

Loutfi S. Aboussouan, MD

Director, Center of Restrictive Thoracic Disorders, Departments of Pulmonary Medicine and Sleep Disorders, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; Associate Professor, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Aparna Bhat, MD

Departments of Pulmonary Medicine and Sleep Disorders, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

Todd Coy, DMD

Department of Dentistry, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; Clinical Assistant Professor, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Alan Kominsky, MD

Department of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; Assistant Professor, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Treatments for obstructive sleep apnea: CPAP and beyond

ABSTRACT

Treatment options for obstructive sleep apnea include positive airway pressure and alternatives such as behavioral interventions, oral appliances, nasal expiratory positive airway pressure, negative pressure interventions, and surgical procedures. Certain drugs are also promising. An important aspect of the treatment includes troubleshooting the reasons for poor adherence to positive airway pressure treatment, discussing alternatives based either on individual preference or on phenotypic characterization of the sleep apnea, and managing expectations.

KEY POINTS

As many as one-fourth of people age 30 to 70 may have obstructive sleep apnea, and the prevalence may be increasing.

Patients should not expect continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy to help them lose weight. In fact, some patients gain weight on it.

Bariatric surgery may fail to control obstructive sleep apnea in over 20% of patients and may be associated with lower CPAP adherence.

Hypoglossal nerve stimulation is a newer surgical option for select patients who cannot use CPAP.

CONTINUOUS POSITIVE AIRWAY pressure (CPAP) remains the gold standard treatment for obstructive sleep apnea, but it is not the only one. Alternative treatments may be better suited to some patients,¹ as this is a heterogeneous disorder with distinct clinical, polysomnographic, and physiologic phenotypes.^{2,3}

Here, we review conservative, pressure-based, and surgical treatments for obstructive sleep apnea, including their indications, effectiveness, caveats, and the patients for whom they might be most effective.

■ DIAGNOSIS BASED ON APNEA-HYPOPNEA INDEX

The American Academy of Sleep Medicine⁴ bases the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea on the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI), ie, the number of obstructive respiratory events (apnea, hypopnea, or respiratory effort-related arousal) per hour of sleep, defined as one of the following:

- 15 or more events per hour, regardless of symptoms or comorbidities
- 5 or more events per hour with clinically significant symptoms (eg, daytime sleepiness, loud snoring, witnessed apneas, nocturnal awakenings with choking or gasping) or comorbidities (eg, hypertension, heart disease, diabetes, cognitive impairment).

The Wisconsin Sleep Cohort Study⁵ reported that in the years 2007 to 2010, 26% of people age 30 to 70 had an AHI of at least 5, and about 10% had an AHI of at least 15

TABLE 1
Conservative and medical treatments for obstructive sleep apnea

Treatment	Indications	Reduction in apnea-hypopnea index	Caveats	Possible predictors of success
Weight loss ⁷	BMI ≥ 26 kg/m ²	26% per 10% weight loss	Weight loss and lifestyle changes difficult to maintain	Higher BMI, larger neck circumference
Positional therapy ^{13,14}	Positional sleep apnea	7.4 fewer events per hour	10% have sleep disturbance, back or chest discomfort	Positional obstructive sleep apnea, no obesity, lower AHI
Drug therapy ^{15,16}	No current labeling of specific drugs	Noradrenergic with antimuscarinic: 76%	Anticholinergic effects	Lower AHI and decreased collapsibility
		Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: 45%	Paresthesia, dyspepsia	High loop gain
Oxygen therapy ^{17,18,21}	Inability to tolerate positive airway pressure, failure of upper airway surgery	72.5% in responders (25% of patients)	Prolongs apnea, increases risk of hypercarbia, no effect on blood pressure or excessive daytime sleepiness	High loop gain, decreased collapsibility, and increased pharyngeal compensation
Oral appliances ^{19,22}	Can be first-line, especially in mild to moderate obstructive sleep apnea	56%; effective in 68% of patients after 2 years of treatment	Temporomandibular joint dysfunction, occlusion changes; requires manual dexterity	Retracted maxilla and mandible, narrow airway, short soft palate, positional obstructive sleep apnea, lower BMI, female, smaller neck circumference, lower AHI
Myofunctional tongue stimulation ²⁰	Alternative to CPAP, or adjunct to CPAP to improve adherence	50%	Not recommended as standard treatment	Ineffective upper-airway dilator muscles

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; BMI = body mass index; CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure therapy

(representing moderate or severe obstructive sleep apnea), and that these were “substantial” increases compared with estimates from 1988 to 1994.

Untreated, obstructive sleep apnea causes daytime sleepiness in more than half of patients,⁶ and increases the risk of motor vehicular accidents by 17%.⁷ Long-term consequences include hypertension,⁸ incident diabetes,⁹ cardiovascular events,¹⁰ and impairment in several domains of cognition, including attention, memory, and executive function.^{11,12} Fortunately, the risks of these complications are modifiable with therapy.

■ CONSERVATIVE TREATMENTS

Therapy usually includes weight loss, exercise, positional therapy, and alcohol avoidance as adjuncts to CPAP, while other conservative treatments can be alternatives to it (Table 1).^{7,13–22}

Weight loss

The body mass index is an important predictor of obstructive sleep apnea and figures prominently in prediction scales.²³ Conversely, in a longitudinal study, a 10% weight loss predicted a 26% decrease in AHI.²⁴ Weight loss decreases the collapsibility of the airway as measured by the pharyngeal critical closing pressure, with near-complete resolution of apnea when the pharyngeal critical closing pressure drops below -4 cm H₂O.²⁵

Lifestyle modifications. In a large long-term randomized study in patients with obesity, diabetes, and sleep apnea, the rate of remission of obstructive sleep apnea at 10 years was 34.4% with intensive lifestyle interventions compared with 22.2% with diabetes support and education only.²⁶ The improvement in severity of obstructive sleep apnea was related to the change in body weight and to the original AHI.

Bariatric surgery can significantly improve obstructive sleep apnea, with rates of cure reported as 86%,²⁷ 57%,²⁸ and 45%.²⁹ However, in 1 study,²⁹ moderate or severe obstructive sleep apnea persisted in 20% of patients after surgery. In a randomized trial,³⁰ the reduction in AHI was not statistically significantly greater with gastric banding than with conventional weight loss, even though patients who underwent gastric banding lost more weight. Patients therefore need to be aware that bariatric surgery may not cure their obstructive sleep apnea, and this should be discussed before surgery.

CPAP adherence was poor after bariatric surgery in another study,²⁸ with patients using their machines on a median of only 49% of nights.²⁸

Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists curb appetite and hunger, reduce food release from the stomach, promote postprandial fullness, and have been highly effective in promoting weight loss. They also decrease the AHI^{31,32} by 6 episodes per hour more than with placebo in 1 study.³¹ Two drugs in this class, liraglutide and semaglutide, are approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for chronic weight management.³³ However, no drugs are approved specifically for treating obstructive sleep apnea (see discussion below).

Exercise

Whether exercise alone improves sleep apnea is uncertain. In the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) study²⁶ of lifestyle interventions including exercise in patients with obesity and diabetes mellitus, the AHI decreased independently of weight change. Similarly, in a meta-analysis, exercise was found to improve obstructive sleep apnea despite minimal weight change.³⁴ In contrast, in another study in overweight adults with diabetes and sleep apnea, fitness did not change the obstructive sleep apnea severity after accounting for the weight change.³⁵

Even so, we recommend exercise for patients with obstructive sleep apnea because it can favorably modify the associated cardiovascular risks.

Positional sleep therapy

The AHI has been observed to be twice as high when people sleep on their back than when they sleep on their side.^{13,36} The increase in AHI in the recumbent position was most prominent in people with less obesity and near-normal weight,¹³ and patients with positional sleep apnea tended to have a lower body mass index and lower overall AHI than those with nonpositional sleep apnea.³⁷

Positional therapy uses a variety of devices or garments to keep patients off of their back at night, such as pajama tops with a lump or a tennis ball sewn into the back. A Cochrane review¹⁴ found no difference in the Epworth Sleepiness Scale score, quality of life, or sleep quality with positional therapy compared with CPAP. There were 6.4 fewer events per hour with CPAP, but adherence was 2.5 hours longer per night with positional therapy. Compared with scores in a control group, positional therapy improved the Epworth Sleepiness Scale score by 1.58 points (a difference that is not, however, considered clinically important), and reduced sleep apnea by 7.4 events per hour, but 10% of patients still had sleep disturbances and back or chest discomfort.¹⁴

Alcohol avoidance

In meta-analyses, the prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea was 25% higher in people who consumed alcohol,³⁸ the duration of apnea was longer, and the nadir oxygen saturation was lower.^{39,40} These effects may be mediated by a selective adverse effect of alcohol on airway dilator muscles, with depression of hypoglossal nerve or genioglossus muscle activity and without changes in breathing pattern, minute ventilation, or hypercapnic ventilatory response.^{41,42}

The effect of alcohol on obstructive sleep apnea appears to be particularly pronounced in those with existing snoring or sleep apnea^{39,40} and in men,⁴¹ perhaps reflecting a potential protective effect of progesterone.⁴²

No approved pharmacologic therapy

No drug is currently approved or in common use for managing obstructive sleep apnea, and a Cochrane review from 2013 found insufficient evidence to recommend any drug for it.⁴³

That said, the antidepressants protriptyline and fluoxetine were both found to reduce the number of events of apnea and hypopnea, in part from their expected effects of reducing rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, but also from a reduction in non-REM events.^{44,45} However, the response was variable, and the drugs did not decrease the number of arousal or desaturation events.⁴⁵

Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors such as acetazolamide, zonisamide, and topiramate can reduce the AHI (by 42% in a study of acetazolamide¹⁵) and improve sleep efficiency and oxygen saturation but not sleepiness.¹⁵ The mechanism may relate to breathing stimulation and reduced ventilatory control sensitivity rather than to improvements in airway collapsibil-

ity. For instance, the reduction in the AHI correlates with the reduction in bicarbonate concentration⁴⁶ and with reduction in loop gain (ie, improving an exaggerated ventilatory response upon resumption of breathing following an obstructive event).⁴⁷ The AHI is particularly reduced when carbonic anhydrase inhibitors are combined with CPAP.⁴⁶ A European Respiratory Society guideline has a conditional recommendation based on low-quality evidence to use carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, but only in the context of a randomized control trial.¹⁵

A newer strategy is to counteract 2 mechanisms of pharyngeal hypotonia, namely loss of noradrenergic drive and active muscarinic inhibition,⁴⁸ using a combination of noradrenergic and antimuscarinic agents such as atomoxetine with oxybutynin,⁴⁸ reboxetine with oxybutynin,⁴⁹ or atomoxetine with fesoterodine.⁵⁰ While neither type of agent alone reduced the AHI, the combination can result in a greater than 50% short-term reduction in the AHI,^{48,49} though the success may depend on targeting patients with a phenotype of milder upper airway collapsibility.^{16,50} These combinations may be promising but are not currently available.

Oxygen

Although oxygen is sometimes empirically prescribed as an alternative in patients unable or unwilling to use CPAP, its use for that purpose is not substantiated. In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing CPAP and nocturnal oxygen, both interventions similarly improved nocturnal oxygen desaturation, but oxygen therapy prolonged the duration of sleep-disordered breathing events, may have promoted hypercapnia, and did not improve sleepiness.¹⁷ Further, in patients with obstructive sleep apnea and cardiovascular disease or risk factors for it, oxygen supplementation did not reduce blood pressure, whereas CPAP did.⁵¹

These findings do not preclude the use of oxygen in patients who have specific endophenotypic traits of sleep apnea. For instance, a multivariable model identified the combination of increased loop gain plus decreased collapsibility or increased pharyngeal compensation as a predictor of a decrease in AHI with oxygen supplementation.¹⁸

Oral appliances

Oral appliances can be an effective alternative for many patients with obstructive sleep apnea. These devices stabilize and advance the mandible anteriorly to open the airway, especially laterally in the velopharyngeal area.⁵²

An oral appliance can be a first-line therapy for mild to moderate obstructive sleep apnea and for severe obstructive sleep apnea when a patient cannot tolerate or refuses CPAP.⁵³

When obstructive sleep apnea has been confirmed, the patient should be evaluated by a qualified dentist to determine candidacy for an oral appliance based on the health of dentition and existing dental work, relationship of the maxilla to the mandible, mandibular range of motion, and history of temporomandibular disorders.⁵⁴ The custom-fitted device places the mandible at a comfortable starting position as determined by the dentist and patient, and the device can then be calibrated based on subjective and objective responses within a range comfortable to the patient. When a patient achieves resolution of apnea symptoms, the referring clinician is notified and can confirm treatment efficacy.⁵⁴

Treatment success with oral appliances can be measured in several ways, but often by a decrease in AHI of at least 50%. Using this metric, oral appliance therapy was effective in 68% of 172 patients after 2 years of treatment in one study.¹⁹ In another study, the success rate was 69%, with success defined as at least a 50% reduction in AHI, coupled with an AHI of 10 or less.⁵⁵

Multiple studies have shown that oral appliances can alleviate daytime sleepiness and mental fog, lower high blood pressure, and reduce the risk of cardiovascular-related deaths.^{56–59}

Patients should be seen by a qualified dentist every 6 months for the first year of oral appliance therapy and then annually.⁵⁴ Follow-up is essential to monitor patients for any changes in sleep as well as any device-related side effects such as changes in occlusion or tooth position, jaw pain, temporomandibular joint disorders, and damage to existing dental work.⁵⁴

Myofunctional therapy

Myofunctional therapy consists of interventions such as electrical stimulation of the tongue,^{60,61} speech therapy,^{62,63} circular breathing, singing, or wind-instrument playing,^{64,65} which strengthen the facial, tongue, oropharyngeal, or skeletal structures and enhance the neuromuscular compensatory mechanisms that counteract the anatomic mechanical loads contributing to airway narrowing.^{66,67} A European task force did not recommend myofunctional therapy as a standard treatment of obstructive sleep apnea, based on limited and low-quality evidence.¹⁵ However, it may have a role for patients seeking alternatives to more effective surgical or mechanical options.

TABLE 2
Airway pressure treatments for obstructive sleep apnea

Treatment	Indications	Reduction in apnea-hypopnea index	Caveats	Possible predictors of success
Positive airway pressure ⁷³	First-line treatment for mild obstructive sleep apnea with cardiovascular disease or excessive daytime sleepiness, and moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea	73%	Nasal irritation, dry mouth, sinus infection; weight loss should not be expected	Positional obstructive sleep apnea
Nasal expiratory pressure ^{70,74,75}	Mild to moderate obstructive sleep apnea	70%	Difficulty exhaling, nasal discomfort, dry mouth, different effects between devices	Positional obstructive sleep apnea
Intraoral negative pressure ⁷¹	Moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea	25% have at least a 50% reduction from baseline	Dental or oral tissue discomfort	Retropalatal airway collapse
Negative external pressure ⁷²	Moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea	75%	Skin irritation	Anteroposterior airway collapse

In a systematic review, myofunctional therapy decreased the AHI by 50%, with improvement in oxygen saturation nadir, snoring, and daytime sleepiness.²⁰ One available electrical tongue-stimulation device (eXciteOSA) is FDA-approved for snoring and mild sleep apnea, and objectively improves snoring, sleepiness, sleep-related quality of life, and AHI (from 10.2 to 6.8 events per hour).^{60,61}

An additional role for myofunctional therapy may be as an adjunct to CPAP to improve CPAP adherence,⁶⁸ with potential incremental benefits compared with CPAP alone.⁶⁹

AIRWAY PRESSURE THERAPY

Several types of machines prevent obstructive events by keeping the airway open (Table 2).^{70–75}

CPAP is the mainstay

CPAP is a first-line therapy for moderate or severe obstructive sleep apnea and for mild obstructive sleep apnea associated with comorbidities or cardiovascular risk factors. CPAP machines apply a positive pressure column of air to stent the upper airway and reduce the AHI, often to normal.⁷⁶

CPAP is considered standard of care based on its effectiveness in improving blood pressure control, sleep-related quality of life, and daytime sleepiness, though its effects on cardiovascular risk and glycemic

control are less well established.^{77,78} Blood pressure is lowered even in patients with resistant hypertension.^{79,80} There is conflicting evidence on the impact of CPAP therapy on cognition, with some studies demonstrating a signal toward a mild and transient improvement in executive and frontal lobe cognitive function solely in patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea.^{81,82}

In mild symptomatic obstructive sleep apnea, CPAP is recommended if the patient has daytime sleepiness, in which case CPAP can improve quality of life.⁸³ In a patient with asymptomatic mild obstructive sleep apnea, the decision to use CPAP would be based on a discussion with the patient regarding the potential reduction in cardiovascular risk.

CPAP adherence, particularly in the first few weeks, can be predictive of long-term success with treatment. Insurance companies, including Medicare, require that patients use their CPAP machine for at least 4 hours on 70% of nights. Ideally, patients should use their device for the entire sleep period. Several factors can be addressed to improve patient comfort and compliance, including the type of mask, expiratory pressure relief, short-term use of hypnotics, cognitive behavioral therapy, and frequent contact with the healthcare team with continued education about the expected benefits. Poor CPAP adherence remains a concern, but adherence at 90 days and even

at 1 year was reported as about 75% in recent studies, which is significantly better than earlier data.^{1,84}

Although CPAP is often touted as facilitating weight loss, there is considerable controversy on this topic, and larger well-conducted studies even suggest that it can cause weight gain as a side effect,^{85–87} owing to a reduced sleep and wake metabolic rates and increased caloric intake.^{88,89}

Automatic or auto-titrating positive airway pressure (APAP) is gaining popularity, as it allows one to prescribe a range of pressures that the device can use to stent the airway based on proprietary algorithms. The pressure range can also be adjusted in the outpatient setting according to compliance reports downloaded from the actual device.⁹⁰ Potential benefits of APAP include a lower overall cost with faster initiation of therapy since there is no need for a titration study. Titration studies are therefore becoming less common, though they are still useful to define an APAP pressure range, to meet insurance requirements, or to assist in the appropriate choice of device and pressure settings in more complex cases.

Bilevel positive airway pressure does not have clearly better adherence rates than CPAP but should be considered in patients with sleep-disordered breathing associated with daytime hypercapnia, sleep-related hypoventilation, mixed obstructive and central apnea events, or a high pressure requirement, or in those who cannot tolerate high expiratory pressures.⁹⁰

Nasal expiratory positive airway pressure devices

Nasal expiratory airway pressure (EPAP) devices are alternatives to CPAP for patients with moderate or mild obstructive sleep apnea. Those devices generate a resistance to expiratory flow and are secured to the nose through nasal inserts with optional headgear (Bongo Rx), or nasal pillows with headgear (OptiPillows, ULTepap). In contrast to CPAP, which provides a continuous pressure through both inspiration and expiration, the back pressure generated by EPAP is present only during expiration with minimal inspiratory resistance.⁹¹ Some of these devices have FDA clearance for mild or moderate sleep apnea (Bongo Rx, ULTepap), and others for snoring only (Optipillows).

In an early study (in 1983), EPAP at 10 cm H₂O reduced the number of apnea events, reduced the duration of these events, and improved nocturnal saturation.⁹² In currently used devices, the back pressures generated depend on the flow rates, with significant differences in back pressures at similar flow rates between different devices, ranging from 1 to 14 cm H₂O.^{91,93} In a randomized trial of EPAP vs sham

therapy, the median AHI was reduced from 15.7 to 4.7 events per hour after 1 year.⁷⁰

This intervention works across a range of severity of sleep apnea. Ideal candidates may be those with sleep-disordered breathing that has a positional component (worse when supine compared with lateral or prone).⁹⁴ However, a randomized trial found no benefit from EPAP in patients with moderate to severe sleep apnea who had discontinued CPAP.⁹⁵

Oral negative pressure therapy

This technique applies negative pressure through an intraoral interface held in place with a flange that fits between the teeth and the lips. The iNAP device is FDA-approved for sleep apnea of any severity. This device improves the retropalatal airway size by displacing the anterior-superior segment of the tongue forward and the soft palate anteriorly and superiorly.⁹⁶

Oral negative pressure therapy may be more effective in sleep apnea with retropalatal collapse than with retroglossal airway collapse,⁹⁶ though this was not found in another study.⁹⁷ In a review of the intervention, only 25% to 37% of patients had at least a 50% reduction in the AHI and a residual AHI of 10 or less, and a substantial number of patients still had significant obstructive sleep apnea.⁷¹ The baseline severity of sleep apnea did not correlate with success.⁷¹

Negative external pressure

Continuous negative expiratory pressure is applied by an external silicone collar worn around the anterior neck where it provides negative pressure to open the airway by pulling away the soft tissue structures. As the collar does not cover any facial structures, patients may find it easier to acclimate and adhere to the therapy. The settings of the system are titrated similarly to those of CPAP by increasing the pressure enough to keep the airway open by overcoming the critical airway closing pressure.

In a pilot study, 9 (60%) of 15 patients had an “excellent” response to continuous negative external pressure therapy, defined as reducing the AHI to less than 5 events per hour (down from a baseline of 43.9).⁷²

A newer device can vary the negative external pressure throughout the night and is available in various collar sizes and shapes. In a prospective, open-label trial of this device in 28 patients with moderate obstructive sleep apnea, 14 (50%) had an excellent response and 6 (21%) had a partial response (a decrease in AHI of at least 50% from baseline).⁹⁸

These devices are currently undergoing randomized trials but are not used in practice.

TABLE 3
Surgical treatments for obstructive sleep apnea

Treatment	Indications	Reduction in apnea-hypopnea index	Caveats	Possible predictors of success
Hypoglossal nerve stimulation ^{100–102}	Moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea not tolerating CPAP; BMI < 40 kg/m ² ; AHI 15–100	68%	Tongue weakness, infection, hematoma, pneumothorax	Anteroposterior collapse, female, lower BMI and AHI, higher arousal threshold
Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty ⁹⁹	Excessive daytime sleepiness, AHI > 15	33%; with laser-assisted uvuloplasty, 18%	Velopharyngeal insufficiency, nasal regurgitation, foreign body sensation	Velopharyngeal/retropharyngeal airway collapse
Tongue reduction ⁹⁹	Macroglossia	34% (radiofrequency ablation)	Bleeding, tongue edema causing airway obstruction, wound infection	Large base of tongue, macroglossia
Maxillo-mandibular advancement ⁹⁹	Failure of other options, especially CPAP; can be a primary option with jaw deformities	87%	Change in appearance, dental or facial numbness	Craniofacial deformities with retruded mandible

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; BMI = body mass index; CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure therapy

■ SURGICAL OPTIONS

Surgery for obstructive sleep apnea (Table 3)^{99–102} can be considered when a patient has not found success with other therapies. Most sleep surgeons perform drug-induced sleep endoscopy before considering sleep surgery. This procedure is performed with the patient sedated and asleep but spontaneously breathing. Areas of obstruction and collapse can be identified and surgeries to correct these findings can be contemplated.

Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty

Surgery for obstructive sleep apnea began in earnest in the early 1980s, when Fujita¹⁰³ adapted a procedure used for snoring to treat patients with sleep apnea. Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty has been a mainstay of surgical treatment since that time, with variations and improvements over the years to make it more mucosal-sparing and to address lateral wall collapse.

Success rates vary, as each surgeon uses a slightly different technique. In a meta-analysis based on 15 observational studies (quality of evidence “very low”), the reduction in AHI was 33%.⁹⁹ However, over time, the AHI tends to drift back upward because of loosening of scar tissue or change in body weight.¹⁰⁴

Laser-assisted uvulopalatoplasty, an alternative technique, was found to reduce the AHI by 18% in a meta-analysis of 2 randomized trials (level of evidence “low”).⁹⁹

Tongue reduction

To try to improve the outcomes of uvulopalatopharyngoplasty, surgeons began addressing the tongue. Tongue reduction can be performed in several ways. A midline glossectomy removes an ellipse of tissue in the dorsal surface of the mid-tongue. Radiofrequency treatment can be performed with channeling within the tongue or with needle-tipped prongs to reduce the amount of tongue muscle.

In a meta-analysis of 8 observational studies (level of evidence “very low”), radiofrequency reduction of the tongue was associated with a 34% reduction in the AHI.⁹⁹

Friedman et al¹⁰⁵ created a staging system based on physical findings such as modified Mallampati score (assessment of tongue size and shape vs the oropharyngeal opening) and tonsil size to predict success when performing uvulopalatopharyngoplasty with radiofrequency reduction of the tongue. Patients with a small tongue and large tonsils had the greatest success, while patients with a large tongue and small tonsils had the lowest success rates.¹⁰⁵

Hypoglossal nerve stimulation

The newest development in sleep surgery has been hypoglossal nerve stimulation. The only commercially available system (Inspire) in the United States was approved by the FDA in 2014 and has been steadily gaining acceptance since publication of the

Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction trial.¹⁰⁰ The device consists of an implanted pulse generator, a stimulation lead, and a respiratory sensor lead. The pulse generator augments the neural input of the hypoglossal nerve to the genioglossus and geniohyoid muscles, thereby resulting in protrusion of the tongue forward with each sensed respiration.¹⁰⁶

Indications approved by the FDA are as follows: adult age 22 or older; candidate must have tried CPAP without success, have an AHI between 15 and 100 events per hour (with no more than 25% of events being central or mixed apneas), and have a body mass index of 40 kg/m² or less. Also included are patients ages 18 to 21 with an AHI 15 to 100, and pediatric patients with Down syndrome ages 13 to 18 and an AHI 10 to 50 who have not been effectively treated with or who have a contraindication to adenotonsillectomy, and who have failed or cannot tolerate positive airway pressure therapy. Insurance coverage indications may be more restrictive.¹⁰² For a patient to be considered a candidate for hypoglossal nerve stimulation, a drug-induced sleep endoscopy study is required and must show palatal collapse in an anterior-posterior pattern. Concentric collapse is a contraindication.¹⁰⁰ As experience and technology improve, the eligibility criteria will continue to change.

During the implant surgery, 3 incisions are enough to place the pulse generator and the 2 leads. The incisions are just below the jaw line and in the upper chest. The procedure is done on an outpatient basis, with the patient under general anesthesia. Once the optimal system settings are obtained through in-laboratory polysomnography, the device is activated by the patient only when going to bed.¹⁰⁶

Hypoglossal nerve stimulation is associated with high adherence and durable benefits up to 5 years, consisting of improvements in the Epworth Sleepiness Scale score, patient-reported outcomes comparable with those of CPAP, and reduced AHI.^{100,107–109}

Initial results showed a 68% reduction in AHI and a 66% success rate (defined as an AHI < 20 and at least a 50% reduction in AHI),¹⁰⁰ but with improved surgical technique and better understanding of device programming, success rates have improved to 75% to 80%.¹¹⁰ Female sex, lower baseline body mass index, lower initial AHI, and high arousal threshold predict successful therapy.^{108,110,111}

Studies of cardiovascular outcomes with hypoglossal nerve stimulation are ongoing.¹¹² Heart rate variability during sleep was noted to improve (decrease), and the improvement correlated with improvement in AHI.¹¹³ In a study at Cleveland Clinic,¹¹⁴ positive airway pressure therapy lowered diastolic and mean blood pressure more than hypoglossal nerve stimulation. In another study, although diastolic blood pressure declined by 3.7 mm Hg and mean arterial blood pressure declined by 3.7 mm Hg with hypoglossal nerve stimulation,¹¹⁵ this improvement may be present only in the subset of patients with baseline high blood pressure.¹¹⁴

Maxillomandibular advancement

Skeletal surgery can increase the volume of the airway. A combination of a LeFort 1 osteotomy with a bilateral sagittal split of the mandibular rami creates a larger “box” to give more room around the soft tissue contents of the airway. In 9 case series in 234 patients, this surgery was associated with an 87% reduction in AHI.⁹⁹ However, a study by Kezirian et al¹¹⁶ found that 30 times more uvulopalatopharyngoplasty surgeries were performed compared with maxillomandibular advancements, suggesting that despite the excellent success rate of maxillomandibular advancement, patients are less accepting of the procedure. ■

DISCLOSURES

The authors report no relevant financial relationships which, in the context of their contributions, could be perceived as a potential conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Morrone E, Giordano A, Carli S, et al. Something is changing in adherence to CPAP therapy: real world data after 1 year of treatment in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55(3):1901419. doi:10.1183/13993003.01419-2019
- Eckert DJ, White DP, Jordan AS, Malhotra A, Wellman A. Defining phenotypic causes of obstructive sleep apnea. Identification of novel therapeutic targets. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 188(8):996–1004. doi:10.1164/rccm.201303-0448OC
- Zinchuk A, Yaggi HK. Phenotypic subtypes of OSA: a challenge and opportunity for precision medicine. *Chest* 2020; 157(2):403–420. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2019.09.002
- American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *International Classification of Sleep Disorders*. 3rd ed. Darien, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2014.
- Peppard PE, Young T, Barnet JH, Palta M, Hagen EW, Hla KM. Increased prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing in adults. *Am J Epidemiol* 2013; 177(9):1006–1014. doi:10.1093/aje/kws342
- Bonsignore MR, Pepin JL, Cibella F, et al. Excessive daytime sleepiness in obstructive sleep apnea patients treated with continuous positive airway pressure: data from the European Sleep Apnea Database. *Front Neurol* 2021; 12:690008. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.690008
- Pocobelli G, Akosile MA, Hansen RN, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea and risk of motor vehicle accident. *Sleep Med* 2021; 85:196–203. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2021.07.019
- Cai A, Wang L, Zhou Y. Hypertension and obstructive sleep apnea. *Hypertens Res* 2016; 39(6):391–395. doi:10.1038/hr.2016.11
- Kendzerska T, Gershon AS, Hawker G, Tomlinson G, Leung RS. Obstructive sleep apnea and incident diabetes. A historical cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; 190(2):218–225. doi:10.1164/rccm.201312-2209OC

10. Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Vicente E, Agusti AG. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in men with obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea with or without treatment with continuous positive airway pressure: an observational study. *Lancet* 2005; 365(9464):1046–1053. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71141-7
11. Lal C, Ayappa I, Ayas N, et al. The link between obstructive sleep apnea and neurocognitive impairment: an official American Thoracic Society Workshop report. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2022; 19(8):1245–1256. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.202205-380ST
12. Patel A, Chong DJ. Obstructive sleep apnea: cognitive outcomes. *Clin Geriatr Med* 2021; 37(3):457–467. doi:10.1016/j.cger.2021.04.007
13. Cartwright RD. Effect of sleep position on sleep apnea severity. *Sleep* 1984; 7(2):110–114. doi:10.1093/sleep/7.2.110
14. Srijithesh PR, Aghoram R, Goel A, Dhanya J. Positional therapy for obstructive sleep apnoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2019; 5(5):CD010990. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010990.pub2
15. Randerath W, Verbraecken J, de Raaff CAL, et al. European Respiratory Society guideline on non-CPAP therapies for obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir Rev* 2021; 30(162):210200. doi:10.1183/16000617.0200-2021
16. Taranto-Montemurro L, Messineo L, Azarbarzin A, et al. Effects of the combination of atomoxetine and oxybutynin on OSA endotypic traits. *Chest* 2020; 157(6):1626–1636. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2020.01.012
17. Mehta V, Vasu TS, Phillips B, Chung F. Obstructive sleep apnea and oxygen therapy: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2013; 9(3):271–279. doi:10.5664/jcsm.2500
18. Sands SA, Edwards BA, Terrill PI, et al. Identifying obstructive sleep apnoea patients responsive to supplemental oxygen therapy. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 52(3):1800674. doi:10.1183/13993003.00674-2018
19. Vecchierini MF, Attali V, Collet JM, et al. Mandibular advancement device use in obstructive sleep apnea: ORCADES study 5-year follow-up data. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2021; 17(8):1695–1705. doi:10.5664/jcsm.9308
20. Camacho M, Certal V, Abdullatif J, et al. Myofunctional therapy to treat obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep* 2015; 38(5):669–675. doi:10.5665/sleep.4652
21. Joosten SA, Tan M, Wong AM, et al. A randomized controlled trial of oxygen therapy for patients who do not respond to upper airway surgery for obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2021; 17(3):445–452. doi:10.5664/jcsm.8920
22. Chen H, Eckert DJ, van der Stelt PF, et al. Phenotypes of responders to mandibular advancement device therapy in obstructive sleep apnea patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev* 2020; 49:101229. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2019.101229
23. Prasad KT, Sehgal IS, Agarwal R, Nath Aggarwal A, Behera D, Dhooria S. Assessing the likelihood of obstructive sleep apnea: a comparison of nine screening questionnaires. *Sleep Breath* 2017; 21(4):909–917. doi:10.1007/s11325-017-1495-4
24. Peppard PE, Young T, Palta M, Dempsey J, Skatrud J. Longitudinal study of moderate weight change and sleep-disordered breathing. *JAMA* 2000; 284(23):3015–3021. doi:10.1001/jama.284.23.3015
25. Schwartz AR, Gold AR, Schubert N, et al. Effect of weight loss on upper airway collapsibility in obstructive sleep apnea. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991; 144(3 Pt 1):494–498. doi:10.1164/ajrccm/144.3.Pt.1.494
26. Kuna ST, Reboussin DM, Strotmeyer ES, et al. Effects of weight loss on obstructive sleep apnea severity. Ten-year results of the sleep AHEAD study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2021; 203(2):221–229. doi:10.1164/rccm.201912-2511OC
27. Buchwald H, Avidor Y, Braunwald E, et al. Bariatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis [published correction appears in *JAMA* 2005; 293(14):1728]. *JAMA* 2004; 292(14):1724–1737. doi:10.1001/jama.292.14.1724
28. Nastalek P, Polok K, Celejewska-Wójcik N, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on obstructive sleep apnea severity and continuous positive airway pressure therapy compliance—prospective observational study. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11(1):5003. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-84570-6
29. Peromaa-Haavisto P, Tuomilehto H, Kössi J, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea: the effect of bariatric surgery after 12 months. A prospective multicenter trial. *Sleep Med* 2017; 35:85–90. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2016.12.017
30. Dixon JB, Schachter LM, O'Brien PE, et al. Surgical vs conventional therapy for weight loss treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2012; 308(11):1142–1149. doi:10.1001/2012.jama.11580
31. Blackman A, Foster GD, Zammit G, et al. Effect of liraglutide 3.0 mg in individuals with obesity and moderate or severe obstructive sleep apnea: the SCALE sleep apnea randomized clinical trial. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2016; 40(8):1310–1319. doi:10.1038/ijo.2016.52
32. Sultana R, Sissoho F, Kaushik VP, Raji MA. The case for early use of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in obstructive sleep apnea patients with comorbid diabetes and metabolic syndrome. *Life (Basel)* 2022; 12(8):1222. doi:10.3390/life12081222
33. Ard J, Fitch A, Fruh S, Herman L. Weight loss and maintenance related to the mechanism of action of glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists. *Adv Ther* 2021; 38(6):2821–2839. doi:10.1007/s12325-021-01710-0
34. Iftikhar IH, Kline CE, Youngstedt SD. Effects of exercise training on sleep apnea: a meta-analysis. *Lung* 2014; 192(1):175–184. doi:10.1007/s00408-013-9511-3
35. Kline CE, Reboussin DM, Foster GD, et al. The effect of changes in cardiorespiratory fitness and weight on obstructive sleep apnea severity in overweight adults with type 2 diabetes. *Sleep* 2016; 39(2):317–325. doi:10.5665/sleep.5436
36. Ozeke O, Erturk O, Gungor M, et al. Influence of the right- versus left-sided sleeping position on the apnea-hypopnea index in patients with sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath* 2012; 16(3):617–620. doi:10.1007/s11325-011-0547-4
37. Pevernagie DA, Stanson AW, Sheedy PF 2nd, Daniels BK, Shepard JW Jr. Effects of body position on the upper airway of patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 152(1):179–185. doi:10.1164/ajrccm.152.1.7599821
38. Simou E, Britton J, Leonardi-Bee J. Alcohol and the risk of sleep apnoea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med* 2018; 42:38–46. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2017.12.005
39. Kolla BP, Foroughi M, Saeidifard F, Chakravorty S, Wang Z, Mansukhani MP. The impact of alcohol on breathing parameters during sleep: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev* 2018; 42:59–67. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2018.05.007
40. Burgos-Sanchez C, Jones NN, Avillion M, et al. Impact of alcohol consumption on snoring and sleep apnea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2020; 163(6):1078–1086. doi:10.1177/0194599820931087
41. Krol RC, Knuth SL, Bartlett D Jr. Selective reduction of genioglossal muscle activity by alcohol in normal human subjects. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1984; 129(2):247–250. pmid:6421210
42. St John WM, Bartlett D Jr, Knuth KV, Knuth SL, Daubenspeck JA. Differential depression of hypoglossal nerve activity by alcohol. Protection by pretreatment with medroxyprogesterone acetate. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1986; 133(1):46–48. doi:10.1164/arrd.1986.133.1.46
43. Mason M, Welsh EJ, Smith I. Drug therapy for obstructive sleep apnoea in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;(5):CD003002. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003002.pub3
44. Brownell LG, West P, Sweatman P, Acres JC, Kryger MH. Protriptyline in obstructive sleep apnea: a double-blind trial. *N Engl J Med* 1982; 307(17):1037–1042. doi:10.1056/NEJM198210213071701
45. Hanzel DA, Proia NG, Hudgel DW. Response of obstructive sleep apnea to fluoxetine and protriptyline. *Chest* 1991; 100(2):416–421. doi:10.1378/chest.100.2.416
46. Eskandari D, Zou D, Grote L, Hoff E, Hedner J. Acetazolamide reduces blood pressure and sleep-disordered breathing in patients with hypertension and obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2018; 14(3):309–317. doi:10.5664/jcsm.6968

47. Edwards BA, Sands SA, Eckert DJ, et al. Acetazolamide improves loop gain but not the other physiological traits causing obstructive sleep apnoea. *J Physiol* 2012; 590(5):1199–1211. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2011.223925
48. Taranto-Montemurro L, Messineo L, Sands SA, et al. The combination of atomoxetine and oxybutynin greatly reduces obstructive sleep apnea severity: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind crossover trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019; 199(10):1267–1276. doi:10.1164/rccm.201808-1493OC
49. Perger E, Taranto-Montemurro L, Rosa D, et al. Reboxetine plus oxybutynin for OSA treatment: a 1-week, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind crossover trial. *Chest* 2022; 161(1):237–247. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2021.08.080
50. Messineo L, Taranto-Montemurro L, Calianese N, et al. Atomoxetine and fesoterodine combination improves obstructive sleep apnoea severity in patients with milder upper airway collapsibility. *Respirology* 2022; 27(11):975–982. doi:10.1111/resp.14326
51. Gottlieb DJ, Punjabi NM, Mehra R, et al. CPAP versus oxygen in obstructive sleep apnea. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370(24):2276–2285. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1306766
52. Chan AS, Sutherland K, Schwab RJ, et al. The effect of mandibular advancement on upper airway structure in obstructive sleep apnoea. *Thorax* 2010; 65(8):726–732. doi:10.1136/thx.2009.131094
53. Trzepizur W, Cistulli PA, Glos M, et al. Health outcomes of continuous positive airway pressure versus mandibular advancement device for the treatment of severe obstructive sleep apnea: an individual participant data meta-analysis. *Sleep* 2021; 44(7):zsab015. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsab015
54. Levine M, Cantwell MK, Postol K, Schwartz DB. Dental sleep medicine standards for screening, treatment, and management of sleep-related breathing disorders in adults using oral appliance therapy: an update. *J Dent Sleep Med* 2022; 9(4):1–13. doi:10.15331/jdsm.7266
55. Sutherland K, Phillips CL, Davies A, et al. CPAP pressure for prediction of oral appliance treatment response in obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2014; 10(9):943–949. doi:10.5664/jcsm.4020
56. de Vries GE, Wijkstra PJ, Houwerzijl EJ, Kerstjens HAM, Hoekema A. Cardiovascular effects of oral appliance therapy in obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev* 2018; 40:55–68. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2017.10.004
57. Rietz H, Franklin KA, Carlberg B, Sahlin C, Marklund M. Nocturnal blood pressure is reduced by a mandibular advancement device for sleep apnea in women: findings from secondary analyses of a randomized trial. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018; 7(13):e008642. doi:10.1161/JAHA.118.008642
58. Gotsopoulos H, Kelly JJ, Cistulli PA. Oral appliance therapy reduces blood pressure in obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized, controlled trial. *Sleep* 2004; 27(5):934–941. doi:10.1093/sleep/27.5.934
59. Tegelberg A, Wilhelmsson B, Erixon-Lindroth N, Lindström LH. Improved cognitive functions after treatment with an oral appliance in obstructive sleep apnea. *Nat Sci Sleep* 2012; 4:89–96. doi:10.2147/NSS.S33849
60. Baptista PM, Martínez Ruiz de Apodaca P, Carrasco M, et al. Daytime neuromuscular electrical therapy of tongue muscles in improving snoring in individuals with primary snoring and mild obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Med* 2021; 10(9):1883. doi:10.3390/jcm10091883
61. Nokes B, Baptista PM, de Apodaca PMR, et al. Transoral awake state neuromuscular electrical stimulation therapy for mild obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath* 2023; 27(2):527–534. doi:10.1007/s11325-022-02644-9
62. Lin HY, Chang CJ, Chiang CC, Su PL, Lin CY, Hung CH. Effects of a comprehensive physical therapy on moderate and severe obstructive sleep apnea—a preliminary randomized controlled trial. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2020; 119(12):1781–1790. doi:10.1016/j.jfma.2020.01.011
63. Ieto V, Kayamori F, Montesi MI, et al. Effects of oropharyngeal exercises on snoring: a randomized trial. *Chest* 2015; 148(3):683–691. doi:10.1378/chest.14-2953
64. Puhan MA, Suarez A, Lo Cascio C, Zahn A, Heitz M, Braendli O. Didgeridoo playing as alternative treatment for obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2006; 332(7536):266–270. doi:10.1136/bmj.38705.470590.55
65. van der Weijden FN, Lobbezoo F, Slot DE. The effect of playing a wind instrument or singing on risk of sleep apnea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2020; 16(9):1591–1601. doi:10.5664/jcsm.8628
66. Patil SP, Schneider H, Marx JJ, Gladmon E, Schwartz AR, Smith PL. Neuromechanical control of upper airway patency during sleep. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2007; 102(2):547–556. doi:10.1152/japapophysiol.00282.2006
67. Randerath WJ, Galetke W, Domanski U, Weitkunat R, Rühle KH. Tongue-muscle training by intraoral electrical neurostimulation in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep* 2004; 27(2):254–259. doi:10.1093/sleep/27.2.254
68. Diaféria G, Santos-Silva R, Truksinas E, et al. Myofunctional therapy improves adherence to continuous positive airway pressure treatment. *Sleep Breath* 2017; 21(2):387–395. doi:10.1007/s11325-016-1429-6
69. Neumannova K, Hobzova M, Sova M, Prasko J. Pulmonary rehabilitation and oropharyngeal exercises as an adjunct therapy in obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *Sleep Med* 2018; 52:92–97. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2018.03.022
70. Kryger MH, Berry RB, Massie CA. Long-term use of a nasal expiratory positive airway pressure (EPAP) device as a treatment for obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). *J Clin Sleep Med* 2011; 7(5):449–453B. doi:10.5664/JCsm.1304
71. Nigam G, Pathak C, Riaz M. Effectiveness of oral pressure therapy in obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic analysis. *Sleep Breath* 2016; 20(2):663–671. doi:10.1007/s11325-015-1270-3
72. Kram JA, Woidtke RV, Klein KB, Rose RM. Evaluation of continuous negative external pressure (cNEP) for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: a pilot study. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2017; 13(8):1009–1012. doi:10.5664/jcsm.6710
73. Boyd SB, Upender R, Walters AS, et al. Effective apnea-hypopnea index (“effective AHI”): a new measure of effectiveness for positive airway pressure therapy. *Sleep* 2016; 39(11):1961–1972. doi:10.5665/sleep.6224
74. Walsh JK, Griffin KS, Forst EH, et al. A convenient expiratory positive airway pressure nasal device for the treatment of sleep apnea in patients non-adherent with continuous positive airway pressure. *Sleep Med* 2011; 12(2):147–152. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2010.06.011
75. Berry RB, Kryger MH, Massie CA. A novel nasal expiratory positive airway pressure (EPAP) device for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *Sleep* 2011; 34(4):479–485. doi:10.1093/sleep/34.4.479
76. Gambino F, Zammuto MM, Virzi A, Conti G, Bonsignore MR. Treatment options in obstructive sleep apnea. *Intern Emerg Med* 2022; 17(4):971–978. doi:10.1007/s11739-022-02983-1
77. McEvoy RD, Antic NA, Heeley E, et al. CPAP for prevention of cardiovascular events in obstructive sleep apnea. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375(10):919–931. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1606599
78. Banghøj AM, Krogager C, Kristensen PL, et al. Effect of 12-week continuous positive airway pressure therapy on glucose levels assessed by continuous glucose monitoring in people with type 2 diabetes and obstructive sleep apnoea: a randomized controlled trial. *Endocrinol Diabetes Metab* 2020; 4(2):e00148. doi:10.1002/edm2.148
79. Patil SP, Ayappa IA, Caples SM, Kimoff RJ, Patel SR, Harrod CG. Treatment of adult obstructive sleep apnea with positive airway pressure: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine systematic review, meta-analysis, and GRADE assessment. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2019; 15(2):301–334. doi:10.5664/jcsm.7638
80. Walia HK, Griffith SD, Foldvary-Schaefer N, et al. Longitudinal effect of CPAP on BP in resistant and nonresistant hypertension in a large clinic-based cohort. *Chest* 2016; 149(3):747–755. doi:10.1378/chest.15-0697

81. Wang ML, Wang C, Tuo M, et al. Cognitive effects of treating obstructive sleep apnea: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2020; 75(3):705–715. doi:10.3233/JAD-200088
82. Kushida CA, Nichols DA, Holmes TH, et al. Effects of continuous positive airway pressure on neurocognitive function in obstructive sleep apnea patients: the Apnea Positive Pressure Long-term Efficacy Study (APPLES) [published correction appears in *Sleep* 2016; 39(7):1483]. *Sleep* 2012; 35(12):1593–1602. doi:10.5665/sleep.2226
83. Wimmers AJ, Kelly JL, Turnbull CD, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure versus standard care for the treatment of people with mild obstructive sleep apnoea (MERGE): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 8(4):349–358. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30402-3
84. Cistulli PA, Armitstead J, Pepin JL, et al. Short-term CPAP adherence in obstructive sleep apnea: a big data analysis using real world data. *Sleep Med* 2019; 59:114–116. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2019.01.004
85. Brown LK. Up, down, or no change: weight gain as an unwanted side effect of CPAP for obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2020; 16(S1):21–22. doi:10.5664/jcsm.8888
86. Drager LF, Brunoni AR, Jenner R, Lorenzi-Filho G, Benseñor IM, Lotufo PA. Effects of CPAP on body weight in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Thorax* 2015; 70(3):258–264. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205361
87. Quan SF, Budhiraja R, Clarke DP, et al. Impact of treatment with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) on weight in obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2013; 9(10):989–993. doi:10.5664/jcsm.3064
88. Shechter A. Effects of continuous positive airway pressure on energy balance regulation: a systematic review. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 48(6):1640–1657. doi:10.1183/13993003.00689-2016
89. Tachikawa R, Ikeda K, Minami T, et al. Changes in energy metabolism after continuous positive airway pressure for obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016; 194(6):729–738. doi:10.1164/rccm.201511-2314OC
90. Patil SP, Ayappa IA, Caples SM, Kimoff RJ, Patel SR, Harrod CG. Treatment of adult obstructive sleep apnea with positive airway pressure: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2019; 15(2):335–343. doi:10.5664/jcsm.7640
91. Sleeper G, Rashidi M, Strohl KP, et al. Comparison of expiratory pressures generated by four different EPAP devices in a laboratory bench setting. *Sleep Med* 2022; 96:87–92. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2022.05.004
92. Mahadevia AK, Onal E, Lopata M. Effects of expiratory positive airway pressure on sleep-induced respiratory abnormalities in patients with hypersomnia-sleep apnea syndrome. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1983; 128(4):708–711. doi:10.1164/arrd.1983.128.4.708
93. Hakim TS, Bonnetti M, Bosco G, Camporesi EM. EPAP devices Optipillows, Bongo Rx, and Theravent: flow resistance and the pressures they generate. *J Sleep Med Disord* 2021; 7(1):1119.
94. Patel AV, Hwang D, Masdeu MJ, Chen GM, Rapoport DM, Ayappa I. Predictors of response to a nasal expiratory resistor device and its potential mechanisms of action for treatment of obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2011; 7(1):13–22. PMID:21344051
95. Rossi VA, Winter B, Rahman NM, et al. The effects of Provent on moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea during continuous positive airway pressure therapy withdrawal: a randomised controlled trial. *Thorax* 2013; 68(9):854–859. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-203508
96. Schwab RJ, Kim C, Siegel L, et al. Examining the mechanism of action of a new device using oral pressure therapy for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep* 2014; 37(7):1237–1247. doi:10.5665/sleep.3846
97. Hung TC, Liu TJ, Lu TM, et al. Building a model to precisely target the responders of a novel intermittent negative air pressure device-with mechanism definition. *Sleep Med* 2020; 72:20–27. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2020.03.014
98. Kram JA, Pelayo R. Variable negative external pressure—an alternative to continuous positive airway pressure for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: a pilot study. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2022; 18(1):305–314. doi:10.5664/jcsm.9680
99. Caples SM, Rowley JA, Prinsell JR, et al. Surgical modifications of the upper airway for obstructive sleep apnea in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep* 2010; 33(10):1396–1407. doi:10.1093/sleep/33.10.1396
100. Strollo PJ Jr, Soose RJ, Maurer JT, et al. Upper-airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370(2):139–149. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1308659
101. Bellamkonda N, Shiba T, Mendelsohn AH. Adverse events in hypoglossal nerve stimulator implantation: 5-year analysis of the FDA MAUDE database. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2021; 164(2):443–447. doi:10.1177/0194599820960069
102. US Food and Drug Administration. Inspire upper airway stimulation. Updated July 13, 2023. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/recently-approved-devices/inspire-upper-airway-stimulation-p130008s090>. Accessed November 6, 2023.
103. Fujita S. UPPP for sleep apnea and snoring. *Ear Nose Throat J* 1984; 63(5):227–235. PMID:6734482
104. Sundman J, Browaldh N, Fehrm J, Friberg D. Eight-year follow-up of modified uvulopalatopharyngoplasty in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Laryngoscope* 2021; 131(1):E307–E313. doi:10.1002/lary.28960
105. Friedman M, Ibrahim H, Lee G, Joseph NJ. Combined uvulopalatopharyngoplasty and radiofrequency tongue base reduction for treatment of obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2003; 129(6):611–621. doi:10.1016/j.otohns.2003.07.004
106. Mashqai S, Patel SI, Combs D, et al. The hypoglossal nerve stimulation as a novel therapy for treating obstructive sleep apnea—a literature review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021; 18(4):1642. doi:10.3390/ijerph18041642
107. Pascoe M, Wang L, Aylor J, et al. Association of hypoglossal nerve stimulation with improvements in long-term, patient-reported outcomes and comparison with positive airway pressure for patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2022; 148(1):61–69. doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2021.2245
108. Thaler E, Schwab R, Maurer J, et al. Results of the ADHERE upper airway stimulation registry and predictors of therapy efficacy. *Laryngoscope* 2020; 130(5):1333–1338. doi:10.1002/lary.28286
109. Woodson BT, Strohl KP, Soose RJ, et al. Upper airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea: 5-year outcomes. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2018; 159(1):194–202. doi:10.1177/0194599818762383
110. Chao TN, Thaler ER. Predictors of success in hypoglossal nerve stimulator implantation for obstructive sleep apnea. *World J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2020; 7(1):40–44. doi:10.1016/j.wjorl.2020.02.007
111. Op de Beeck S, Wellman A, Dierckx M, et al. Endotypic mechanisms of successful hypoglossal nerve stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2021; 203(6):746–755. doi:10.1164/rccm.202006-2176OC
112. Dedhia RC, Quyyumi AA, Park J, Shah AJ, Strollo PJ, Bliwise DL. Cardiovascular endpoints for obstructive sleep apnea with twelfth cranial nerve stimulation (CARDIOSA-12): rationale and methods. *Laryngoscope* 2018; 128(11):2635–2643. doi:10.1002/lary.27284
113. Dedhia RC, Shah AJ, Bliwise DL, et al. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation and heart rate variability: analysis of STAR trial responders. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2019; 160(1):165–171. doi:10.1177/0194599818800284
114. Walia HK, Thompson NR, Strohl KP, et al. Upper airway stimulation vs positive airway pressure impact on BP and sleepiness symptoms in OSA. *Chest* 2020; 157(1):173–183. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2019.06.020
115. McKinlay AJ, Walters BK, Aden JK, Scalzitti NJ. Upper airway stimulation therapy effect on blood pressure. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2023; 168(6):1551–1556. doi:10.1002/ohn.210
116. Kezirian EJ, Maselli J, Vittinghoff E, Goldberg AN, Auerbach AD. Obstructive sleep apnea surgery practice patterns in the United States: 2000 to 2006. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2010; 143(3):441–447. doi:10.1016/j.otohns.2010.05.009

Address: Loutfi S. Aboussouan, MD, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, A90, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; aboussl@ccf.org