body and fundus	All gastric epithelium including antrum
Acid production	Lost entirely	Decreased
Fasting gastrin level	Markedly elevated	Variable
Antibodies	Antibodies to intrinsic factor and parietal cells	Antibodies to <i>H pylori</i>
Vitamin B ₁₂ deficiency	Present, often severe	May be present, usually mild
Association	Other autoimmune disorders	Peptic ulcer disease, adenocarcinoma, "MALToma"

TABLE 1Autoimmune vs environmental metaplastic atrophic gastritis

MALToma = mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma or MALT lymphoma

Based on information in reference 15.

progression risk. The overall risk of progression to esophageal adenocarcinoma in Barrett esophagus is 0.22% per year.³ The presence of low-grade dysplasia increases the annual cancer risk to 0.5% per year, and high-grade dysplasia increases the risk to 5% to 8% per year.^{4,5} Although the risk of cancer progression with GIM and Barrett esophagus is similar in the United States, endoscopic surveillance only improved patient-important outcomes in Barrett esophagus, likely because of the lower prevalence of GIM compared with Barrett esophagus.^{1,6}

CHRONIC GASTRITIS AND GIM

Regardless of its cause, chronic gastric inflammation may lead to atrophic gastritis characterized by mucosal thinning and replacement of gastric glandular cells by intestinal epithelium (ie, GIM).

Environmental metaplastic atrophic gastritis

Helicobacter pylori infection remains the leading cause of chronic gastritis, with earlier studies suggesting that it is responsible for more than 90% of cases.⁷



Figure 2. The Correa cascade illustrates the progression from precancerous histologic changes in the gastric mucosa to the development of gastric intestinal metaplasia.

GIM as a result of *H pylori* infection is classified as an environmental metaplastic atrophic gastritis (EMAG). *H pylori* is more prevalent than previously thought, based on estimates that 50% of the world population has been infected in their lifetime,⁸ and the overall prevalence in the United States is 36%.⁹ If not eradicated, *H pylori* infection can progress to atrophic gastritis with damage to the gastric glands. Notably, the virulence of specific *H pylori* strains can play a critical role in infection outcomes. Strains that express the cytotoxin-associated gene *CagA* or the vacuolating cytotoxin *VacA* s1m1 genotype are associated with an increased risk of precancerous lesions and progression to adenocarcinoma.¹⁰

Chronic use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) has not been shown to prevent or modify histologic changes of GIM. In fact, chronic PPI use often results in decreased *H pylori* densities and proximal migration of the bacteria from the antrum to the body of the stomach, factors that complicate its diagnosis and timely eradication. Unmonitored long-term use of PPIs should be avoided.¹¹

TABLE 2Risk factors for progression to malignancyin gastric intestinal metaplasia

	Relative risk	95% confidence interval
Incomplete gastric intestinal metaplasia ¹	3.33	1.96–5.64
Extensive gastric intestinal metaplasia ¹	2.07	0.97–4.42
Family history of a first-degree relative with gastric cancer ¹	4.5	1.3–15.5
Smoking ²⁶	1.57	1.24–1.98
Alcohol ²⁶	1.29	1.12–1.50

Other possible causes of EMAG include habits such as high salt intake, cigarette smoking, and alcohol use.¹²

Clinically, patients with EMAG may be asymptomatic or present with dyspeptic symptoms with variable severity. Autoantibodies to parietal cells and intrinsic factor are lacking, and levels of fasting gastrin tend to be low. In addition to evaluation for *H pylori* and its timely eradication, EMAG patients should be screened for coexisting conditions such as vitamin B_{12} and iron deficiency and treated appropriately.

Autoimmune metaplastic atrophic gastritis

A less common but important cause of chronic gastritis is autoimmune metaplastic atrophic gastritis (AMAG). Affecting 0.15% of the adult population,¹³ AMAG primarily involves the gastric body and fundus while sparing the antrum. Most patients are asymptomatic, but some may present with manifestations of vitamin B₁₂ deficiency or iron-deficiency anemia. In contrast to laboratory findings for EMAG, supportive laboratory findings with AMAG include positive antibodies to intrinsic factor (more specific) and parietal cells (more sensitive), fasting hypergastrinemia, and decreased serum pepsinogen I/II ratio. Screening should be considered for concomitant autoimmune conditions such as type 1 diabetes mellitus and autoimmune thyroid disease.¹⁴ Table 1 compares the features associated with EMAG and AMAG.15

TABLE 3 Variations in society recommendations for the management of gastric intestinal metaplasia

Geographic location	Society recommendations
East Asia	Endoscopic or radiographic screening of all men and women at age 50 or older ²⁹
	In patients with gastric intestinal metaplasia and high-risk features, endoscopy recommended in 1 to 3 years
Europe	Patients with extensive gastric intestinal metaplasia should undergo endoscopic surveillance every 3 years
	Consider endoscopic surveillance in patients with gastric intestinal metaplasia limited to the corpus or antrum of the stomach but with a family history of gastric cancer, persistent <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> infection, incomplete gastric intestinal metaplasia, or autoimmune gastritis ³⁰
United States	AGA recommends against routine endoscopic surveillance after gastric intestinal metaplasia is detected in the general population, but if <i>H pylori</i> is detected, treatment is encouraged
	Patients with gastric intestinal metaplasia and risk factors associated with progression could be considered for endoscopic surveillance every 3 to 5 years if the patient favors surveillance (which has an unclear impact on mortality risk) vs endoscopic evaluation, which has a risk of complications ³¹
	ASGE recommends endoscopic surveillance exclusively in patients with risk factors, but not in the general cohort of patients in whom gastric intestinal metaplasia is detected ³²

AGA = American Gastroenterological Association; ASGE = American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

CORREA CASCADE: DIFFERENTIATING COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE GIM

The Correa cascade describes the progression from precancerous histologic changes in the gastric mucosa to the development of GIM and its consequences, including adenocarcinoma (**Figure 2**). The process begins with development of nonatrophic gastritis and progresses to multifocal atrophic gastritis followed by GIM.

GIM can present 2 histologic types:

- The *complete* and fully intestinalized pattern has markers for intestinal mucin and absence of gastric mucin.
- The *incomplete* gastric and intestinal mixed glands pattern has both gastric mucin and intestinal mucin markers.¹⁶

Complete GIM may progress to incomplete GIM if conditions leading to severe inflammation are present (eg, advanced atrophy or hypochlorhydria) before identifiable dysplastic changes.¹⁷ Subsequently, the tissue progresses to low-grade dysplasia, followed by highgrade dysplasia, and finally invasive adenocarcinoma.¹⁸

Differentiation of the 2 types of GIM is important. Incomplete GIM has been associated with an increased risk of cancer progression, and some experts consider it a mild degree of dysplasia.^{19,20}

RISK FACTORS FOR PROGRESSION TO MALIGNANCY

The risk of developing gastric cancer may be higher in patients with histologic evidence of incomplete and extensive GIM (ie, involvement of the antrum and corpus) than in those with complete and limited GIM.^{1,21,22} Some studies suggest that the topographic distribution of intestinal metaplasia may affect the risk of cancer progression. In Cassaro et al's²³ cohort study of 135 Colombian patients, a GIM distribution involving the lesser curvature of the stomach from the cardia to the pylorus was associated with higher cancer risk (odds ratio 5.7, 95% confidence interval 1.3–26) compared with "antrum-predominant" or "focal" patterns.²³

The incidence of gastric cancer exhibits significant geographic variation worldwide due to potential environmental exposure factors and genetic predisposition. The reported rates are highest in Eastern Asia, Eastern Europe, and South America, and lowest in North America.²⁴ People who immigrate from a region of high incidence to a region of low incidence have an increased risk of gastric cancer.²⁵ **Table 2** summarizes risk factors for malignancy.^{1,26}



Figure 3. An algorithmic approach to the management of gastric intestinal metaplasia (GIM).

ENDOSCOPIC MANAGEMENT

The role for endoscopy in GIM is limited to detection and surveillance, as no other methods are currently available for this. Specific recommendations for endoscopy are discussed in the various guidelines below.

NONENDOSCOPIC MANAGEMENT

GIM management should emphasize risk-factor modification, including smoking cessation and moderation in alcohol intake. In patients with *H pylori*-induced gastritis, early *H pylori* detection and eradication are crucial to halt progression to gastric cancer. In contrast, the effects of *H pylori* eradication once GIM occurs are undetermined. GIM changes may be irreversible, and the impact of *H pylori* eradication on cancer progression once GIM is established may be minimal.²⁷

Observational studies have reported partial GIM reversal and decreased progression to stomach cancer with use of aspirin and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs such as celecoxib.²⁸ More evidence is needed to support their use.

GLOBAL DIFFERENCES IN GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

The optimal follow-up of patients with isolated glands of GIM remains controversial, with significant differences in guidelines in the Eastern and Western regions of the world (**Table 3**).^{29–32}

Recommendations for Eastern regions

In countries such as Japan, where the incidence of gastric cancer is high, national screening programs recommend mass endoscopic or radiographic screening of all men and women at age 50 or older.²⁹ For patients found to have GIM without malignancy on initial screening, surveillance endoscopy in 1 to 3 years is recommended if they have GIM with high-risk features such as incomplete GIM, extensive GIM, family history of gastric cancer, smoking, or excessive alcohol use.

Recommendations for Western regions

The British Society of Gastroenterology guidelines³³ identify patients with GIM as having increased risk for gastric malignancy and recommend endoscopic surveillance every 3 years if there is extensive GIM (ie, affecting the antrum and corpus), antral GIM with risk factors such as *H pylori*, or a family history of gastric cancer.³³

A 2019 consensus guideline by 4 European organizations agreed with the British Society of Gastroenterology.³⁰ The guideline recommends that patients with GIM who are considered at high risk, including those with histologically proven GIM of the corpus and antrum, undergo endoscopic surveillance every 3 years. The guideline advises consideration of surveillance if GIM is present only in the corpus or antrum but the patient has a family history of gastric cancer, persistent *H pylori*, incomplete GIM, or autoimmune gastritis.

US recommendations

Two US societies have published guidelines addressing the management of GIM.

The American Gastroenterological Association guidelines³¹ recommend against routine endoscopic surveillance after GIM is detected in the general population, but if *H pylori* is detected, treatment is encouraged. Patients with GIM and risk factors associated with progression can be considered for endoscopic surveillance every 3 to 5 years if the patient favors surveillance, which has an unclear impact on mortality

REFERENCES

- Gawron AJ, Shah SC, Altayar O, et al. AGA technical review on gastric intestinal metaplasia: natural history and clinical outcomes. Gastroenterology 2020; 158(3):705–731.e5. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.12.001
- de Vries AC, van Grieken NC, Looman CW, et al. Gastric cancer risk in patients with premalignant gastric lesions: a nationwide cohort study in the Netherlands. Gastroenterology 2008; 134(4):945–952. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2008.01.071
- Bhat S, Coleman HG, Yousef F, et al. Risk of malignant progression in Barrett's esophagus patients: results from a large population-based study [published correction appears in J Natl Cancer Inst 2013; 105(8):581]. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011; 103(13):1049–1057. doi:10.1093/jnci/djr203

risk, vs endoscopic evaluation, which has potential complications.³¹

The guidelines subcategorized risk factors associated with progression of gastric cancer as follows:

- Highest risk: incomplete GIM, extensive GIM, or family history of gastric cancer
- Overall increased risk: certain racial or ethnic minorities immigrating from high-incidence regions.³¹

The American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy recommendations³² are similar to those of European groups. They advise endoscopic surveillance exclusively for patients with risk factors, but not for the general cohort of patients in whom GIM is detected.

AN ALGORITHMIC APPROACH TO DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT

Figure 3 suggests an approach to managing patients who have GIM. The updated Sydney protocol includes the collection of 5 nontargeted biopsy specimens: 2 from the antrum (at the lesser and greater curvature), 2 from the corpus (at the lesser and greater curvature), and 1 from the incisura.³⁴ It is recommended that these biopsy specimens be placed in separate jars.

Careful inspection should be carried out with high-definition white-light endoscopy rather than standard-definition endoscopy. Adequate air insufflation, use of mucolytic and defoaming agents (for improved visibility), appropriate withdrawal times, and photodocumentation are key for a quality endoscopic examination.³⁵ Additionally, use of narrow-band imaging should be encouraged because it has been shown to improve the detection of GIM.³⁶ It also allows for more targeted biopsies for GIM.

DISCLOSURES

The authors report no relevant financial relationships which, in the context of their contributions, could be perceived as a potential conflict of interest.

- Rastogi A, Puli S, El-Serag HB, Bansal A, Wani S, Sharma P. Incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma in patients with Barrett's esophagus and high-grade dysplasia: a meta-analysis. Gastrointest Endosc 2008; 67(3):394–398. doi:10.1016/j.gie.2007.07.019
- Singh S, Manickam P, Amin AV, et al. Incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma in Barrett's esophagus with low-grade dysplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastrointest Endosc 2014; 79(6):897–983.e3. doi:10.1016/j.gie.2014.01.009
- El-Serag HB, Naik AD, Duan Z, et al. Surveillance endoscopy is associated with improved outcomes of oesophageal adenocarcinoma detected in patients with Barrett's oesophagus. Gut 2016; 65(8):1252–1260. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2014-308865
- Sipponen P, Maaroos HI. Chronic gastritis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2015; 50(6):657–667. doi:10.3109/00365521.2015.1019918

- Brown LM. *Helicobacter pylori*: epidemiology and routes of transmission. Epidemiol Rev 2000; 22(2):283–297. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.epirev.a018040
- Smith JG, Li W, Rosson RS. Prevalence, clinical and endoscopic predictors of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in an urban population. Conn Med 2009; 73(3):133–137. pmid:19353987
- Murata-Kamiya N. Pathophysiological functions of the CagA oncoprotein during infection by *Helicobacter pylori*. Microbes Infect 2011; 13(10):799–807. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2011.03.011
- Nasser SC, Slim M, Nassif JG, Nasser SM. Influence of proton pump inhibitors on gastritis diagnosis and pathologic gastric changes. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21(15):4599–4606. doi:10.3748/wjg.v21.i15.4599
- Correa P, Piazuelo MB, Wilson KT. Pathology of gastric intestinal metaplasia: clinical implications. Am J Gastroenterol 2010; 105(3):493–498. doi:10.1038/ajg.2009.728
- Hayter SM, Cook MC. Updated assessment of the prevalence, spectrum and case definition of autoimmune disease. Autoimmun Rev 2012; 11(10):754–765. doi:10.1016/j.autrev.2012.02.001
- Park JY, Lam-Himlin D, Vemulapalli R. Review of autoimmune metaplastic atrophic gastritis. Gastrointest Endosc 2013; 77(2):284–292. doi:10.1016/j.gie.2012.09.033
- Minalyan A, Benhammou JN, Artashesyan A, Lewis MS, Pisegna JR. Autoimmune atrophic gastritis: current perspectives. Clin Exp Gastroenterol 2017; 10:19–27. doi:10.2147/CEG.S109123
- Reis CA, David L, Correa P, et al. Intestinal metaplasia of human stomach displays distinct patterns of mucin (MUC1, MUC2, MU-C5AC, and MUC6) expression. Cancer Res 1999; 59(5):1003–1007. pmid:10070955
- Sugano K, Moss SF, Kuipers EJ. Gastric intestinal metaplasia: real culprit or innocent bystander as a precancerous condition for gastric cancer? Gastroenterology 2023; S0016-5085(23)04911-9. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2023.08.028
- Correa P, Haenszel W, Cuello C, Tannenbaum S, Archer M. A model for gastric cancer epidemiology. Lancet 1975; 2(7924):58–60. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(75)90498-5
- Tava F, Luinetti O, Ghigna MR, et al. Type or extension of intestinal metaplasia and immature/atypical "indefinite-for-dysplasia" lesions as predictors of gastric neoplasia. Hum Pathol 2006; 37(11):1489– 1497. doi:10.1016/j.humpath.2006.05.012
- Tosi P, Filipe MI, Luzi P, et al. Gastric intestinal metaplasia type III cases are classified as low-grade dysplasia on the basis of morphometry. J Pathol 1993; 169(1):73–78. doi:10.1002/path.1711690112
- Silva S, Filipe MI, Pinho A. Variants of intestinal metaplasia in the evolution of chronic atrophic gastritis and gastric ulcer. A follow-up study. Gut 1990; 31(10):1097–1104. doi:10.1136/gut.31.10.1097
- González CA, Sanz-Anquela JM, Companioni O, et al. Incomplete type of intestinal metaplasia has the highest risk to progress to gastric cancer: results of the Spanish follow-up multicenter study. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 31(5):953–958. doi:10.1111/jgh.13249
- Cassaro M, Rugge M, Gutierrez O, Leandro G, Graham DY, Genta RM. Topographic patterns of intestinal metaplasia and gastric cancer. Am J Gastroenterol 2000; 95(6):1431–1438. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2000.02074.x

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics [published correction appears in CA Cancer J Clin 2011; 61(2):134]. CA Cancer J Clin 2011; 61(2):69–90. doi:10.3322/caac.20107
- Pabla BS, Shah SC, Corral JE, Morgan DR. Increased incidence and mortality of gastric cancer in immigrant populations from high to low regions of incidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18(2):347–359.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2019.05.032
- Altayar O, Davitkov P, Shah SC, et al. AGA technical review on gastric intestinal metaplasia-epidemiology and risk factors. Gastroenterology 2020; 158(3):732–744.e16. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.12.002
- Chen HN, Wang Z, Li X, Zhou ZG. Helicobacter pylori eradication cannot reduce the risk of gastric cancer in patients with intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia: evidence from a meta-analysis. Gastric Cancer 2016; 19(1):166–175. doi:10.1007/s10120-015-0462-7
- Tian W, Zhao Y, Liu S, Li X. Meta-analysis on the relationship between nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use and gastric cancer. Eur J Cancer Prev 2010; 19(4):288–298. doi:10.1097/CEJ.0b013e328339648c
- Hamashima C. Cancer screening guidelines and policy making: 15 years of experience in cancer screening guideline development in Japan. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2018; 48(3):278–286. doi:10.1093/jjco/hyx190
- Pimentel-Nunes P, Libânio D, Marcos-Pinto R, et al. Management of epithelial precancerous conditions and lesions in the stomach (MAPS II): European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE), European Helicobacter and Microbiota Study Group (EHMSG), European Society of Pathology (ESP), and Sociedade Portuguesa de Endoscopia Digestiva (SPED) guideline update 2019. Endoscopy 2019; 51(4):365–388. doi:10.1055/a-0859-1883
- Gupta S, Li D, El Serag HB, et al. AGA clinical practice guidelines on management of gastric intestinal metaplasia. Gastroenterology 2020; 158(3):693–702. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.12.003
- ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Evans JA, Chandrasekhara V, et al. The role of endoscopy in the management of premalignant and malignant conditions of the stomach. Gastrointest Endosc 2015; 82(1):1–8. doi:10.1016/j.gie.2015.03.1967
- Banks M, Graham D, Jansen M, et al. British Society of Gastroenterology guidelines on the diagnosis and management of patients at risk of gastric adenocarcinoma. Gut 2019; 68(9):1545–1575. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2018-318126
- Shah SC, Gawron AJ, Li D. Surveillance of gastric intestinal metaplasia. Am J Gastroenterol 2020; 115(5):641–644. doi:10.14309/ajg.000000000000540
- Veitch AM, Uedo N, Yao K, East JE. Optimizing early upper gastrointestinal cancer detection at endoscopy. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015; 12(11):660–667. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2015.128
- Buxbaum JL, Hormozdi D, Dinis-Ribeiro M, et al. Narrow-band imaging versus white light versus mapping biopsy for gastric intestinal metaplasia: a prospective blinded trial. Gastrointest Endosc 2017; 86(5):857–865. doi:10.1016/j.gie.2017.03.1528

Address: Mohammad Alomari, MD, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Cleveland Clinic Florida, 2950 Cleveland Clinic Boulevard, Weston, FL 33331; dr_mohd1987@hotmail.com

REVIEW

Jason T. Alexander, MD Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL Simran K. Singh, MD Department of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH Sachin D. Shah, MD Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL

Brianna Lambert, MD Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL Jeremy P. Smith, MD Department of Internal Medicine, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI

2023 Update in ambulatory general internal medicine

ABSTRACT

The practice of outpatient medicine is demanding, encompasses a wide scope of practice, and leaves little time for internists to stay up to date with the current literature. This article reviews 5 studies published in 2022 and 2023 that have the potential to change the practice of outpatient medicine. Topics covered include chronic kidney disease, secondary cardiovascular disease, kidney stones, obesity, and lipid management.

KEY POINTS

Empagliflozin slowed the progression of chronic kidney disease in patients with chronic kidney disease; the benefit was most pronounced in patients with significant albuminuria.

A Mediterranean diet was superior to a low-fat diet for secondary prevention of major cardiovascular events.

Hydrochlorothiazide did not decrease symptomatic or radiologic recurrence of calcium-containing kidney stones.

Tirzepatide was effective for weight loss in patients who were obese or overweight with weight-related complications.

Ezetimibe, added to lower-intensity statin therapy, was noninferior to high-intensity statin therapy with respect to major adverse cardiovascular events. **I**NTERNISTS WHO PRACTICE ambulatory medicine face many challenges. The time pressure of managing a patient's acute concerns, discussing their chronic medical problems, and advising on disease prevention is excessive.¹ Often, this leaves little time for practitioners to stay current on recently published evidence.

This review identifies and critically appraises 5 studies, each covering a different topic germane to the practice of ambulatory medicine, that have the potential to change practice. Studies were identified by reviewing abstracts from high-impact journals including the New England Journal of Medicine, Journal of the American Medical Association, Lancet, and Annals of Internal Medicine. Articles addressing topics relevant to ambulatory medicine were identified and appraised, and 5 final articles were agreed upon by all authors to critique for the current review. We discuss the role of empagliflozin in preventing progression of chronic kidney disease, diet for preventing secondary cardiovascular disease, hydrochlorothiazide for preventing kidney-stone recurrence, tirzepatide for weight loss, and ezetimibe for cholesterol management.

SLOWING THE PROGRESSION OF CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE

A 59-year-old woman with a history of hypertension and stage 3b chronic kidney disease with an estimated glomerular filtration rate of 40 mL/min/1.73 m² presents for clinic follow-up. Her blood pressure is well controlled with an angiotensin II receptor blocker and a thiazide diuretic. Recent laboratory results are remarkable for a normal urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio. She asks you if there

doi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23056

are any other medications available that may preserve her current kidney function. What do you recommend?

SGLT-2 inhibitors and chronic kidney disease

Several large randomized trials have shown that sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors decrease the risk of kidney-related complications for patients with or without diabetes.^{2,3} For example, in a trial of patients with both type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease with albuminuria, the SGLT-2 inhibitor canagliflozin decreased the risk of kidney disease progression.² Similarly, dapagliflozin, another SGLT-2 inhibitor, decreased kidney disease progression in patients with chronic kidney disease and albuminuria, with or without diabetes.³

Whether SGLT-2 inhibitors slow the progression of kidney disease in patients with chronic kidney disease without albuminuria was unknown and is an important question, given that the global burden of chronic kidney disease is high⁴ and most patients with it have normal urine albumin levels.⁵

Empagliflozin decreases progression of chronic kidney disease

The EMPA-KIDNEY Collaborative Group⁶ examined whether empagliflozin delayed kidney disease progression in patients with established chronic kidney disease in an international, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. They enrolled 6,609 participants 18 years or older who were already receiving a renin-angiotensin system inhibitor. The participants' race-adjusted estimated glomerular filtration rate had to be either in the range of at least 20 to less than 45 mL/min/1.73 m², or at least 45 to less than 90 mL/min/1.73 m² with a urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio of at least 200 mg/g. Exclusion criteria included a history of polycystic kidney disease, symptomatic hypotension, history of kidney transplant, or a life-limiting diagnosis.

Participants were randomized to receive either empagliflozin 10 mg once daily or placebo. The primary outcome of the trial was a composite of progression of kidney disease or death from cardiovascular causes. Progression of kidney disease was defined as end-stage kidney disease, a sustained decrease in the estimated glomerular filtration rate to less than 10 mL/min/1.73 m², a decrease in the estimated glomerular filtration rate from baseline of at least 40%, or death from cardiovascular causes.

Results. During a median follow-up of 2 years, a primary outcome event occurred in 13.1% of patients in the empagliflozin group compared with 16.9% of patients in the placebo group (hazard ratio [HR] 0.72,

95% confidence interval [CI] 0.64–0.82, P < .001, number needed to treat 27). The lower rate in the empagliflozin group was mostly owing to a lower rate of progression of kidney disease (HR 0.71, 95% CI 0.62–0.81); the difference in the risk of death from cardiovascular causes was not statistically significant when analyzed independently (HR 0.84, 95% CI 0.60–1.19). Serious adverse events were uncommon and did not differ between groups.

While the effect sizes in several prespecified subgroups tended to mirror those in the overall group, a differential effect was seen when the primary outcome was stratified by baseline albuminuria. Empagliflozin made no difference in the primary outcome among patients with either a baseline urine albumin-tocreatinine ratio less than 30 mg/g (HR 1.01, 95% CI 0.66–1.55) or baseline urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio of at least 30 mg/g but no higher than 300 mg/g (HR 0.91, 95% CI 0.65–1.26). Only when the baseline urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio exceeded 300 mg/g was an effect observed favoring empagliflozin (HR 0.67, 95% CI 0.58–0.78).

Should our patient get an SGLT-2 inhibitor for her chronic kidney disease?

Although the EMPA-KIDNEY trial demonstrated a reduction in the progression of kidney disease among all patients receiving empagliflozin, whether our patient, who does not have albuminuria, would stand to benefit from empagliflozin or an alternative SGLT-2 inhibitor is less clear. A discussion is warranted about the potential benefits, risks (eg, genital yeast infections,⁷ diabetic ketoacidosis⁸), and cost (more than \$400 per month, and an out-of-pocket expense of about \$50 for Medicare patients in 1 analysis⁹) of starting an SGLT-2 inhibitor.

In clinical practice, utilization rates of SGLT-2 inhibitors are relatively low. A 2023 analysis of 105,799 patients from 130 Veterans Affairs facilities who had type 2 diabetes, heart failure, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (and thus multiple indications for an SGLT-2 inhibitor) showed that only 15% were receiving an SGLT-2 inhibitor.¹⁰ Whether healthier patients such as ours with fewer comorbidities and likely fewer baseline medications would be willing to start an SGLT-2 inhibitor to prevent chronic kidney disease progression will be an important area for future study.

DIET AS SECONDARY PREVENTION

A 63-year-old man presents for follow-up after undergoing coronary revascularization 6 months ago. He is currently adherent to medical therapy and is asking whether there are any diets to further reduce his risk of recurrent cardiovascular disease. What would you recommend?

Diet and cardiovascular disease

Diet can be modified to reduce the incidence and recurrence of cardiovascular events.¹¹ Guidelines encourage everyone to limit dietary fats and to consume complex carbohydrates daily to replace saturated fats and increase fiber intake.^{12,13} The Mediterranean diet—with generous portions of fruits, vegetables, legumes, cereals, nuts, and seeds, with white meat and fish as the primary sources of protein, and with olive oil as the primary source of fat—has been touted as healthy.^{14,15} Estruch et al¹⁶ demonstrated that the Mediterranean diet was more effective than a reduced-fat diet as primary prevention for patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease. However, until recently, there was little evidence on the effect of a Mediterranean diet as secondary prevention.

A Mediterranean diet is superior to a low-fat diet for secondary prevention

Delgado-Lista et al¹⁷ conducted the first large, longterm, randomized, controlled trial comparing the Mediterranean diet vs a low-fat diet in secondary prevention of major cardiovascular events, defined as myocardial infarction, revascularization, ischemic stroke, peripheral arterial disease, or cardiovascular death.

This single-center trial conducted in Spain enrolled 1,002 patients with established coronary heart disease; 83% were men, and the mean age was 60. Patients were excluded if they had heart failure with an ejection fraction of 35% or less or New York Heart Association class III or IV symptoms, could not follow a diet, or had severe liver, renal, pulmonary, or psychiatric disease.

No energy restriction was implemented, and no physical activity was promoted. Both groups came in for individual in-person visits every 6 months and group sessions every 3 months, and received telephone calls every 2 months. Participants in the Mediterranean-diet group received 1 L of extra-virgin olive oil per week at no charge, while the low-fat-diet group received a bag of healthy food rich in complex carbohydrates, worth about the same Euro amount as the olive oil.

Results. By the end of the 7-year study, 132 participants had abandoned their diets, 86 in the lowfat group and 46 in the Mediterranean-diet group (P = .0002). Baseline adherence to the Mediterranean diet was 8.78 on a scale of 0 (worst) to 14 (best). As expected, participants in the Mediterranean-diet group had increased their intake of total fat, primarily from increased intake of extra-virgin olive oil, oily fish, and nuts. In contrast, baseline adherence to the low-fat diet was 3.81 on a scale of 0 (worst) to 9 (9 best), and participants had increased their intake of carbohydrates, mainly from complex carbohydrates, and decreased their intake of total fat.

A total of 198 primary outcome events occurred, 87 in the Mediterranean-diet group (in 17.3% of this group) and 111 in the low-fat-diet group (in 22.2% of this group)—a 25% reduction in major cardiovascular events with the Mediterranean diet (unadjusted HR 0.745, 95% CI 0.563–0.986, number needed to treat 21). In men, the Mediterranean diet was even more superior, reducing the rate of major cardiovascular events by nearly 33%. The groups did not differ in their adherence with antiplatelet, antihypertensive, or lipid-lowering medications, nor in their lipid or glucose blood levels at the completion of the study.

Limitations of this study include the intense dietary interventions, the majority male population, and the study location in Spain, which has a higher acceptance of the Mediterranean diet, all of which may impact the generalizability of these results to other populations. Furthermore, the mortality rates were lower in this study than in studies in similar settings during the same time period, which might support the notion that both diets were very effective in preventing cardiovascular recurrences.

What should we tell this patient?

The patient should be told that a Mediterranean diet rich in extra-virgin olive oil, fatty fish, and nuts lowers the risk of recurrent major cardiovascular events by roughly 25% compared with a low-fat diet. A referral to a nutritionist may lead to better adherence to the Mediterranean diet.

PREVENTING RECURRENT KIDNEY STONES

A 62-year-old man presents for a routine physical. You note a history of kidney stones. His last stone event was 1 year ago, and the stone passed spontaneously. The stone was mostly composed of calcium oxalate. Laboratory testing shows a normal serum calcium level and a high 24-hour level of urine calcium excretion. Do you recommend hydrochlorothiazide to try to prevent recurrent stones?

Data on preventing recurrent calcium stones are sparse

Roughly 80% of kidney stones contain calcium, most commonly in the form of calcium oxalate.¹⁸ Small studies have suggested that dietary modifications such as taking in more potassium and calcium and less animal protein and sodium can reduce the likelihood of recurrent calcium stones.^{19–22} One randomized controlled trial showed that increasing water intake to achieve urine volume of more than 2 L per day significantly reduced the risk of recurrent stones compared with standard water intake.²³

Thiazide diuretics reduce urine calcium excretion.²⁴ One meta-analysis found moderate-strength evidence that thiazides decrease the risk of stone recurrence but not the risk of *symptomatic* recurrence, and the included studies were small and had methodologic limitations.²⁵

Hydrochlorothiazide to prevent recurrent calcium stones

Dhayat et al²⁶ recently performed the largest study to date examining whether thiazide diuretics prevent recurrent stones. Patients were enrolled from 12 centers in Switzerland; eligibility criteria included age greater than 18, at least 2 kidney-stone episodes in the past 10 years, and any stone containing at least 50% calcium oxalate or calcium phosphate. Those with secondary causes of stones or who were taking medications that could interfere with stone formation were excluded. Of 1,335 patients who were screened, 416 were assigned to treatment. The median age was 49, 80% were men, and 63% had baseline hypercalciuria.

Patients were randomized in 4 equal groups to receive hydrochlorothiazide 12.5 mg, 25 mg, or 50 mg daily or placebo. They were followed for a maximum of 3 years for both radiographic and symptomatic recurrence of stones.

Results. There were no differences in the rate of the primary outcome between any of the groups, and no relation between hydrochlorothiazide dose and occurrence of a primary end-point event. Higher doses of hydrochlorothiazide (25 and 50 mg) were associated with a reduced risk of radiographic recurrence, a secondary study end point. Patients assigned to hydrochlorothiazide had lower urine calcium excretion, but urine relative supersaturation ratios were not different from those in patients assigned to placebo. Patients taking hydrochlorothiazide had higher rates of hypokalemia, gout, new-onset diabetes, skin allergy, and acute kidney injury.

Limitations of the trial included nonadherence to assigned treatment in 15% to 26% of patients, underrepresentation of women in the trial, and relatively short trial duration.

What should we recommend for our patient?

The results of this trial would not support a recommendation to use hydrochlorothiazide to reduce the likelihood of recurrent stones. Our patient should be told to increase his water intake to achieve a urine output of at least 2 L per day. Based on limited available evidence, other measures to consider would be use of citrates and allopurinol, dietary changes including more dietary calcium and potassium, and reduction in intake of soft drinks, animal protein, and sodium.

LOSING WEIGHT

A 46-year-old woman with obesity (body mass index 34 kg/m²), prediabetes, and hypertension presents to clinic for follow-up. She takes olmesartan 20 mg daily for hypertension. She has made several unsuccessful attempts to lose weight with changes in diet and exercise. What additional pharmacotherapy might you recommend next?

The obesity epidemic and its treatments

Obesity is a global epidemic. Its prevalence has been increasing worldwide for several decades, and it is associated with poor health outcomes including cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, malignancy, and musculoskeletal diseases.²⁷ For people who are obese, losing as little as 5% to 10% of body weight helps to improve cardiovascular risk factors, and losing more has even greater benefit.²⁸ Major guidelines continue to recommend low-calorie diets, exercise, and comprehensive lifestyle management plans as cornerstones of obesity management.^{29,30}

However, the human body seems to have a set point for weight, with metabolic and homeostatic adaptations that make it difficult to lose weight or maintain weight loss.³¹ Therefore, many patients regain weight after participating in lifestyle modification programs.³² As a result, pharmacotherapy is an important consideration for achieving weight-loss goals. Newer drugs such as semaglutide have shown promising outcomes for weight loss and maintenance.^{33,34}

Tirzepatide once weekly promotes weight loss in patients without diabetes

Jastreboff et al³⁵ examined whether tirzepatide, a once-weekly subcutaneous injection drug with agonist activity at glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptors, was safe and effective for weight loss in people with obesity. In a double-blind, industry-sponsored, randomized clinical trial conducted in 19 countries, participants were randomized in a 1:1:1:1 ratio to receive tirzepatide 5 mg, 10 mg, or 15 mg or placebo injections for 72 weeks. All groups received dietary and physical activity intervention.

Participants were age 18 and older, had a body mass index of at least 30 kg/m^2 , or had a body mass index of at

least 27 kg/m² with at least 1 weight-related complication, defined as hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obstructive sleep apnea, or cardiovascular disease. Exclusion criteria included diabetes, treatment with other weightloss medications within 90 days before screening, and planned weight-loss surgery. The coprimary outcomes were change in body weight from baseline to week 72 and weight reduction of at least 5%.

Results. A total of 2,539 participants were randomized, of whom 68% were women, 71% were White, and 48% were Hispanic or Latino. All of the activetreatment groups lost a significant amount of weight, and the higher the dose the more they lost: The mean change in body weight was –15% with tirzepatide 5 mg, –19.5% with tirzepatide 10 mg, –20.9% with tirzepatide 15 mg, and –3.1% with placebo. More than 85% of participants in the tirzepatide groups lost more than 5% of their body weight, compared with 35% of those in the placebo group.

Nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea were more common in patients receiving tirzepatide than in patients receiving placebo, but these adverse effects infrequently led to drug discontinuation and were clustered around drug initiation or dose increases. A higher risk of cholecystitis was seen in patients receiving tirzepatide, but the incidence was less than 0.6%.

Should we prescribe tirzepatide for our 46-year-old patient without diabetes?

In addition to ongoing diet, exercise, and lifestyle counseling, consideration of pharmacotherapy is a reasonable option. Both tirzepatide and semaglutide are approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for weight loss, but the high cost and varying insurance coverage for each of these medications render them unobtainable for many patients.³⁶ Before patients start weight-loss therapy, clinicians and patients should discuss its possible benefits, risks, cost, availability, and duration (which is unknown at this point but may need to be lifelong to prevent weight regain).

LOWERING LDL-C

A 60-year-old man presents to his primary care physician with concerns about his cardiovascular health. He had a myocardial infarction at age 57 for which he received a coronary artery stent. He has been taking aspirin 81 mg, irbesartan 150 mg, metoprolol succinate 50 mg, and rosuvastatin 20 mg daily with good adherence, but endorses mild, intermittent myalgias, which he attributes to his rosuvastatin. His current low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) level is 85 mg/dL. What changes to his medications might you advise?

Lower LDL-C is associated with reduced risk of major cardiovascular events

Current clinical guidelines for blood cholesterol management in people with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease recommend starting with statin monotherapy and titrating up to the highest tolerated dose before considering additional nonstatin therapy.¹² This is in part based on the low cost, wide availability, and efficacy of statins with respect to lowering LDL-C and reducing major cardiovascular events compared with other lipid-lowering therapy.^{37,38} However, adherence to high-intensity statins remains relatively low, even in high-risk groups.³⁹

Ezetimibe has been shown to decrease the risk of major cardiovascular events when added to statin therapy in patients recently hospitalized with acute coronary syndrome.⁴⁰ Whether a lower-intensity statin combined with ezetimibe would provide clinical benefit similar to that of high-intensity statin monotherapy had not been previously evaluated prospectively.

High-intensity statin monotherapy vs lower-dose statin therapy combined with ezetimibe

Kim et al⁴¹ conducted a pharma-sponsored, randomized, open-label, noninferiority trial in South Korea comparing the long-term clinical outcomes of highintensity statin monotherapy vs lower-intensity statin therapy combined with ezetimibe.

Patients were included if they were over age 18 and had a history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Exclusion criteria included active liver disease, persistent unexplained elevation of aspartate aminotransferase or alanine aminotransferase twice the upper limit of normal, and prior allergy or hypersensitivity to any statin or ezetimibe. The mean age was 64, and 75% of the patients were men.

Patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to monotherapy with rosuvastatin 20 mg or combination therapy with both rosuvastatin 10 mg and ezetimibe 10 mg. The primary end point was a composite outcome of cardiovascular death, major cardiovascular events, and nonfatal stroke within 3 years, with a noninferiority margin of 2%.

Results. Combination therapy was noninferior to high-intensity statin monotherapy for the 3-year composite outcome, which occurred in 9.1% of the combination-therapy group vs 9.9% of the high-intensity statin group (absolute difference –0.78%, 90% CI –2.39 to 0.83). Additionally, the combination-therapy group had a significantly lower mean LDL-C at 3 years than the high-intensity-statin monotherapy group (58 mg/dL vs 66 mg/dL),

and more patients in the combination-therapy group achieved a target LDL-C concentration of less than 70 mg/dL (72% vs 58%).

Subjective adverse effects such as muscle pain were reported more frequently with high-intensity statin monotherapy than with combination therapy (1.9% vs 1.1%, respectively), and more patients in the high-intensity-statin monotherapy group had to discontinue or take a lower dose of the study medications than in the combination therapy group (8.2% vs 4.8%, respectively, P < .0001).

Limitations of the study include its open-label design, lower-than-expected event rates, and lack of a study arm receiving moderate-intensity statin monotherapy (rosuvastatin 10 mg), which would have afforded a better comparison of the potential benefit of adding ezetimibe to rosuvastatin.

Overall, the study suggests that combination therapy using a moderate-intensity statin and ezetimibe is an effective and safe alternative to high-intensity statin monotherapy in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Given that lipid-lowering ther-

REFERENCES

- Porter J, Boyd C, Skandari MR, Laiteerapong N. Revisiting the time needed to provide adult primary care. J Gen Intern Med 2023; 38(1):147–155. doi:10.1007/s11606-022-07707-x
- Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, et al. Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2019; 380(24):2295–2306. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1811744
- Heerspink HJL, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. N Engl J Med 2020; 383(15):1436–1446. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2024816
- GBD Chronic Kidney Disease Collaboration. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2020; 395(10225):709–733.
- doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30045-3 5. Levey AS, Coresh J. Chronic kidney disease. Lancet 2012;
- 379(9811):165–180. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60178-5 6. The EMPA-KIDNEY Collaborative Group; Herrington WG, Staplin
- N, Wanner C, et al. Empagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. N Engl J Med 2023; 388:117–127. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2204233
- Alexander JT, Staab EM, Wan W, et al. Longer-term benefits and risks of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med 2022; 37(2):439–448. doi:10.1007/s11606-021-07227-0
- Fralick M, Schneeweiss S, Patorno E. Risk of diabetic ketoacidosis after initiation of an SGLT2 inhibitor. N Engl J Med 2017; 376(23):2300–2302. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1701990
- Aggarwal R, Vaduganathan M, Chiu N, Bhatt DL. Out-of-pocket costs for SGLT-2 (sodium-glucose transport protein-2) inhibitors in the United States. Circ Heart Fail 2022; 15(3):e009099. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.121.009099
- Hussain A, Ramsey D, Lee M, et al. Utilization rates of SGLT2 inhibitors among patients with type 2 diabetes, heart failure, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: insights from the Department of Veterans Affairs. JACC Heart Fail 2023; 11(8 pt 1):933–942. doi:10.1016/j.jchf.2023.03.024

apy is not intense enough in the real world,⁴² these findings could help usher in a shift in strategy in lipid management toward combination therapy, similar to the current standard of care in hypertension management.⁴³ Will this strategy be an acceptable alternative to statin monotherapy in real-world practice? Will it contribute to polypharmacy? These are important topics for future study.

What should we advise our patient?

Given the patient's history of myalgias on his current high-intensity rosuvastatin dose, reducing his rosuvastatin dose to 10 mg and adding ezetimibe 10 mg daily is a reasonable option to consider to reduce his risk of future cardiovascular events and improve long-term adherence, and may carry less risk of myalgia than continuing his current rosuvastatin dose.

DISCLOSURES

The authors report no relevant financial relationships which, in the context of their contributions, could be perceived as a potential conflict of interest.

- Ge L, Sadeghirad B, Ball GDC, et al. Comparison of dietary macronutrient patterns of 14 popular named dietary programmes for weight and cardiovascular risk factor reduction in adults: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised trials [published correction appears in BMJ 2020; 370:m3095]. BMJ 2020; 369:m696. doi:10.1136/bmj.m696
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/ AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines [published correction appears in Circulation 2019; 139(25):e1182–e1186] [published correction appears in Circulation 2023; 148(7):e5]. Circulation 2019; 139(25):e1082–e1143. doi:10.1161/CIR.00000000000625
- Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines [published correction appears in Circulation 2014; 129(25 suppl 2):S100–S101] [published correction appears in Circulation 2015; 131(4):e326]. Circulation 2014; 129(25 suppl 2):S76–S99. doi:10.1161/01.cir.0000437740.48606.d1
- Widmer RJ, Flammer AJ, Lerman LO, Lerman A. The Mediterranean diet, its components, and cardiovascular disease. Am J Med 2015; 128(3):229–238. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.10.014
- Martínez-González MA, Gea A, Ruiz-Canela M. The Mediterranean diet and cardiovascular health. Circ Res 2019; 124(5):779–798. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313348
- Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvadó J, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts. N Engl J Med 2018; 378(25):e34. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1800389
- Delgado-Lista J, Alcala-Diaz JF, Torres-Peña JD, et al. Long-term secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet and a low-fat diet (CORDIOPREV): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2022; 399(10338):1876–1885. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00122-2
- Alelign T, Petros B. Kidney stone disease: an update on current concepts. Adv Urol 2018; 2018:3068365. doi:10.1155/2018/3068365
- Curhan GC, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ. A prospective study of dietary calcium and other nutrients and the risk of symptomatic kidney stones. N Engl J Med 1993; 328(12):833–838. doi:10.1056/NEJM199303253281203
- Curhan GC, Willett WC, Speizer FE, Spiegelman D, Stampfer MJ. Comparison of dietary calcium with supplemental calcium and other nutrients as factors affecting the risk for kidney stones in women. Ann Intern Med 1997; 126(7):497–504. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-126-7-199704010-00001
- Curhan GC, Willett WC, Knight EL, Stampfer MJ. Dietary factors and the risk of incident kidney stones in younger women: Nurses' Health Study II. Arch Intern Med 2004; 164(8):885–891. doi:10.1001/archinte.164.8.885
- Borghi L, Schianchi T, Meschi T, et al. Comparison of two diets for the prevention of recurrent stones in idiopathic hypercalciuria. N Engl J Med 2002; 346(2):77–84. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa010369
- Borghi L, Meschi T, Amato F, Briganti A, Novarini A, Giannini A. Urinary volume, water and recurrences in idiopathic calcium nephrolithiasis: a 5-year randomized prospective study. J Urol 1996; 155(3):839–843. pmid:8583588
- Nijenhuis T, Hoenderop JG, Loffing J, van der Kemp AW, van Os CH, Bindels RJ. Thiazide-induced hypocalciuria is accompanied by a decreased expression of Ca2+ transport proteins in kidney. Kidney Int 2003; 64(2):555–564. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1755.2003.00128.x
- Fink HA, Wilt TJ, Eidman KE, et al. Medical management to prevent recurrent nephrolithiasis in adults: a systematic review for an American College of Physicians clinical guideline [published correction appears in Ann Intern Med 2013; 159(3):230–232]. Ann Intern Med 2013; 158(7):535–543. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-158-7-201304020-00005
- Dhayat NA, Bonny O, Roth B, et al. Hydrochlorothiazide and prevention of kidney-stone recurrence. N Engl J Med 2023; 388(9): 781–791. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2209275
- Boutari C, Mantzoros CS. A 2022 update on the epidemiology of obesity and a call to action: as its twin COVID-19 pandemic appears to be receding, the obesity and dysmetabolism pandemic continues to rage on. Metabolism 2022; 133:155217. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2022.155217
- Wing RR, Lang W, Wadden TA, et al. Benefits of modest weight loss in improving cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2011; 34(7):1481– 1486. doi:10.2337/dc10-2415
- Garvey WT, Mechanick JI, Brett EM, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology comprehensive clinical practice guidelines for medical care of patients with obesity. Endocr Pract 2016; 22(suppl 3):1–203. doi:10.4158/EP161365.GL
- Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society [published correction appears in Circulation 2014; 129(25 suppl 2):S139–S140]. Circulation 2014; 129(25 suppl 2):S102–S138. doi:10.1161/01.cir.0000437739.71477.ee
- Martínez-Gómez MG, Roberts BM. Metabolic adaptations to weight loss: a brief review. J Strength Cond Res 2022; 36(10):2970–2981. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000003991

- Wadden TA, Tronieri JS, Butryn ML. Lifestyle modification approaches for the treatment of obesity in adults. Am Psychol 2020; 75(2):235–251. doi:10.1037/amp0000517
- Garvey WT, Batterham RL, Bhatta M, et al. Two-year effects of semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity: the STEP 5 trial. Nat Med 2022; 28(10):2083–2091. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-02026-4
- Bergmann NC, Davies MJ, Lingvay I, Knop FK. Semaglutide for the treatment of overweight and obesity: a review. Diabetes Obes Metab 2023; 25(1):18–35. doi:10.1111/dom.14863
- 35. Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, et al. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity. N Engl J Med 2022; 387(3):205–216. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2206038
- Chakhtoura M, Haber R, Ghezzawi M, Rhayem C, Tcheroyan R, Mantzoros CS. Pharmacotherapy of obesity: an update on the available medications and drugs under investigation. EClinicalMedicine 2023; 58:101882. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.101882
- Wilkins JT, Lloyd-Jones DM. Novel lipid-lowering therapies to reduce cardiovascular risk [published correction appears in JAMA 2021; 326(16):1637]. JAMA 2021; 326(3):266–267. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.2244
- Navarese EP, Robinson JG, Kowalewski M, et al. Association between baseline LDL-C level and total and cardiovascular mortality after LDL-C lowering: a systematic review and meta-analysis [published correction appears in JAMA 2018; 320(13):1387]. JAMA 2018; 319(15):1566–1579. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.2525
- Colantonio LD, Huang L, Monda KL, et al. Adherence to high-intensity statins following a myocardial infarction hospitalization among Medicare beneficiaries. JAMA Cardiol 2017; 2(8):890–895. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2017.0911
- Cannon CP, Blazing MA, Giugliano RP, et al. Ezetimibe added to statin therapy after acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2015; 372(25):2387–2397. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1410489
- Kim BK, Hong SJ, Lee YJ, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of moderate-intensity statin with ezetimibe combination therapy versus high-intensity statin monotherapy in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (RACING): a randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2022; 400(10349):380–390. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00916-3
- 42. Cannon CP, de Lemos JA, Rosenson RS, et al. Use of lipid-lowering therapies over 2 years in GOULD, a registry of patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in the US. JAMA Cardiol 2021; 6(9):1–9. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2021.1810
- 43. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2018; 138(17):e426–e483. doi:10.1161/CIR.00000000000597

Address: Jason T. Alexander, MD, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Ave, MC 3051, Chicago, IL 60637; jalexander3@bsd.uchicago.edu

1-MINUTE CONSULT

Osamah Z. Badwan, MD Department of Internal Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

> Ankit Agrawal, MD Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

Lorenzo Braghieri, MD Department of Internal Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

> Venu Menon, MD Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; Professor, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Warren Skoza, MD Department of Internal Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

> W. H. Wilson Tang, MD Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; Professor, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH



QUESTIONS

Q: When should we consider SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with acute decompensated heart failure?

Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors should be started as early as possible in patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure who do not have clear contraindications to them, and continued after discharge (Figure 1). These medications are well tolerated, can aid in decongestion without worsening renal function, and have multiple cardiovascular benefits.

Introduced in 2012, SGLT-2 inhibitors were developed to treat type 2 diabetes by reducing reabsorption of glucose from the renal filtrate, but they have since been found to have multiple cardiovascular benefits beyond glucose-lowering,¹ which may be attributed to their natriuretic and osmotic diuretic effects and other metabolic effects.^{2–4} Of note, they lower N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels, which may be a key determinant of improved clinical outcomes regardless of left ventricular ejection fraction.^{3–5}

BENEFITS OF STARTING EARLY

Acute decompensated heart failure is one of the leading reasons for hospital admissions worldwide and is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality.⁶ As outlined below and in **Table 1**,^{3,4,7–11} studies have suggested that patients hospitalized for acute decompensated heart failure could tolerate SGLT-2 inhibitors and derive cardiac benefit from them, especially when these drugs were started early. While most of the patients in these trials had reduced left ventricular ejection fraction, the benefits were consistent across all left-ventricular-ejection-fraction groups. **The EMPA-RESPONSE-AHF trial** (Effects of Empagliflozin on Clinical Outcomes in Patients With Acute Decompensated Heart Failure),⁷ with 79 patients, found patients who were randomized to empagliflozin within 24 hours of admission had a significant reduction in the composite outcome of worsening heart failure, rehospitalization for heart failure, or death at 60 days compared with placebo.

The EMPULSE trial (Empagliflozin in Patients Hospitalized With Acute Heart Failure Who Have Been Stabilized)³ found that patients who were randomized to receive empagliflozin 10 mg daily within 5 days of admission had a significant reduction in the combined primary end point, ie, a hierarchical composite of death from any cause, number of heart failure events, and time to first heart failure event, or a 5-point or greater difference in change from baseline in the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire Total Symptom Score at 90 days compared with placebo.

The DELIVER trial (Dapagliflozin Evaluation to Improve the Lives of Patients With Preserved Ejection Fraction Heart Failure),¹² in a prespecified analysis of 654 (10.4%) of the trial patients who were randomized to receive dapagliflozin or placebo while hospitalized for heart failure or within 30 days of hospital discharge, demonstrated a reduced risk of worsening heart failure or cardiovascular death. The investigators calculated that the number needed to treat with dapagliflozin to prevent 1 primary outcome event was 28 patient-years in recently hospitalized patients and 65 patient-years in patients not recently hospitalized.

The SOLOIST-WHF trial (Effect of Sotagliflozin on Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes



Figure 1. Proposed algorithm for initiating sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in acute decompensated heart failure.

^aDapagliflozin: No dosage adjustment for eGFR \geq 25 mL/min/1.73 m². Manufacturer labeling does not recommend initiation of therapy at eGFR < 25 mL/min/1.73 m². Sotagliflozin is not indicated for patients with eGFR < 25 mL/min/1.73 m². For heart failure, empagliflozin is not indicated for eGFR < 20 mL/min/1.73 m². For type 2 diabetes mellitus, empagliflozin is not indicated for eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m².

^bDirect evidence on the effects of canagliflozin and ertugliflozin on heart failure outcomes is available only in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. It remains to be determined if they have similar effects in patients without type 2 diabetes.

eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; SBP = systolic blood pressure; SGLT-2 = sodium-glucose cotransporter 2

TABLE 1 Randomized controlled trials of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in acute decompensated heart failure

Trial	Patients	Treatment	Results
EMPULSE ³	N = 530, 67% with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) < 40%	Empagliflozin 10 mg/day or placebo for 90 days, started a median of 3 days after hospital admission	Early benefit, defined by a hierarchical composite that incorporated all-cause mortality, time to heart failure events, and quality of life (measured by Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire Total Symptom Score) with empagliflozin use
EMPAG-HF ⁴	N = 59, mean LVEF 45 ± 16%	Empagliflozin 25 mg/day or placebo for 5 days, started within 12 hours of admission	A 25% increase in cumulative urine output over 5 days without affecting markers of renal function with empagliflozin use
SOLOIST-WHF ^{8,9}	N = 1,222, 79% with LVEF < 50%	Sotagliflozin 200–400 mg/day or placebo for a median of 9 months, initiated before or shortly after hospital discharge	A 33% reduction of a composite of cardiovascular death and hospitalizations or urgent visits for heart failure and apparent improvement in quality of life as measured by the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire 12 score at 4 months in sotagliflozin group
EMPA-RESPONSE-AHF ⁷	N = 79, 100% with LVEF < 50%	Empagliflozin 10 mg/day or placebo for 30 days, initiated within 24 hours of presentation while on intravenous diuretics	Significantly reduced composite outcome of worsening heart failure, rehospitalization for heart failure, or death at 60 days in empagliflozin group
DAPA-RESIST ¹⁰	$\begin{array}{l} N=61,\\ 44\% \text{ with LVEF} \leq 40\% \end{array}$	Dapagliflozin 10 mg or metolazone 5–10 mg for up to 3 consecutive days, initiated within 24 hours of trial screening	Significant weight reductions at up to 96 hours of dapagliflozin use compared with metolazone group
DICTATE-AHF ¹¹	N = 240, 52% with LVEF < 40%	Dapagliflozin 10 mg/day + protocolized diuretic titration or protocolized diuretic titration alone, initiated within 24 hours of presentation	Strong signal of improved diuretic efficiency (defined as weight change divided by loop diuretic dose) until day 5 of hospitalization or discharge if sooner

DAPA-RESIST = Dapagliflozin Versus Thiazide Diuretic in Patients With Heart Failure and Diuretic Resistance, DICTATE-AHF = Efficacy and Safety of Dapagliflozin in Acute Heart Failure, EMPAG-HF = Empagliflozin in Acute Decompensated Heart Failure, EMPA-RESPONSE-AHF = Effects of Empagliflozin on Clinical Outcomes in Patients With Acute Decompensated Heart Failure, EMPULSE = Empagliflozin in Patients Hospitalized With Acute Heart Failure Who Have Been Stabilized, SOLOIST-WHF = Effect of Sotagliflozin on Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Post Worsening Heart Failure

Post Worsening Heart Failure),⁸ in a prespecified analysis based on timing of the first dose of the SGLT-1/2 inhibitor sotagliflozin, found the degree of benefit in the primary end point (the total number of deaths from cardiovascular causes and hospitalizations and urgent visits for heart failure) was similar regardless of whether the drug was started during the admission (48.8% of the overall group) or within 3 days after discharge. Similarly, a post hoc analysis of this trial demonstrated that starting sotagliflozin before discharge in patients with type 2 diabetes hospitalized for acute decompensated heart failure significantly decreased cardiovascular deaths and heart failure events through 30 and 90 days after discharge.⁹ However, no trials to date have directly compared SGLT-2 inhibitors with combined SGLT-1/2 inhibitors.

Another advantage of starting these medications while the patient is in the hospital is the opportunity to address medication reconciliation and potential barriers to adherence, which we usually do on discharge.

SGLT-2 INHIBITORS HELP REMOVE FLUID

Congestion is thought to be the primary reason patients are hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure.¹³ Excreting more sodium early during decongestive therapy is strongly associated with better postdischarge outcomes, and sodium excretion is a better prognostic indicator than urine output, net fluid balance, or weight change.¹⁴

A concern about starting SGLT-2 inhibitors as an add-on therapy (in addition to loop diuretics) is the potential for excessively rapid intravascular volume removal and renal injury. Nevertheless, empagliflozin was shown to achieve decongestion without worsening renal function in patients with type 2 diabetes hospitalized for acute decompensated heart failure.¹⁵ This might be explained by the natriuresis and osmotic diuresis caused by SGLT-2 inhibition, leading to reduced plasma volume and, subsequently, reduced preload.² Furthermore, SGLT-2 inhibitors may act synergistically with loop diuretics for decongestion and have other beneficial metabolic effects.¹⁶

The 2023 DAPA-RESIST trial (Dapagliflozin Versus Thiazide Diuretic in Patients With Heart Failure and Diuretic Resistance)¹⁰ showed that dapagliflozin 10 mg daily was as effective as metolazone 5 to 10 mg daily in alleviating congestion in patients with acute decompensated heart failure with resistance to loop diuretics. Although patients in the dapagliflozin group received a higher total amount of furosemide, they encountered fewer biochemical disturbances than those in the metolazone group.

PATIENTS ALREADY ON SGLT-2 INHIBITORS

Although SGLT-2 inhibitors lowered blood pressure only slightly by themselves in large heart failure clinical trials, it is important to consider volume status, especially in those receiving other heart failure agents such as angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors and loop diuretics, which can increase the risk of orthostasis and falling after the patient goes home. Nevertheless, unless patients have a clear contraindication such as severe hypotension (systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg), shock, acute kidney injury, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 20 or 25 mL/min/1.73 m² (depending on the specific agent), or diabetic ketoacidosis (including euglycemic ketoacidosis), those who are already receiving SGLT-2 inhibitors and are admitted with acute decompensated heart failure would benefit from continuing this therapy.^{3,7–9,12}

Of note, evidence of the beneficial effects of canagliflozin and ertugliflozin on heart failure outcomes is available only in patients with type 2 diabetes, and there is even less evidence currently for outcomes with bexagliflozin. It remains to be determined if these drugs have similar effects in patients without type 2 diabetes.

PATIENTS WITH RENAL DYSFUNCTION

While SGLT-2 inhibitors have been shown to slow the progression of chronic kidney disease, they generally are not indicated for patients whose eGFR is less than 20 or 25 mL/min/1.73 m² (depending on the particular SGLT-2 inhibitor). A reason for caution in this situation is that SGLT-2 inhibitors cause a temporary drop in eGFR and persistent reductions in plasma volume. However, this initial nadir in eGFR early after starting SGLT-2 inhibitors partially reverses over the subsequent 6 to 8 weeks. Further, continuation is associated with improved renal and cardiovascular outcomes, and new studies suggest that SGLT-2 inhibitors should not be discontinued unless the eGFR decreases by more than 30%.¹⁷

DIABETIC KETOACIDOSIS AND INFECTIONS

SGLT-2 inhibitors are not approved for patients with type 1 diabetes, since their use may promote hypoglycemia in patients without sufficient insulin secretagogue activity, a situation also posing a risk for euglycemic diabetic keto-acidosis.¹⁸ Also, prescribers have been cautioned about genital mycotic infections and the rare severe complication of Fournier gangrene in patients at high risk (eg, older men and those with diabetes, alcohol use disorder, obesity, or immunocompromising conditions).

Fortunately, none of the previously mentioned trials found a higher risk of these complications in patients started on SGLT-2 inhibitors during admissions for acute decompensated heart failure.

THE BOTTOM LINE

In patients with acute decompensated heart failure without clear contraindications to these agents, an SGLT-2 inhibitor should be started as early as possible or continued if the patient is already receiving one. As an adjuvant therapy for decongestion, they have been shown to be well tolerated and can aid in decongestion without worsening renal function. Their use early during hospitalization and their continuation after discharge may translate into long-term clinical benefits.

DISCLOSURES

Dr. Tang has disclosed consulting for Boston Scientific, CardiaTec Biosciences, Cardiol Therapeutics, Genomics, Intellia Therapeutics, Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals, preCARDIA, Relypsa, Renovacor, Sequana Medical, WhiteSwell, and Zehna Therapeutics; board examination writing/ approval committee for American Board of Internal Medicine; and editorship/authorship for SpringerNature. The other authors report no relevant financial relationships which, in the context of their contributions, could be perceived as a potential conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bailey CJ, Day C, Bellary S. Renal protection with SGLT2 Inhibitors: effects in acute and chronic kidney disease. Curr Diab Rep 2022; 22(1):39–52. doi:10.1007/s11892-021-01442-z
- Lytvyn Y, Bjornstad P, Udell JA, Lovshin JA, Cherney DZI. Sodium glucose cotransporter-2 inhibition in heart failure: potential mechanisms, clinical applications, and summary of clinical trials. Circulation 2017; 136(17):1643–1658. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.030012
- 3. Voors AA, Angermann CE, Teerlink JR, et al. The SGLT2 inhibitor empagliflozin in patients hospitalized for acute heart failure: a multinational randomized trial. Nat Med 2022; 28(3):568–574. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01659-1
- Schulze PC, Bogoviku J, Westphal J, et al. Effects of early empagliflozin initiation on diuresis and kidney function in patients with acute decompensated heart failure (EMPAG-HF). Circulation 2022; 146(4):289–298. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.059038
- Grodin JL, Tang WHW, Hardin EA. Natriuretic peptides: do they inform the potential for treatment response in HFpEF?. JACC Heart Fail 2022; 10(12):914–917. doi:10.1016/j.jchf.2022.10.001
- Ambrosy AP, Fonarow GC, Butler J, et al. The global health and economic burden of hospitalizations for heart failure: lessons learned from hospitalized heart failure registries. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63(12):1123–1133. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.11.053
- 7. Damman K, Beusekamp JC, Boorsma EM, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre pilot study on the effects of empagliflozin on clinical outcomes in patients with acute decompensated heart failure (EMPA-RESPONSE-AHF). Eur J Heart Fail 2020; 22(4):713–722. doi:10.1002/ejhf.1713
- Bhatt DL, Szarek M, Steg PG, et al. Sotagliflozin in patients with diabetes and recent worsening heart failure. N Engl J Med 2021; 384(2):117–128. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2030183
- Pitt B, Bhatt DL, Szarek M, et al. Effect of sotagliflozin on early mortality and heart failure-related events: a post hoc analysis of SOLOIST-WHF [published correction appears in JACC Heart Fail 2023; 11(9):1288]. JACC Heart Fail 2023; 11(8 Pt 1):879–889. doi:10.1016/j.jchf.2023.05.026
- Yeoh SE, Osmanska J, Petrie MC, et al. Dapagliflozin vs metolazone in heart failure resistant to loop diuretics. Eur Heart J 2023; 44(31):2966–2977. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehad341

- Cox ZL, Collins SP, Aaron M, et al. Efficacy and safety of dapagliflo zin in acute heart failure: rationale and design of the DICTATE-AHF Trial. Am Heart J 2021; 232:116–124. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2020.10.071
- Cunningham JW, Vaduganathan M, Claggett BL, et al. Dapagliflo zin in patients recently hospitalized with heart failure and mildly reduced or preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2022; 80(14):1302–1310. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2022.07.021
- Adams KF Jr, Fonarow GC, Emerman CL, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of patients hospitalized for heart failure in the United States: rationale, design, and preliminary observations from the first 100,000 cases in the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE). Am Heart J 2005; 149(2):209–216. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2004.08.005
- Hodson DZ, Griffin M, Mahoney D, et al. Natriuretic response is highly variable and associated with 6-month survival: insights from the ROSE-AHF Trial. JACC Heart Fail 2019; 7(5):383–391. doi:10.1016/j.jchf.2019.01.007
- 15. Tamaki S, Yamada T, Watanabe T, et al. Effect of empagliflozin as an add-on therapy on decongestion and renal function in patients with diabetes hospitalized for acute decompensated heart failure: a prospective randomized controlled study [published correction appears in Circ Heart Fail 2021; 14(4):e000067]. Circ Heart Fail 2021; 14(3):e007048. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.120.007048
- Griffin M, Rao VS, Ivey-Miranda J, et al. Empagliflozin in heart failure: diuretic and cardiorenal effects. Circulation 2020; 142(11):1028–1039. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.045691
- Adamson C, Docherty KF, Heerspink HJL, et al. Initial decline (dip) in estimated glomerular filtration rate after initiation of dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: insights from DAPA-HF. Circulation 2022; 146(6):438–449. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.058910
- Peters AL, Buschur EO, Buse JB, Cohan P, Diner JC, Hirsch IB. Euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis: a potential complication of treatment with sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibition. Diabetes Care 2015; 38(9):1687–1693. doi:10.2337/dc15-0843

Address: W. H. Wilson Tang, MD, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, J3-4, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; tangw@ccf.org

Changed your address? Not receiving your copies?

To receive *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, make sure the American Medical Association has your current information. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine* uses the AMA database of physician names and addresses to determine its circulation. All physicians are included in the AMA database, not just members of the AMA. **Only YOU can update your data with the AMA**.

- If your address has changed, send the new information to the AMA. If you send the update by mail, enclose a recent mailing label. Changing your address with the AMA will redirect all of your medically related mailings to the new location.
- Be sure the AMA has your current primary specialty and type of practice. This information determines who receives *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*.
- If you ever notified the AMA that you did not want to receive mail, you will not receive Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine. If you wish to reverse that decision, simply notify the AMA, and you will again receive all AMA mailings.
- Please allow 6 to 8 weeks for changes to take effect.

To contact the American Medical Association:

- **PHONE** 800-621-8335
- **FAX** 312-464-4880
- **E-MAIL** dpprodjira@ama-assn.org
- US MAIL

Send a recent mailing label along with new information to:

American Medical Association AMA Plaza Data Verification Unit 330 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 39300 Chicago, IL 60611-5885

Cleveland Clinic Improve Your Virtual Patient Visit Skills



Many patients are eager to visit their physicians virtually, but not all physicians are comfortable conducting virtual visits.

You can take advantage of a free series of self-directed online modules to help you build your virtual patient visit skills.

The free course offers:

- brief introduction to virtual visits
- guidance for demonstrating communication and empathy
- best practices for taking a patient history
- instructions for conducting a physical examination, based on organ system

To help you master the content, the free course includes many video demonstrations and knowledge checks throughout.

Visit clevelandclinic.org/virtual-training

With the exception of the physical examination module, these activities have been approved for AMA PRA Category 1 CreditTM.

CURRENT DRUG THERAPY

Abhayjit Singh, MD Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH Leslie S. Cho, MD

Section Head, Preventive Cardiology and Cardiac Rehabilitation, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; Professor of Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Nonstatin therapy to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and improve cardiovascular outcomes

ABSTRACT

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality, with low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol being a causative risk factor. Though statins have a decades-long track record of efficacy and safety, nonstatin agents may be used to reduce LDL cholesterol as an adjunct or alternative to statin therapy. Several new nonstatin medications have been approved in recent years, with robust data from clinical trials supporting their use in atherosclerotic disease. This review addresses the indications, evidence, and important prescribing considerations for using nonstatin lipid-lowering therapy and proposes a practical approach for determining when to initiate nonstatin therapy.

KEY POINTS

The use of statins to reduce LDL cholesterol remains key to the prevention and treatment of ASCVD; target LDL cholesterol levels should be individualized based on cardiovascular risk profiles and shared decision-making.

Some patients are unwilling or unable to tolerate statin therapy, while others fail to achieve LDL cholesterol goals despite statin use. In such instances, clinicians may consider nonstatin therapy to lower LDL cholesterol.

Nonstatin lipid-lowering agents including ezetimibe, proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibodies, and bempedoic acid have been shown to reduce cardiovascular risk when given in conjunction with or in place of statins. doi:10.3949/ccjm.91a.23058 A THEROSCLEROTIC CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE (ASCVD) remains the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. ASCVD is a broad term that encompasses coronary heart disease (myocardial infarction or obstructive coronary artery disease), cerebrovascular disease (stroke, transient ischemic attack, or significant carotid artery stenosis), peripheral arterial disease (claudication or limb ischemia), aortic atherosclerotic disease, and prior coronary or arterial revascularization due to atherosclerosis.

It is now indisputable that low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol has a causal relationship to atherosclerosis, the process that underpins the development of clinical ASCVD.¹ Lipoproteins are particles that transport fats throughout the body, and LDL specifically transports cholesterol. Standard lipid panels normally report the serum concentration of LDL cholesterol, ie, the amount of cholesterol being transported by LDL particles. In the past few decades, LDL cholesterol has emerged as a powerful predictor of cardiovascular risk and a determinant of target levels of lipid-lowering therapy. Encouragingly, a meta-analysis of 26 randomized trials found that each 1.0-mmol/L (18-mg/dL) decrease in LDL cholesterol resulted in a 22% relative risk reduction in major vascular events (P < .0001), further supporting the notion that lower is better when it comes to LDL cholesterol.²

For decades, the cornerstone of both prevention and treatment of ASCVD has been 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitors, better known as statins. By inhibiting the rate-limiting enzyme in cholesterol synthesis, statins increase cell-surface LDL receptor expression and clearance of LDL cholesterol from the bloodstream. The Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study,³ published in 1994, was the first to demonstrate that statins improve outcomes (reduction in cardiovascular mortality and major coronary events with simvastatin) in patients with coronary artery disease and hyperlipidemia.

Successive large-scale clinical trials over the next 3 decades added more and more supporting evidence. Today, statins are one of the most prescribed drugs in clinical practice. Given the overwhelming evidence of cardiovascular benefit conferred by these agents, clinicians should continue to prescribe statins at the maximum tolerated doses for appropriate patients.

While statin therapy has a long track record of safety and efficacy in treating ASCVD, there are instances in which nonstatin lipid-lowering therapies may be needed. These scenarios include patient unwillingness to take statins, intolerability of statin side effects, and failure to meet LDL cholesterol goals with statin therapy alone. An analysis of the Patient and Provider Assessment of Lipid Management registry found that more than 25% of adults meeting criteria for statin therapy were not taking one, largely because they were never offered a statin or because they were concerned about potential adverse effects.⁴ Nearly 55% of former statin users in the registry cited perceived side effects, most commonly muscle-related symptoms, as the primary reason for drug discontinuation.⁴ Similarly, a recent meta-analysis estimated between 5% and 17% of patients discontinue statins due to medication side effects, rates far higher than expected in clinical trials.⁵ These findings emphasize the need for ongoing patient education regarding statin use and for clinician familiarity with nonstatin therapies for lipid management.

This review simplifies the guidance on when to consider the addition of nonstatin therapy for LDL-lowering based on recent clinical trial data. We also aim to provide practical strategies that clinicians can use to determine the most appropriate nonstatin therapy as an adjunct to or in place of statins. Many of the recommendations in this review are based on the 2018 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association cholesterol guidelines⁶ and the subsequent 2022 American College of Cardiology Expert Consensus Decision Pathway⁷ on the role of nonstatin therapies for lowering LDL cholesterol. We also include recently published outcome data from CLEAR (Cholesterol Lowering via Bempedoic Acid [ECT1002], an ACL [adenosine triphosphate-citrate lyase]-Inhibiting Regimen).⁸ This large double-blind, randomized controlled trial of 13,970 patients with statin intolerance were assigned to bempedoic acid or placebo, which has provided robust evidence for another nonstatin agent in the ever-changing landscape of LDL cholesterol management.

INDICATIONS AND GOALS FOR LIPID-LOWERING THERAPY

A holistic assessment of each patient's cardiovascular risk and baseline lipid profile is essential for determining goal levels of LDL cholesterol. The 2018 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force multisociety guideline⁶ on the management of blood cholesterol and the 2019 European Society of Cardiology/European Atherosclerosis Society guidelines⁹ for the management of dyslipidemias discuss in detail the indications and treatment goals for lipid-lowering therapy. Evidence-based indications for lipid-lowering therapy are divided into primary and secondary prevention of ASCVD, and recommendations for either moderate- or high-intensity statin therapy depend on estimated cardiovascular risk.

Patients treated for primary prevention include adults with LDL cholesterol of at least 190 mg/dL or patients between ages 40 and 75 who have diabetes or an estimated 10-year risk for ASCVD of least 7.5% (taking into consideration comorbidities and risk-enhancers).^{6,9} On the other hand, patients treated for secondary prevention have clinical manifestations of ASCVD-cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, or peripheral arterial disease—and are further subdivided into high-risk and very-high-risk categories. In patients for whom lipid-lowering therapy is indicated, the next decision is to what level the cholesterol-most commonly LDL cholesterol-should be lowered. Over the past decade, more and more data have supported the notion that lower is better regarding levels of atherogenic lipids.

The society guidelines noted indicators for efficacy and suggest that there is a relative target level of cholesterol reduction (ie, 30% to 49% reduction for moderate-intensity statins or \geq 50% reduction for high-intensity statins from baseline LDL cholesterol) for patients treated with lipid-lowering therapy.^{6,7,9} One concern with this strategy from a practical perspective is that many patients have been on some form of LDL-lowering therapy, and a true "baseline" LDL level may not be available. Another issue with this approach is that patients with significant hypercholesteremia may have LDL cholesterol levels that remain

TABLE 1 Main nonstatin lipid-lowering therapies

Agent	Mechanism	Dosing	Cost	Expected lowering of LDL cholesterol	Major prescribing considerations
Ezetimibe ^{7,10–15}	Inhibition of intestinal cholesterol absorption leads to increased synthesis of LDL receptors and increased LDL cholesterol clearance	Daily oral medication	\$	Monotherapy: 15%–19% With statin therapy: 13%–25%	Generally well tolerated (avoid in hepatic dysfunction) Low cost Available in combination with simvastatin Often first-line recommended nonstatin for lowering LDL
Alirocumab, evolocumab ^{7,16–19}	Monoclonal antibodies bind to PCSK9 protein, reducing destruction of LDL receptors and increasing LDL cholesterol clearance	Subcutaneous injection every 2–4 weeks	\$\$\$	Monotherapy: 50% With statin therapy: 54.7%–70%	Substantially more LDL-lowering than oral options Requires ongoing injections Risk of site reactions Variable insurance coverage may result in high cost
Bempedoic acid ^{7,8,20–22}	Inhibition of ATP citrate lyase leads to a reduction in cholesterol biosynthesis, leading to an increase in LDL receptors and to increased LDL cholesterol clearance	Daily oral medication	\$\$	Monotherapy: 17.2%–26.5% With statin therapy: 16.5%–18% With ezetimibe: 25%–35%	Generally well tolerated No muscle-related side effects Relatively high cost and variable coverage (may need prior authorization) Available as combination therapy with ezetimibe
Inclisiran ^{7,23–26}	Inhibits translation of PCSK9 via RNA interference, reducing destruction of LDL receptors and increasing LDL cholesterol clearance	Subcutaneous injection every 6 months	\$\$\$	Monotherapy: limited data With statin therapy: 39.7%–52.3%	Twice-yearly dosing may be convenient and desirable High cost and variable coverage Limited access (current ongoing cardiovascular outcomes trials ORION-4 and VICTORION-2P)
Bile acid sequestrants ^{7,27}	Less intestinal bile acid absorption leads to an increase in cholesterol converted to bile acid, which leads to an increase in LDL receptors and LDL cholesterol clearance	Daily oral medication	\$	Monotherapy: 15% With statin therapy: additional 10%–16%	Unpalatable agents with gastrointestinal side effects Cardiovascular outcome data older and weaker than other options Not recommended by guidelines to lower LDL cholesterol

ATP = adenosine triphosphate; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; ORION = A Randomized Trial Assessing the Effects of Inclisiran on Clinical Outcomes Among People With Cardiovascular Disease; PCSK9 = proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9; VICTORION = A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter Trial, Assessing the Impact of Inclisiran on Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events in Participants With Established Cardiovascular Disease substantially elevated even after relative reduction. Accordingly, most experts advocate for an absolute LDL cholesterol target alongside a relative reduction and consider the addition of nonstatin therapy when patients remain above goal despite maximally tolerated statin therapy. Absolute LDL cholesterol targets range from 55 mg/dL to 100 mg/dL depending on indication for therapy, overall cardiovascular risk, and patient goals of care.⁷

In recent years, several new nonstatin agents have been shown in clinical trials to both lower LDL cholesterol levels and reduce cardiovascular events in select patients (**Table 1**).^{7,8,10–27} It is worth noting that to date, no LDL-lowering nonstatin therapy has been shown to reduce all-cause or cardiovascular mortality. This may be due to underpowering, inadequate follow-up duration, or a true lack of mortality benefit in the era of goal-directed medical therapy. Regardless, reduction in rates of myocardial infarction, stroke, or coronary revascularization is very meaningful clinically. In the following section, we review nonstatin therapies that clinicians may consider as an adjunct or alternative to statins in select patients.

SPECIFIC NONSTATIN THERAPIES

Ezetimibe

Ezetimibe, US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved in 2002, is the most prescribed nonstatin agent for the treatment of hyperlipidemia.⁷ Ezetimibe blocks the Niemann-Pick C1-Like 1 protein and inhibits uptake of cholesterol in the small intestine, thereby reducing the absorption of dietary and biliary cholesterol.⁷ This subsequently promotes synthesis of hepatic LDL receptors, resulting in a reduction of serum LDL cholesterol.¹⁰ Ezetimibe is an oral medication and lowers serum LDL cholesterol by an additional 13% to 25% from baseline when added to statin therapy depending on statin intensity, or 15% to 19% when given as monotherapy compared with placebo.^{10,11} Ezetimibe is affordable and generally well-tolerated, with principal side effects of headache and upper respiratory tract symptoms occurring in 4% and 8% of patients.¹¹ In addition, no dosage adjustments are required for individuals with hepatic or renal impairment.

The IMPROVE-IT (Improved Reduction of Outcomes: Vytorin Efficacy International Trial),¹² published in 2015, evaluated the effect of ezetimibe in combination with simvastatin, compared with simvastatin alone, in 18,144 patients with recent acute coronary syndrome. At 7 years, the rates for the primary end point (composite of death from cardiovascular disease, major coronary event, or nonfatal stroke) were 32.7% in the simvastatin-ezetimibe group and 34.7% in the simvastatin monotherapy group, with an absolute risk reduction of 2% and number needed to treat of 50 patients over 7 years to prevent 1 event. A later analysis found a high rate of subsequent events not included in the primary analysis—thus, the cardiovascular risk reduction from ezetimibe may be even greater than the original trial suggests.¹³

IMPROVE-IT firmly established the utility of ezetimibe, with other trials lending further support. Published in 2011, the SHARP (Study of Heart and Renal Protection) trial^{13,14} randomized patients with chronic kidney disease and without clinical ASCVD to receive ezetimibe with simvastatin or placebo. With a median 4.9-year follow-up, the study found that patients receiving simvastatin and ezetimibe had a lower incidence of the composite end point (myocardial infarction, coronary death, ischemic stroke, or any revascularization procedure) compared with those receiving placebo (11.3% vs 13.4%; absolute risk reduction 2.1%; number needed to treat 50),^{13,14} though there was limited analysis of the combination compared with simvastatin alone.

The more recent RACING (Randomised Comparison of Efficacy and Safety of Lipid Lowering With Statin Monotherapy Versus Statin-Ezetimibe Combination for High-Risk Cardiovascular Disease) trial,¹⁵ which enrolled 3,780 patients and was published in 2022, demonstrated that the combination of moderate-intensity rosuvastatin and ezetimibe was noninferior to high-intensity rosuvastatin therapy for the composite primary end-point events (cardiovascular death, major cardiovascular events, nonfatal stroke) over a 3-year period. Also notable was the fact that patients receiving combination therapy achieved lower levels of LDL cholesterol and a lower incidence of drug intolerance (4.8% vs 8.2%). These clinical trials have demonstrated ezetimibe's efficacy, safety, and impact on cardiovascular outcomes across a wide spectrum of patients receiving lipid-lowering therapy.

PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) is a protein produced primarily by hepatocytes that circulates in the plasma and binds to LDL receptors, triggering a signaling cascade resulting in lysosomal degradation of the LDL receptors and decreased LDL cholesterol clearance.¹⁶ These fully human monoclonal antibodies bind free plasma PCSK9, preventing PCSK9 interaction with the LDL receptor. This results in increased LDL receptor recycling within hepatocytes

and increased clearance of circulating LDL cholesterol. PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies are administered subcutaneously, usually at 2- or 4-week intervals, and tend to lower LDL cholesterol by approximately 50% when given alone, and by approximately 70% in patients already on statin therapy.¹⁶

Two PCSK9 antibodies—alirocumab and evolocumab—were FDA-approved in 2015.^{7,17-19} The GAUSS-3 (Goal Achievement After Utilizing an Anti-PCSK9 Antibody in Statin Intolerant Subjects 3) trial¹⁷ randomized patients with statin-intolerance to evolocumab or ezetimibe and demonstrated a far more potent LDL cholesterol reduction in patients receiving evolocumab (52.8% vs 16.7%).

In the following years, 2 randomized placebo-controlled trials confirmed the efficacy of PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies in reducing cardiovascular events.^{18,19} The FOURIER (Further Cardiovascular Outcomes Research With PCSK9 Inhibition in Subjects With Elevated Risk) trial,¹⁸ published in 2017, randomized 27,564 patients with clinical ASCVD and LDL cholesterol levels greater than or equal to 70 mg/dL despite statin therapy to the addition of evolocumab or placebo. At a median follow-up of 2.2 years, evolocumab reduced the risk of the primary end point (composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina, or coronary revascularization) compared with placebo (9.8% vs 11.3%; absolute risk reduction 1.5% with number needed to treat 74). The only notable difference in adverse events between groups was a higher rate of injection-site reactions in the evolocumab group (2.1% vs 1.6%).

The ODYSSEY (Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes After an Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With Alirocumab) Outcomes trial¹⁹ evaluated whether alirocumab reduced the risk of recurrent ischemic cardiovascular events in 18,924 patients with recent acute coronary syndrome and cholesterol levels above goal despite maximally tolerated statin therapy. At a median follow-up of 2.8 years, the alirocumab group had a lower incidence of the composite primary end point (death from coronary heart disease, nonfatal myocardial infarction, fatal or nonfatal ischemic stroke, or unstable angina requiring hospitalization) compared with placebo (9.5% vs 11.1%; absolute risk reduction 1.6% and nearly identical to the outcome observed in the FOURIER trial). The ODYSSEY trial had a similarly high rate of local injection-site reactions in the PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies group.

The advent of PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies allowed for the reduction of LDL cholesterol to levels rarely achieved with conventional lipid-lowering therapy, along with an excellent safety profile. The corresponding impact on cardiovascular outcomes gave further credence to the notion that lowering LDL cholesterol levels beyond what is attainable with statins is possible and offers incremental cardiovascular benefit.

Bempedoic acid

Bempedoic acid is an oral medication that inhibits adenosine triphosphate citrate lyase, an enzyme in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway upstream of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (the target of statins).^{7,8,20,21} Like the effect of statins, inhibition of cholesterol synthesis results in increased LDL cholesterol receptor expression and increased clearance of serum LDL cholesterol. Notably, the enzyme required to activate bempedoic acid is present in hepatocytes but absent in skeletal muscle, resulting in far lower concern for statin-associated muscle symptoms compared with statin therapy. Bempedoic acid lowers LDL cholesterol by 17.2% to 26.5% as monotherapy, by 16.5% to 18% when added to a background of statin therapy, and by up to 50% when given as a fixed-dose combination with ezetimibe.^{20,21}

In the recently published CLEAR Outcomes trial,⁸ 13,970 patients with a prior cardiovascular event or at high risk for ASCVD and unable to tolerate more than a very low dose of a statin (22.7% of patients were taking a low-dose statin and 11.5% were taking ezetimibe) were randomized to receive oral bempedoic acid or placebo. In this trial, bempedoic acid lowered LDL cholesterol by about 20% from baseline, and patients receiving bempedoic acid had a 21.6% greater reduction in high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels compared with patients receiving placebo. At a median follow-up of 40.6 months, patients receiving bempedoic acid had a lower incidence of the composite primary end point (death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, or coronary revascularization) compared with placebo (11.7%) vs 13.3%; absolute risk reduction 1.6% with number needed to treat of approximately 63.6).^{8,28} Bempedoic acid was well-tolerated, with rates of discontinuation in the bempedoic acid arm similar to those with placebo. Side effects occurring more frequently in patients receiving bempedoic acid compared with placebo included elevated liver aminotransferase levels (4.5%) vs 3%), renal injury (11.5% vs 8.6%), gout (3.1% vs 2.1%), and cholelithiasis (2.2% vs 1.2%).⁸

It is important to note that in the CLEAR Outcomes trial,⁸ bempedoic acid was given in place of statin therapy (or with a very low average daily statin dose), not as adjunct treatment. This is in contrast to

the landmark trials of other nonstatin therapies, which were generally added to a background of maximally tolerated statin therapy. This trial specifically targeted patients who could not or would not tolerate statin therapy. Patients and their physicians specifically documented the inability or refusal to take a higher dose of statin despite understanding the benefit of statins.⁸ While bempedoic acid lacks outcomes data when given alongside high-intensity statins, it is encouraging that patients who do not tolerate statins have another available therapy that lowers LDL cholesterol and reduces cardiovascular risk.

As mentioned, bempedoic acid is also available in combination with ezetimibe (1 tablet containing 180 mg of bempedoic acid and 10 mg ezetimibe). This combination is FDA-approved to lower LDL cholesterol levels in adults with ASCVD or familial hypercholesterolemia.^{7,22} A phase 3 clinical trial found that after 12 weeks of treatment, this combination reduced LDL cholesterol levels by 36.2%, a greater reduction than that with either bempedoic acid (17.2%) or ezetimibe (23.2%) alone.²² In addition, a recent phase 2 study demonstrated that combination bempedoic acid, ezetimibe, and atorvastatin triple therapy was generally well-tolerated and lowered LDL cholesterol levels by 63.6% compared with placebo, with more than 90% of patients achieving LDL cholesterol concentrations below 70 mg/dL.²⁹ While there are as yet no cardiovascular outcome data specific to this therapy, the significant reduction in LDL levels from synergistic oral therapy is encouraging.

Inclisiran

Beyond monoclonal antibodies for PCSK9-lowering, there have been recent advances in using small interfering RNA molecules (siRNA) to reduce PCSK9 translation at the cellular level. The siRNA molecules engage the natural pathway of RNA interference and lead to the degradation of PCSK9 mRNA, resulting in decreased production of the PCSK9 protein.²³ One such siRNA targeting the PCSK9 protein, inclisiran, was granted FDA approval in December 2021 as an adjunct for adults with clinical ASCVD or familial hypercholesteremia who require lowering of LDL cholesterol beyond what is achieved with statins.⁷

Phase 3 clinical trials published in 2020 (A Randomized Trial Assessing the Effects of Inclisiran on Clinical Outcomes Among People With Cardiovascular Disease [ORION] 9, 10, and 11) demonstrated that inclisiran, administered twice yearly as an injection, was well-tolerated without any major adverse events.^{24,25} Patients receiving inclisiran had a 39.7% to 52.3% reduction of LDL cholesterol on top of statin therapy.²⁵ It is worth noting, however, that inclisiran appears to be less efficacious at lowering LDL cholesterol than PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies.²⁶

In an extension study of ORION-1,²⁶ 92 patients originally assigned to placebo were treated with twicemonthly evolocumab for 1 year and subsequently transitioned to twice-yearly inclisiran. Treatment with evolocumab lowered LDL cholesterol by 61% followed by a time-averaged LDL cholesterol reduction of 45% over 3 years after switching to inclisiran.²⁶ Though the early data on LDL cholesterol reduction and drug safety appear promising, larger trials examining the impact of siRNA-based therapies on cardiovascular risk reduction are ongoing, and effects of inclisiran on cardiovascular outcomes remain undetermined. There are currently 2 ongoing trials-ORION-4 and VIC-TORION-2P Prevent (A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter Trial, Assessing the Impact of Inclisiran on Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events in Participants With Established Cardiovascular Disease) that aim to determine whether inclisiran will impact cardiovascular morbidity or mortality for primary and secondary prevention.

THERAPIES NOT ROUTINELY RECOMMENDED FOR LIPID MANAGEMENT

Dietary supplements

Nearly every clinician who prescribes lipid-lowering pharmacotherapy has been asked about the use of dietary supplements to lower LDL cholesterol. Of the many available supplements, red yeast rice and plant sterols have the most data supporting lipid-lowering, with various studies reporting LDL cholesterol reductions on the order of 10% to 25%.^{30,31} In fact, plant sterols are endorsed as an option to lower blood cholesterol levels in the 2019 revision of the European Society of Cardiology/European Atherosclerosis Society dyslipidemia guideline.⁹ A principal problem, however, is that most supplements are not FDA-regulated, so different manufacturers or formulations may have varying efficacy. Further, there is a dearth of quality data on the effect of these supplements on cardiovascular health.

The recently published Supplements, Placebo, or Rosuvastatin Study³² compared the efficacy of common supplements that have been purported to lower lipid levels on lowering the LDL cholesterol concentration.³² This prospective, single-blind clinical trial randomized 190 patients without evidence of clinical ASCVD but with an increased 10-year ASCVD risk and LDL cholesterol of 70 to 189 mg/dL to receive

TABLE 2 Clinical trials of nonstatin therapy

Trial	Intervention	Study population, prevention goal	Composite primary outcome	Major findings
IMPROVE-IT 2015 ¹²	Simvastatin plus ezetimibe vs simvastatin only	18,144 patients with recent acute coronary syndrome and LDL cholesterol 50–125 mg/dL Secondary prevention	Cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, unstable angina, or coronary revascularization	Simvastatin + ezetimibe combination reduced primary end point at 7 years (32.7% vs 34.7%) Driven primarily by myocardial infarction and stroke No mortality effect
FOURIER 2017 ¹⁸	Evolocumab plus statin vs statin alone	27,564 patients with ASCVD and LDL cholesterol ≥ 70 mg/dL despite statin use Secondary prevention	Cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, unstable angina, or coronary revascularization	Evolocumab reduced primary end point at 2.2 years (9.8% vs 11.3%) Driven by myocardial infarction, stroke, need for revascularization No mortality effect
ODYSSEY 2018 ¹⁹	Alirocumab + statin vs statin alone	18,924 patients with recent acute coronary syndrome and elevated lipids despite statin use Secondary prevention	Cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, or unstable angina	Alirocumab reduced primary end point at 2.8 years (9.5% vs 11.1%) No significant mortality benefit
CLEAR 2023 ⁸	Bempedoic acid vs placebo	13,970 patients with ASCVD or at high risk and unable to take statins Primary and secondary prevention	Cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, or coronary revascularization	Bempedoic acid reduced primary end point at 40.6 months (11.7% vs 13.3%) No significant effect on fatal or nonfatal stroke, cardiovascular death, or all-cause mortality

ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; LDL = low-density lipoprotein

rosuvastatin 5 mg daily, placebo, fish oil, cinnamon, garlic, turmeric, plant sterols, or red yeast rice. After 28 days, rosuvastatin decreased LDL cholesterol levels by 35.2%, while none of the dietary supplements demonstrated a significant decrease in LDL cholesterol compared with placebo. Though this trial did not assess cardiovascular outcomes, it provides evidence that the studied supplements—often promoted for cholesterol-lowering benefits—do not significantly impact levels of atherogenic lipids.

Bile acid sequestrants

Bile acid sequestrants, such as cholestyramine, colesevelam, or colestipol, were one of the first classes of lipid-lowering therapies.^{7,27} These nonabsorbed polymers bind intestinal bile acids and impede their reabsorption, leading to a decrease in the bile acid pool and concurrent increase in the conversion of cholesterol to bile acids. The net effect is a modest reduction of LDL cholesterol, with possible increase in serum triglyceride concentrations. On average, bile acid sequestrants were shown to reduce LDL cholesterol by about 15% as monotherapy and an additional 10% to 16% in combination with statin therapy.

The Lipid Research Clinics Coronary Primary Prevention trial,²⁷ published in 1984, randomized 3,806 asymptomatic men with primary hypercholesterolemia to cholestyramine compared with placebo for an average of 7.4 years. Cumulative incidence of the primary end point (definite coronary heart disease death and/or definite nonfatal myocardial infarction) was 7% in the cholestyramine group compared with 8.6% in



Figure 1. Practical approach to the addition of nonstatin therapy.

^aIndividual LDL-cholesterol target based on patient risk profile.

^bNo current cardiovascular outcome data.

LDL = low-density lipoprotein; PCSK9 = proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9

those receiving placebo (relative risk reduction of 19% and absolute risk reduction of 1.6%).²⁷ Though this study showed an effect on cardiovascular outcomes, the use of bile acid sequestrants is limited by drug-drug interactions (often decreasing absorption of other medications) and frequently intolerable gastrointestinal side effects, including nausea, constipation, and dyspepsia. In light of the cardiovascular outcomes data for both statins and recent nonstatin therapies, the clinical utility of bile acid sequestrants continues to diminish.

Niacin and fibrates

Niacin and fibrates (fenofibrate or gemfibrozil) are prescribed primarily as triglyceride-lowering drugs, though they may also mildly lower LDL cholesterol levels.³³ The effects of fibrates on LDL cholesterol are quite minimal, and more importantly, randomized trials have not reliably shown these therapies to reduce cardiovascular risk. Some older data suggested that fibrates may be beneficial in patients with high triglyceride or low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, though the effect is more modest than statins, and the combination of fibrates and statins often results in significant myalgias.

Despite lowering LDL cholesterol, niacin is poorly tolerated with significant side effects, and more importantly has failed to demonstrate a benefit for cardiovascular outcomes in the era of statins.³⁴ While niacin and fibrates may have a niche in carefully selected patients with very high triglycerides, neither is currently recommended as an alternative or adjunct to statin therapy for lowering LDL cholesterol.

THERAPIES SPECIFIC TO PATIENTS WITH FAMILIAL HYPERCHOLESTEROLEMIA

Familial hypercholesterolemia is an inherited disorder that results in very high levels of LDL cholesterol and an increased risk of premature ASCVD. Clinical features differ depending on whether one or both alleles are affected. While homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia is very rare, heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia is the most common monogenic autosomal dominant disorder, affecting 1 in 250 individuals.^{24,35} Patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia are nearly always treated with lipid-lowering therapy and will often require additional therapies beyond high-intensity statins to lower LDL cholesterol.³⁶ Patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia will nearly always require nonstatin therapies, and there are specific therapies, such as lomitapide (a microsomal triglyceride transfer protein inhibitor, necessary for very low LDL assembly and secretion) and evinacumab (a monoclonal antibody against angiopoietin-like protein 3, a regulator of lipoprotein metabolism), approved specifically for these patients.³⁶ These therapies are not currently approved for nonhomozygous familial hypercholesterolemia patients and are given under the direction of a lipid specialist; they are thus beyond the scope of this review.

CHOICE OF NONSTATIN THERAPY: A PRACTICAL APPROACH

Once patient and clinician have decided to initiate nonstatin therapy, there are multiple factors that should be considered when choosing the agent. First, patients should preferentially be prescribed therapies that have been shown not only to lower LDL cholesterol, but also to reduce ASCVD risk. Therapies with high-quality evidence for reducing cardiovascular events include ezetimibe, bempedoic acid, and PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies (landmark trials detailed in **Table 2**).^{8,12,18,19}

There is also biological plausibility for ASCVD risk-reduction benefit with bempedoic acid-ezetimibe combination therapy and inclisiran, though these therapies lack outcome data currently. Other important considerations for patients may include efficacy of LDL cholesterol-lowering, route of administration (oral or subcutaneous injection), cost (insurance plan coverage, availability of assistance programs, or need for prior authorization), and attention to drug-drug interactions and side effects of each agent.³⁷ Given the number of patients requiring lipid-lowering therapy, with multiple agents to choose from and varying recommendations from major societal guidelines, a simplified approach is needed.

We propose an algorithmic approach for the addition of nonstatin therapy (**Figure 1**). From a practical standpoint, we categorize LDL cholesterol goals by whether patients are being treated for primary prevention (goal LDL cholesterol 70 to 100 mg/dL) or secondary prevention (goal LDL cholesterol 55 to 70 mg/dL), with the understanding that patients and clinicians will modify LDL cholesterol goals based on patient cardiovascular risk profile and the desire for more-aggressive rather than less-aggressive cholesterol reduction. We propose to subsequently stratify the choice of nonstatin therapy based on patient LDL cholesterol level at the time of initiation of therapy, which informs how much additional LDL cholesterol-lowering is required.

In patients who require LDL cholesterol-lowering of at least 30% from current levels, upfront therapy with a PCSK9 monoclonal antibody (alirocumab or evolocumab) is reasonable, assuming the patient does not have financial coverage barriers and can tolerate subcutaneous injections. Inclisiran is a possible alternative for these patients, though cardiovascular outcome data are not yet available. For patients who have barriers to PCSK9-inhibiting therapies or require less than 30% LDL cholesterol-lowering, ezetimibe or bempedoic acid are evidence-based oral options with modest impact on LDL cholesterol levels, though greater reduction can be achieved with combination therapy.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

ASCVD remains the world's leading cause of death despite advances in our understanding of the disease process. Statin therapy has been revolutionary in improving cardiovascular outcomes, particularly for high-risk patients, but there remains a need for other forms of lipid-lowering therapy as an adjunct or alternative to statins. Landmark clinical trials have cemented ezetimibe, PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies, and bempedoic acid as nonstatin agents that both lower LDL cholesterol levels and provide cardiovascular benefit to patients, with a large-scale outcome trial currently testing the efficacy of inclisiran.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ference BA, Ginsberg HN, Graham I, et al. Low-density lipoproteins cause atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. 1. Evidence from genetic, epidemiologic, and clinical studies. A consensus statement from the European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel. Eur Heart J 2017; 38(32):2459–2472. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehx144
- Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaboration, Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, et al. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. Lancet 2010; 376(9753): 1670–1681. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61350-5
- Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease. Lancet 1994; 344(8934):1383–1389. pmid:7968073
- Bradley CK, Wang TY, Li S, et al. Patient-reported reasons for declining or discontinuing statin therapy: insights from the PALM Registry. J Am Heart Assoc 2019; 8(7):e011765. doi:10.1161/JAHA.118.011765
- Bytyçi I, Penson PE, Mikhailidis DP, et al. Prevalence of statin intolerance: a meta-analysis. Eur Heart J 2022; 43(34):3213–3223. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehac015
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/ AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on clinical practice guidelines [published correction appears in J Am Coll Cardiol 2019; 73(24):3234–3237]. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019; 73(24):3168–3209. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.11.002
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Morris PB, Ballantyne CM, et al. 2022 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on the role of nonstatin therapies for LDL-cholesterol lowering in the management of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee [published correction appears in J Am Coll Cardiol 2023; 81(1):104]. J Am Coll Cardiol 2022; 80(14):1366–1418. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2022.07.006

Additional novel therapies to lower LDL cholesterol are entering clinical development, including recently completed phase 2b studies of an oral macrocyclic peptide PCSK9 inhibitor (MK-0616) and a liver-targeted antisense oligonucleotide that inhibits PCSK9 expression (AZD8233).^{38,39} Other regulators of LDL cholesterol levels, such as angiopoietin-like protein 3, apolipoprotein C-III, and cholesteryl ester transfer protein have also emerged as promising targets for lipid-lowering drug development.^{40,41} There is no doubt that the landscape of nonstatin therapies will continue to evolve in coming years, with each therapy having unique indications, advantages, disadvantages, and evidence base, and ultimately providing patients more therapeutic options for reducing cardiovascular risk.

DISCLOSURES

Dr. Cho has disclosed consulting for AstraZeneca, Esperion, and Merck; research: PI for AstraZeneca and Novartis; steering committee for CLEAR outcomes for Esperion. The other author reports no relevant financial relationships which, in the context of his contributions, could be perceived as a potential conflict of interest.

- Nissen SE, Lincoff AM, Brennan D, et al. Bempedoic acid and cardiovascular outcomes in statin-intolerant patients. N Engl J Med 2023; 388(15):1353–1364. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2215024
- Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk [published correction appears in Eur Heart J 2020; 41(44):4255]. Eur Heart J 2020; 41(1):111–188. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehz455
- Bruckert E, Giral P, Tellier P. Perspectives in cholesterol-lowering therapy: the role of ezetimibe, a new selective inhibitor of intestinal cholesterol absorption. Circulation 2003; 107(25):3124–3128. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000072345.98581.24
- Knopp RH, Gitter H, Truitt T, et al. Effects of ezetimibe, a new cholesterol absorption inhibitor, on plasma lipids in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. Eur Heart J 2003; 24(8):729–741. doi:10.1016/s0195-668x(02)00807-2
- Cannon CP, Blazing MA, Giugliano RP, et al. Ezetimibe added to statin therapy after acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2015; 372(25):2387–2397. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1410489
- Pradhan A, Bhandari M, Sethi R. Ezetimibe and improving cardiovascular outcomes: current evidence and perspectives. Cardiol Res Pract 2020; 2020:9815016. doi:10.1155/2020/9815016
- Baigent C, Landray MJ, Reith C, et al. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin plus ezetimibe in patients with chronic kidney disease (study of heart and renal protection): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2011; 377(9784):2181–2192. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60739-3
- Kim BK, Hong SJ, Lee YJ, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of moderate-intensity statin with ezetimibe combination therapy versus high-intensity statin monotherapy in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (RACING): a randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2022; 400(10349):380–390. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00916-3
- Shapiro MD, Tavori H, Fazio S. PCSK9: from basic science discoveries to clinical trials. Circ Res 2018; 122(10):1420–1438. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.311227

- Nissen SE, Stroes E, Dent-Acosta RE, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of evolocumab vs ezetimibe in patients with muscle-related statin intolerance: the GAUSS-3 randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2016; 315(15):1580–1590. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.3608
- Sabatine MS, Giugliano RP, Keech AC, et al. Evolocumab and clinical outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med 2017; 376(18):1713–1722. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1615664
- Schwartz GG, Steg PG, Szarek M, et al. Alirocumab and cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med 2018; 379(22):2097–2107. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1801174
- Ray KK, Bays HE, Catapano AL, et al. Safety and efficacy of bempedoic acid to reduce LDL cholesterol. N Engl J Med 2019; 380(11):1022–1032. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1803917
- Laufs U, Ballantyne CM, Banach M, et al. Efficacy and safety of bempedoic acid in patients not receiving statins in phase 3 clinical trials. J Clin Lipidol 2022; 16(3):286–297. doi:10.1016/j.jacl.2022.03.001
- Ballantyne CM, Laufs U, Ray KK, et al. Bempedoic acid plus ezetimibe fixed-dose combination in patients with hypercholesterolemia and high CVD risk treated with maximally tolerated statin therapy. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2020; 27(6):593–603. doi:10.1177/2047487319864671
- 23. Fitzgerald K, White S, Borodovsky A, et al. A highly durable RNAi therapeutic inhibitor of PCSK9. N Engl J Med 2017; 376(1):41–51. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1609243
- Raal FJ, Kallend D, Ray KK, et al. Inclisiran for the treatment of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. N Engl J Med 2020; 382(16):1520–1530. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1913805
- Ray KK, Wright RS, Kallend D, et al. Two phase 3 trials of inclisiran in patients with elevated LDL cholesterol. N Engl J Med 2020; 382(16):1507–1519. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1912387
- Ray KK, Troquay RPT, Visseren FLJ, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of inclisiran in patients with high cardiovascular risk and elevated LDL cholesterol (ORION-3): results from the 4-year open-label extension of the ORION-1 trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2023; 11(2):109–119. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(22)00353-9
- National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Lipid Research Clinics Program. The Lipid Research Clinics Coronary Primary Prevention trial results. I. Reduction in incidence of coronary heart disease. JAMA 1984; 251(3):351–364. doi:10.1001/jama.1984.03340270029025
- 28. Bempedoic acid and cardiovascular outcomes. Drug Ther Bull 2023 Oct 13. doi:10.1136/dtb.2023.000054. Online ahead of print
- Rubino J, MacDougall DE, Sterling LR, Hanselman JC, Nicholls SJ. Combination of bempedoic acid, ezetimibe, and atorvastatin in patients with hypercholesterolemia: a randomized clinical trial. Atherosclerosis 2021; 320:122–128. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2020.12.023
- Cicero AFG, Fogacci F, Zambon A. Red yeast rice for hypercholesterolemia: JACC focus seminar. J Am Coll Cardiol 2021; 77(5):620–628. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.056

- Makhmudova U, Schulze PC, Lütjohann D, Weingärtner O. Phytosterols and cardiovascular disease. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2021; 23(11):68. doi:10.1007/s11883-021-00964-x
- Laffin LJ, Bruemmer D, Garcia M, et al. Comparative effects of low-dose rosuvastatin, placebo, and dietary supplements on lipids and inflammatory biomarkers. J Am Coll Cardiol 2023; 81(1):1–12. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2022.10.013
- Miller M, Stone NJ, Ballantyne C, et al. Triglycerides and cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2011; 123(20):2292–2333. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e3182160726
- 34. D'Andrea E, Hey SP, Ramirez CL, Kesselheim AS. Assessment of the role of niacin in managing cardiovascular disease outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open 2019; 2(4):e192224. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.2224
- 35. Benn M, Watts GF, Tybjærg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Mutations causative of familial hypercholesterolaemia: screening of 98,098 individuals from the Copenhagen General Population Study estimated a prevalence of 1 in 217. Eur Heart J 2016; 37(17):1384–1394. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehw028
- Jeraj N, Huang S-HS, Kennedy BA, Hegele RA. Treatment of homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia with evinacumab. CJC Open 2021; 4(3):347–349. doi:10.1016/j.cjco.2021.11.009
- Navar AM, Mulder HM, Wojdyla DM, Peterson ED. Have the major cardiovascular outcomes trials impacted payer approval rates for PCSK9 inhibitors? Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2020; 13(1):e006019. doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.119.006019
- Ballantyne CM, Banka P, Mendez G, et al. Phase 2b randomized trial of the oral PCSK9 inhibitor MK-0616. J Am Coll Cardiol 2023; 81(16):1553–1564. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2023.02.018
- Hofherr A, Nilsson CA, Rekic D. Abstract 9747: Safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of multiple ascending doses of AZD8233, targeting PCSK9, in patients with dyslipidemia. Circulation 2021; 144:A9747. https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/ circ.144.suppl_1.9747. Accessed December 13, 2023.
- Akoumianakis I, Zvintzou E, Kypreos K, Filippatos TD. ANGPTL3 and apolipoprotein C-III as novel lipid-lowering targets. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2021; 23(5):20. doi:10.1007/s11883-021-00914-7
- Nurmohamed NS, Ditmarsch M, Kastelein JJP. Cholesteryl ester transfer protein inhibitors: from high-density lipoprotein cholesterol to low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering agents? Cardiovasc Res 2022; 118(14):2919–2931. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvab350

Address: Leslie S. Cho, MD, Section Head, Preventive Cardiology and Cardiac Rehabilitation, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, JB-1, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; chol@ccf.org

CME MOC

How to earn *AMA PRA Category 1 Credit*™ and ABIM MOC points

AMA/PRA Category 1 Credit™

To read articles as CME activities and claim credit, go to www.ccjm.org, click on the "CME/MOC" menu, and then "Articles." Find the articles that you want to read as CME activities and click on the appropriate links. After reading an article, click on the link to complete the activity. You will be asked to log in to your MyCME account (or to create an account). Upon logging in, select "CME," complete the activity evaluation, and print your certificate.

Call 216-444-2661 or e-mail ccjm@ccf.org with questions.

Maintenance of Certification (MOC) Points

All *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine* CME activities are eligible for ABIM MOC points. Physicians may claim MOC points in addition to CME credit.

Follow the instructions for completing and claiming credit for CME activities.

When you log into your MyCME account, select "CME & MOC" and enter your ABIM identification number and your date of birth. The system will store this information after you enter it the first time.

Complete the quiz and evaluation and print your CME certificate.

January 2024 CME/MOC activity:

Estimated time to complete the activity: up to 1 hour

Nonstatin therapy to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and improve cardiovascular outcomes

Release date: January 1, 2024 Expiration date: December 31, 2024

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES: In accordance with the Standards for Integrity and Independence issued by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), The Cleveland Clinic Center for Continuing Education mitigates all relevant conflicts of interest to ensure CE activities are free of commercial bias.

AUTHOR AND STAFF DISCLOSURES: Authors' potential conflicts of interest are disclosed within their articles. *Cleveland Clinic Journal* of *Medicine's* staff disclose the following financial relationships that may be relevant to their editorial roles: Dr. Brian F. Mandell (Editor in Chief) reports teaching and speaking for Genentech; and consulting for Horizon Pharma. Dr. Kristin Highland (Associate Editor) has disclosed financial interests (consulting, research, teaching, and speaking) with Actelion Pharmaceuticals, Bayer Healthcare, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eiger Biopharmaceuticals, Gententech, Gossamer Bio, Lilly, Reata Pharmaceuticals, United Therapeutics, and Viela Bio. Dr. Christian Nasr (Associate Editor) reports service on advisory committees or review panels for Exelixis, Horizon Pharma, Neurogastrx, and Nevro Corp.; and consulting for Siemens.

DISCLAIMER: The information in these educational activities is provided for general medical education purposes only and is not meant to substitute for the independent medical judgment of a physician relative to diagnostic and treatment options of a specific patient's medical condition. The viewpoints expressed in these CME activities are those of the authors. They do not represent an endorsement by The Cleveland Clinic Foundation. In no event will The Cleveland Clinic Foundation be liable for any decision made or action taken in reliance upon the information provided through these CME activities.

CME ACCREDITATION:

In support of improving patient care, Cleveland Clinic Center for Continuing Education is jointly accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE), and the American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC), to provide continuing education for the healthcare team.

The Cleveland Clinic Center for Continuing Education designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1.0 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditTM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Participants claiming CME credit from this activity may submit the credit hours to the American Osteopathic Association for Category 2 credit.

AMERICAN BOARD OF INTERNAL MEDICINE (ABIM):

Successful completion of this CME activity, which includes participation in the evaluation component, enables the participant to earn up to 1.0 MOC points in the American Board of Internal Medicine's (ABIM) Maintenance of Certification (MOC) program. It is the CME activity provider's responsibility to submit participant completion information to ACCME for the purpose of granting ABIM MOC credit.

Please Note: To receive MOC you must select the MOC option during the online credit claiming process and complete the required steps. ABIM MOC points will be reported within 30 days of claiming credit.