



EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVE: Readers will neither overestimate nor underestimate the risk of cancer when prescribing diabetes therapy

GRACE E. CHING SUN, DO

Department of Medicine, Section of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Assistant Professor of Medicine, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, Shreveport

SANGEETA R. KASHYAP, MD

Endocrinology and Metabolism Institute, Cleveland Clinic; Associate Professor, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

CHRISTIAN NASR, MD

Endocrinology and Metabolism Institute, Cleveland Clinic

Diabetes therapy and cancer risk: Where do we stand when treating patients?

ABSTRACT

The pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus conveys increased cancer risk, and any antidiabetic drug may alter that risk in a favorable or unfavorable way. This article discusses the links between diabetes and cancer, the different agents available for treating diabetes, and the cancer risk associated with these therapies.

KEY POINTS

Exogenous insulin, insulin secretagogues, and incretin-based therapies are under scrutiny because of their potential influences on cancer development in a population already at risk.

At present, we lack adequate prospective data on the cancer risk from diabetes drugs.

Patients with a personal history of bladder cancer should avoid pioglitazone, and those who have had pancreatic cancer should avoid incretin therapies until definitive clinical data become available.

Patients with a personal or family history of medullary thyroid cancer or multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 should not receive glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists. These agents should also probably be avoided in patients with a personal history of differentiated thyroid carcinoma or a history of familial nonmedullary thyroid carcinoma.

Given the associations between diabetes and malignancy, cancer screening is especially important.

IN THE LAST QUARTER CENTURY, many new drugs have become available for treating type 2 diabetes mellitus. The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists incorporated these new agents in its updated glycemic control algorithm in 2013.¹ Because diabetes affects 25.8 million Americans and can lead to blindness, renal failure, cardiovascular disease, and amputation, agents that help us treat it more effectively are valuable.²

One of the barriers to effective treatment is the side effects of the agents. Because some of these drugs have been in use for only a short time, concerns of potential adverse effects have arisen. Cancer is one such concern, especially since type 2 diabetes mellitus by itself increases the risk of cancer by 20% to 50% compared with no diabetes.³

Type 2 diabetes has been linked to risk of cancers of the pancreas,⁴ colorectum,^{5,6} liver,⁷ kidney,^{8,9} breast,¹⁰ bladder,¹¹ and endometrium,¹² as well as to hematologic malignancies such as non-Hodgkin lymphoma.¹³ The risk of bladder cancer appears to depend on how long the patient has had type 2 diabetes. Newton et al,¹⁴ in a prospective cohort study, found that those who had diabetes for more than 15 years and used insulin had the highest risk of bladder cancer. On the other hand, the risk of prostate cancer is actually lower in people with diabetes,¹⁵ particularly in those who have had diabetes for longer than 4 years.¹⁶

Cancer and type 2 diabetes share many risk factors and underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms. Nonmodifiable risk factors for both diseases include advanced age, male

doi:10.3949/ccjm.81a.13140

sex, ethnicity (African American men appear to be most vulnerable to both cancer and diabetes),^{17,18} and family history. Modifiable risk factors include lower socioeconomic status, obesity, and alcohol consumption. These common risk factors lead to hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance, changes in mitochondrial function, low-grade inflammation, and oxidative stress,³ which promote both diabetes and cancer. Diabetes therapy may influence several of these processes.

Several classes of diabetes drugs, including exogenous insulin,^{19–22} insulin secretagogues,^{23–25} and incretin-based therapies,^{26–28} have been under scrutiny because of their potential influences on cancer development in a population already at risk (TABLE 1).

■ INSULIN ANALOGUES: MIXED EVIDENCE

Insulin promotes cell division by binding to insulin receptor isoform A and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptors.²⁹ Because endogenous hyperinsulinemia has been linked to cancer risk, growth, and proliferation, some speculate that exogenous insulin may also increase cancer risk.

In 2009, a retrospective study by Hemkens et al linked the long-acting insulin analogue glargine to risk of cancer.¹⁹ This finding set off a tumult of controversy within the medical community and concern among patients. Several limitations of the study were brought to light, including a short duration of follow-up, and several other studies have refuted the study's findings.^{20,21}

More recently, the Outcome Reduction With Initial Glargine Intervention (ORIGIN) trial²² found no higher cancer risk with glargine use than with placebo. This study enrolled 12,537 participants from 573 sites in 40 countries. Specifically, risks with glargine use were as follows:

- Any cancer—hazard ratio 1.00, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.88–1.13, $P = .97$
- Cancer death—hazard ratio 0.94, 95% CI 0.77–1.15, $P = .52$.

However, the study was designed to assess cardiovascular outcomes, not cancer risk. Furthermore, the participants were not typical of patients seen in clinical practice: their insulin

doses were lower (the median insulin dose was 0.4 units/kg/day by year 6, whereas in clinical practice, those with type 2 diabetes mellitus often use more than 1 unit/kg/day, depending on duration of diabetes, diet, and exercise regimen), and their baseline median hemoglobin A_{1c} level was only 6.4%. And one may argue that the median follow-up of 6.2 years was too short for cancer to develop.²²

In vitro studies indicate that long-acting analogue insulin therapy may promote cancer cell growth more than endogenous insulin,³⁰ but epidemiologic data have not unequivocally substantiated this.^{20–22} There is no clear evidence that analogue insulin therapy raises cancer risk above that of human recombinant insulin, and starting insulin therapy should not be delayed because of concerns about cancer risk, particularly in uncontrolled diabetes.

■ INSULIN SECRETAGOGUES

Sulfonylureas: Higher risk

Before 1995, only two classes of diabetes drugs were approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)—insulin and sulfonylureas.

Sulfonylureas lower blood sugar levels by binding to sulfonylurea receptors and inhibiting adenosine triphosphate-dependent potassium channels. The resulting change in resting potential causes an influx of calcium, ultimately leading to insulin secretion.

Sulfonylureas are effective, and because of their low cost, physicians often pick them as a second-line agent after metformin.

The main disadvantage of sulfonylureas is the risk of hypoglycemia, particularly in patients with renal failure, the elderly, and diabetic patients who are unaware of when they are hypoglycemic. Other potential drawbacks are that they impair cardiac ischemic preconditioning³¹ and possibly increase cancer risk.^{21,32} (Ischemic preconditioning is the process in which transient episodes of ischemia “condition” the myocardium so that it better withstands future episodes with minimal anginal pain and tissue injury.³³) Of the sulfonylureas, glyburide has been most implicated in cardiovascular risk.³²

In a retrospective cohort study of 62,809 patients from a general-practice database in

Type 2 diabetes by itself increases the risk of cancer by 20% to 50%

TABLE 1

Cancer risk from approved drugs for type 2 diabetes mellitus

Medication	Cancer risk	Types of studies	Notes
ORAL AGENTS			
Insulin sensitizers			
Metformin	Lower	Case-control Cohort Retrospective database	Decreased risk of cancer-related death
Thiazolidinediones	Higher risk of bladder cancer; lower risk of breast and lung cancer	Case-control Cohort	Slight dose-dependent increase in bladder cancer risk in cohort studies; no increased risk in randomized control trials
Insulin secretagogues			
Sulfonylureas	Higher	Case-control Cohort	Increased risk of cancer-related death compared with insulin sensitizers
Meglitinide	Higher	Case-control	Neutral to increased association of cancer risk (fewer available data compared with sulfonylurea)
Other oral agents			
Alpha glucosidase inhibitors	Neutral	Case-control Retrospective database	
Dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors	Possibly higher risk of pancreatic cancer	Expert opinion	Sitagliptin shows increased risk of acute pancreatitis, raising concerns about pancreatic cancer; to date, there is no evidence of increased risk of pancreatic cancer in humans
Sodium-glucose-linked cotransporter-2 inhibitors	Neutral	Randomized controlled clinical trials	
INJECTABLE AGENTS			
Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists	Higher risk of thyroid and pancreatic cancer	Adverse events reports Expert opinion Rodent studies	Medullary thyroid cancer and pancreatic cancer risk increased in rodents; potentially increased pancreatic cancer risk from adverse serious events reported for exenatide twice daily
Insulin glargine	Neutral	Case-control Randomized controlled	Median dose 0.4 U/kg/day at 6 years in the ORIGIN trial ¹²⁰
Insulin analogues	Higher	Case-control Cohort Retrospective database	

Do not delay starting insulin because of concerns about cancer risk, particularly in uncontrolled diabetes

the United Kingdom, Currie et al²¹ found that sulfonylurea monotherapy was associated with a 36% higher risk of cancer (95% CI 1.19–1.54, $P < .001$) than metformin monotherapy. Prescribing bias may have influenced the results: practitioners are more likely to prescribe sulfonylureas to leaner patients, who have a greater likelihood of occult cancer. However, other studies also found that the cancer death rate is higher in those who take a sulfonylurea alone than in those who use metformin alone.^{23,24}

Some evidence indicates that long-acting sulfonylurea formulations (eg, glyburide) likely hold the most danger, certainly in regard to hypoglycemia, but it is less clear if this translates to cancer concerns.³¹

Meglitinides: Limited evidence

Meglitinides, the other class of insulin secretagogues, are less commonly used but are similar to sulfonylureas in the way they increase endogenous insulin levels. The data are limited regarding cancer risk and meglitinide therapy, but the magnitude of the association is similar to that with sulfonylurea therapy.²⁵

■ INSULIN SENSITIZERS

There are currently two classes of insulin sensitizers: biguanides and thiazolidinediones (TZDs, also known as glitazones). These drugs show less risk of both cancer incidence and cancer death than insulin secretagogues such as sulfonylureas.^{21,23,24} In fact, they may decrease cancer potential by alteration of signaling via the AKT/mTOR (v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 1/mammalian target of rapamycin) pathway.³⁴

Metformin, a biguanide, is the oral drug of choice

Metformin is the only biguanide currently available in the United States. It was approved by the FDA in 1995, although it had been in clinical use since the 1950s. Inexpensive and familiar, it is the oral antihyperglycemic of choice if there are no contraindications to it, such as renal dysfunction (creatinine ≥ 1.4 mg/dL in women and ≥ 1.5 mg/dL in men), acute decompensated heart failure, or pulmonary or hepatic insufficiency, all of which may lead to an increased risk of lactic acidosis.¹

Metformin lowers blood sugar levels primarily by inhibiting hepatic glucose production (gluconeogenesis) and by improving peripheral insulin sensitivity. It directly activates AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which affects insulin signaling and glucose and fat metabolism.³⁵ It may exert further beneficial effects by acutely increasing glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) levels and inducing islet incretin-receptor gene expression.³⁶ Although the exact mechanisms have not been fully elucidated, metformin's insulin-sensitizing properties are likely from favorable effects on insulin receptor expression, tyrosine kinase activity, and influences on the incretin pathway.^{36,37} These effects also mitigate carcinogenesis, both directly (via AMPK and liver kinase B1, a tumor-suppressor gene) and indirectly (via reduction of hyperinsulinemia).³⁵

Overall, biguanide therapy is associated with a lower cancer incidence or, at worst, no effect on cancer incidence. In vitro studies demonstrate that metformin both suppresses cancer cell growth and induces apoptosis, resulting in fewer live cancer cells.³⁴ Several retrospective studies found lower cancer risk in metformin users than in patients receiving antidiabetes drugs other than insulin-sensitizing agents,^{21,23,25,38–40} while others have shown no effect.⁴¹ Use of metformin was specifically associated with lower risk of cancers of the liver, colon and rectum, and lung.⁴² Further, metformin users have a lower cancer mortality rate than nonusers.^{24,43}

Thiazolidinediones

TZDs, such as pioglitazone, work by binding to peroxisome proliferator-activated gamma receptors in the cell nucleus, altering gene transcription.⁴⁴ They reduce insulin resistance and levels of endogenous insulin levels and free fatty acids.⁴⁴

Concern over bladder cancer risk with TZD use, particularly with pioglitazone, has increased in the last few years, as various cohort studies found a statistically significant increased risk with this agent.⁴⁴ The risk appears to rise with cumulative dose.^{45,46}

Randomized controlled trials also found an increased risk of bladder cancer with TZD therapy, although the difference was not statistically significant.^{47–49} In a mean follow-up of

Metformin is associated with a lower cancer incidence or, at worst, no effect on cancer incidence

GLP-1 receptor agonists are injected; DPP-IV inhibitors are oral agents

8.7 years, the Prospective Pioglitazone Clinical Trial in Macrovascular Events reported 23 cases of bladder cancer in the pioglitazone group vs 22 cases in the placebo group, for rates of 0.9% vs 0.8% (relative risk [RR] 1.06, 95% CI 0.59–1.89).⁴⁹

On the other hand, the risk of cancer of the breast, colon, and lung has been found to be lower with TZD use.⁴⁷ In vitro studies support the clinical data, showing that TZDs inhibit growth of human cancer cells derived from cancers of the lung, colon, breast, stomach, ovary, and prostate.^{50–53}

Home et al⁵⁴ compared rosiglitazone against a sulfonylurea in patients already taking metformin in the Rosiglitazone Evaluated for Cardiovascular Outcomes in Oral Agent Combination Therapy for Type 2 Diabetes (RECORD) trial. Malignancies developed in 6.7% of the sulfonylurea group compared with 5.1% of the rosiglitazone group, for a hazard ratio of 1.33 (95% CI 0.94–1.88).

Both ADOPT (A Diabetes Outcome Progression Trial) and the RECORD trial found rosiglitazone comparable to metformin in terms of cancer risk.⁵⁴

Colmers et al⁴⁷ pooled data from four randomized controlled trials, seven cohort studies, and nine case-control studies to assess the risk of cancer with TZD use in type 2 diabetes. Both the randomized and observational data showed neutral overall cancer risk with TZDs. However, pooled data from observational studies showed significantly lower risk with TZD use in terms of:

- Colorectal cancer
RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.87–1.00
- Lung cancer
RR 0.91, 95% CI 0.84–0.98
- Breast cancer
RR 0.89, 95% CI 0.81–0.98.

■ INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES

Incretins are hormones released from the gut in response to food ingestion, triggering release of insulin before blood glucose levels rise. Their action explains why insulin secretion increases more after an oral glucose load than after an intravenous glucose load, a phenomenon called the incretin effect.⁵⁵

There are two incretin hormones: glucose-

dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1). They have short a half-life because they are rapidly degraded by dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV).⁵⁵ Available incretin-based therapies are GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-IV inhibitors.

When used as monotherapy, incretin-based therapies do not cause hypoglycemia because their effect is glucose-dependent.⁵⁵ GLP-1 receptor antagonists have the added benefit of inducing weight loss, but DPP-IV inhibitors are considered to be weight-neutral.

GLP-1 receptor agonists

Exenatide, the first of the GLP-1 receptor agonists, was approved in 2005. The original formulation (Byetta) is taken by injection twice daily, and timing in conjunction with food intake is important: it should be taken within 60 minutes before the morning and evening meals. Extended-release exenatide (Bydureon) is a once-weekly formulation taken without regard to timing of food intake. Exenatide (either twice-daily Byetta or once-weekly Bydureon) should not be used in those with creatinine clearance less than 30 mL/min or end-stage renal disease and should be used with caution in patients with renal transplantation.

Liraglutide (Victoza), a once-daily formulation, can be injected irrespective of food intake. The dose does not have to be adjusted for renal function, although it should be used with caution in those with renal impairment, including end-stage renal disease. Approval for a 3-mg formulation is pending with the FDA as a weight-loss drug on the basis of promising results in a randomized phase 3 trial.⁵⁶

Albiglutide (Tanzeum), a once-weekly GLP-1 receptor antagonist, was recently approved by the FDA.

DPP-IV inhibitors

Whereas GLP-1 receptor agonists are injected, the DPP-IV inhibitors have the advantage of being oral agents.

Sitagliptin (Januvia), the first DPP-IV inhibitor, became available in the United States in 2006. Since then, three more have become available: saxagliptin (Onglyza), linagliptin (Tradjenta), and alogliptin (Nesina).

Concerns about thyroid cancer with incretin drugs

Concerns of increased risk of cancer, particularly of the thyroid and pancreas, have been raised since GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-IV inhibitors became available.

Studies in rodents have shown C-cell hyperplasia, sometimes resulting in increased incidence of thyroid carcinoma, and dose-dependent rises in serum calcitonin, particularly with liraglutide.²⁶ This has raised concern about an increased risk of medullary thyroid carcinoma in humans. However, the density of C cells in rodents is up to 45 times greater than in humans, and C cells also express functional GLP-1 receptors.²⁶

Gier et al²⁷ assessed the expression of calcitonin and human GLP-1 receptors in normal C cells, C cell hyperplasia, and medullary cancer. In this study, calcitonin and GLP-1 receptor were co-expressed in medullary thyroid cancer (10 of 12 cases) and C-cell hyperplasia (9 of 9 cases) more commonly than in normal C cells (5 of 15 cases). Further, GLP-1 receptor was expressed in 3 of 17 cases of papillary thyroid cancer.

Calcitonin, a polypeptide hormone produced by thyroid C cells and used as a medullary thyroid cancer biomarker, was increased in a slightly higher percentage of patients treated with liraglutide than in controls, without an increase above the normal range.⁵⁷

A meta-analysis by Alves et al⁵⁸ of 25 studies found that neither exenatide (no cases reported) nor liraglutide (odds ratio 1.54, 95% CI 0.40–6.02) was associated with increased thyroid cancer risk.

MacConell et al⁵⁹ pooled the results of 19 placebo-controlled trials of twice-daily exenatide and found a thyroid cancer incidence rate of 0.3 per 100 patient-years (< 0.1%) vs 0 per 100 patient-years in pooled comparators.

Concerns about pancreatic cancer with incretin drugs

Increased risk of acute pancreatitis is a potential side effect of both DPP-IV inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists and has led to speculation that this translates to an increased risk of pancreatic cancer.

In a point-counterpoint debate, Butler et al²⁸ argued that incretin-based medications

have questionable safety, with increased rates of pancreatitis possibly leading to pancreatic cancer. In counterpoint, Nauck⁶⁰ argued that the risk of pancreatitis or cancer is extremely low, and clinical cases are unsubstantiated.

Bailey⁶¹ outlined the complexities and difficulties in drawing firm conclusions from individual clinical trials regarding possible adverse effects of diabetes drugs. The trials are typically designed to assess hemoglobin A_{1c} reduction at varying doses and are typically restricted in patient selection, patient numbers, and drug-exposure duration, which may introduce allocation and ascertainment biases. The attempt to draw firm conclusions from such trials can be problematic and can lead to increased alarm, warranted or not.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus itself is associated with an increased incidence of pancreatic cancer, and whether incretin therapy enhances this risk is still controversial. Whether more episodes of acute pancreatitis without chronic pancreatitis can be extrapolated to an increased incidence of pancreatic cancer is doubtful. A normal pancreatic duct cell may take up to 12 years to become a tumor cell from which pancreatic carcinoma develops, another 7 years to develop metastatic capacity, and another 3 years before a diagnosis is made from clinical symptoms (which are usually accompanied by metastases).⁶²

The risks and benefits of incretin therapies remain a contentious issue, and there are no clear prospective data at this time on increased pancreatic cancer incidence. Long-term prospective studies designed to analyze these specific outcomes (pancreatitis, pancreatic cancer, and medullary thyroid cancer) need to be undertaken.⁶³

OTHER DIABETES THERAPIES

Alpha glucosidase inhibitors

Oral glucosidase inhibitors ameliorate hyperglycemia by inhibiting alpha glucosidase enzymes in the brush border of the small intestines, preventing conversion of polysaccharides to monosaccharides.⁶⁴ This slows digestion of carbohydrates and glucose release into the bloodstream and blunts the postprandial hyperglycemic excursion.

The two alpha glucosidase inhibitors currently available in the United States are acar-

The risks and benefits of incretin therapies are contentious, with unclear data on the risk of pancreatic cancer

bose and miglitol, and although data are limited, they do not appear to increase the risk of cancer.^{65,66}

Sodium-glucose-linked cotransporter 2 inhibitors

The newest class of oral diabetes agents to be approved are the sodium-glucose-linked cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors canagliflozin (Invokana) and dapagliflozin (Farxiga).

SGLT2 is a protein in the S1 segment of the proximal renal tubules responsible for over 90% of renal glucose reabsorption. SGLT2 inhibitors lower serum glucose levels by promoting glycosuria and have also been shown to have favorable effects on blood pressure and weight.^{67,68}

Canagliflozin was the first of its class to gain FDA approval in the United States. It has not been found to be associated with increased cancer risk.⁶⁸

Dapagliflozin, originally approved in Europe, was approved in the United States on January 8, 2014. Because of a possible increased incidence of breast and bladder malignancies, the FDA advisory committee initially recommended against approval and required further data. In those who were treated, nine cases of bladder cancer and nine cases of breast cancer were reported, compared with one case of bladder cancer and no cases of breast cancer in the control group; however, the difference was not statistically significant.⁶⁸

Since SGLT2 inhibitors are still new, data on long-term outcomes are lacking. Early clinical data do not show a significant increase in cancer risk.

■ WHAT THIS MEANS IN PRACTICE

Many studies have found associations between diabetes, obesity, hyperinsulinemia, and cancer risk. In the last decade, concerns implicating antihyperglycemic agents in cancer

development have arisen but have not been well substantiated. At this time, there are no definitive prospective data indicating that the currently available type 2 diabetes therapies increase the incidence of cancer beyond the inherent increased risk in this population. What, then, is one to do?

Educate. Lifestyle modification, including weight management, should continue to be emphasized in diabetes education, as no therapy is completely effective without adjunct modifications in diet and physical activity. Epidemiologic studies have shown the benefits of lifestyle modifications, which ameliorate many of the adverse metabolic conditions that coexist in type 2 diabetes and cancer.

Screen for cancer. Given the associations between diabetes and malignancy, cancer screening is especially important in this high-risk population.

Customize therapy to individual patients. Those with a personal history of bladder cancer should avoid pioglitazone, and those who have had pancreatic cancer should avoid sitagliptin until definitive clinical data become available.

Moreover, patients with a personal or family history of medullary thyroid cancer should not receive GLP-1 receptor agonists. These agents should also probably be avoided in patients with a personal history of differentiated thyroid carcinoma or a history of familial nonmedullary thyroid carcinoma. Until we have further elucidating data, it is not possible to say whether a family history of any of the other types of cancer should represent a contraindication to the use of any of these agents.

Discuss. The multitude of diabetes therapies warrants physician-patient discussions that carefully weigh the risks and benefits of additional agents to optimize glycemic control and metabolic factors in individual patients. ■

Since SGLT2 inhibitors are still new, data on long-term outcomes are lacking

■ REFERENCES

1. Garber AJ, Abrahamson MJ, Barzilay JI, et al; American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. AACE comprehensive diabetes management algorithm 2013. *Endocr Pract* 2013; 19:327–336.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Diabetes data and trends. www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics/. Accessed April 8, 2014.
3. Vigneri P, Frasca F, Sciacca L, Pandini G, Vigneri R. Diabetes and cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2009; 16:1103–1123.
4. Huxley R, Ansary-Moghaddam A, Berrington de González A, Barzi F, Woodward M. Type-II diabetes and pancreatic cancer: a meta-analysis of 36 studies. *Br J Cancer* 2005; 92:2076–2083.
5. Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005; 97:1679–1687.
6. Limburg PJ, Vierkant RA, Fredericksen ZS, et al. Clinically confirmed type 2 diabetes mellitus and colorectal cancer risk: a population-based, retrospective cohort study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; 101:1872–1879.
7. El-Serag HB, Hampel H, Javadi F. The association between diabetes and hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review of epidemiologic evidence. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006; 4:369–380.
8. Lindblad P, Chow WH, Chan J, et al. The role of diabetes mellitus in the aetiology of renal cell cancer. *Diabetologia* 1999; 42:107–112.

9. Washio M, Mori M, Khan M, et al; JACC Study Group. Diabetes mellitus and kidney cancer risk: the results of Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer Risk (JACC Study). *Int J Urol* 2007; 14:393–397.
10. Larsson SC, Mantzoros CS, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* 2007; 121:856–862.
11. Larsson SC, Orsini N, Brismar K, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of bladder cancer: a meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* 2006; 49:2819–2823.
12. Friberg E, Orsini N, Mantzoros CS, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of endometrial cancer: a meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* 2007; 50:1365–1374.
13. Mitri J, Castillo J, Pittas AG. Diabetes and risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Diabetes Care* 2008; 31:2391–2397.
14. Newton CC, Gapstur SM, Campbell PT, Jacobs EJ. Type 2 diabetes mellitus, insulin-use and risk of bladder cancer in a large cohort study. *Int J Cancer* 2013; 132:2186–2191.
15. Kasper JS, Giovannucci E. A meta-analysis of diabetes mellitus and the risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006; 15:2056–2062.
16. Rodriguez C, Patel AV, Mondul AM, Jacobs EJ, Thun MJ, Calle EE. Diabetes and risk of prostate cancer in a prospective cohort of US men. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005; 161:147–152.
17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes public health resource. National diabetes statistics report, 2014. Estimates of diabetes and its burden in the United States. www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/estimates14.htm. Accessed August 12, 2014.
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Cancer prevention and control cancer rates by race and ethnicity. www.cdc.gov/cancer/dcp/cdata/race.htm. Accessed August 12, 2014.
19. Hemkens LG, Groven U, Bender R, et al. Risk of malignancies in patients with diabetes treated with human insulin or insulin analogues: a cohort study. *Diabetologia* 2009; 52:1732–1744.
20. Colhoun HM; SDRN Epidemiology Group. Use of insulin glargine and cancer incidence in Scotland: a study from the Scottish Diabetes Research Network Epidemiology Group. *Diabetologia* 2009; 52:1755–1765.
21. Currie CJ, Poole CD, Gale EA. The influence of glucose-lowering therapies on cancer risk in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2009; 52:1766–1777.
22. ORIGIN Trial Investigators; Gerstein HC, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, et al. Basal insulin and cardiovascular and other outcomes in dysglycemia. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367:319–328.
23. Baur DM, Klotzsch J, Hamnvik OP, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and medications for type 2 diabetes mellitus are associated with risk for and mortality from cancer in a German primary care cohort. *Metabolism* 2011; 60:1363–1371.
24. Bowker SL, Majumdar SR, Veugelers P, Johnson JA. Increased cancer-related mortality for patients with type 2 diabetes who use sulfonylureas or insulin. *Diabetes Care* 2006; 29:254–258.
25. Li D, Yeung SC, Hassan MM, Konopleva M, Abbruzzese JL. Antidiabetic therapies affect risk of pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2009; 137:482–488.
26. Bjerre Knudsen L, Madsen LW, Andersen S, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists activate rodent thyroid C-cells causing calcitonin release and C-cell proliferation. *Endocrinology* 2010; 151:1473–1486.
27. Gier B, Butler PC, Lai CK, Kirakossian D, DeNicola MM, Yeh MW. Glucagon like peptide-1 receptor expression in the human thyroid gland. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; 97:121–131.
28. Butler PC, Elashoff M, Elashoff R, Gale EA. A critical analysis of the clinical use of incretin-based therapies: are the GLP-1 therapies safe? *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36:2118–2125.
29. Belfiore A, Malaguarnera R. Insulin receptor and cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2011; 18:R125–R147.
30. Weinstein D, Simon M, Yehezkel E, Laron Z, Werner H. Insulin analogues display IGF-I-like mitogenic and anti-apoptotic activities in cultured cancer cells. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2009; 25:41–49.
31. Riddle MC. Editorial: sulfonylureas differ in effects on ischemic preconditioning—is it time to retire glyburide? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003; 88:528–530.
32. Bodmer M, Becker C, Meier C, Jick SS, Meier CR. Use of antidiabetic agents and the risk of pancreatic cancer: a case-control analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012; 107:620–626.
33. Deutsch E, Berger M, Kussmaul WG, Hirshfeld JW Jr, Herrmann HC, Laskey WK. Adaptation to ischemia during percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. Clinical, hemodynamic, and metabolic features. *Circulation* 1990; 82:2044–2051.
34. Feng YH, Velazquez-Torres G, Gully C, Chen J, Lee MH, Yeung SC. The impact of type 2 diabetes and antidiabetic drugs on cancer cell growth. *J Cell Mol Med* 2011; 15:825–836.
35. Viollet B, Guigas B, Sanz Garcia N, Lederc J, Foretz M, Andreelli F. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of metformin: an overview. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2012; 122:253–270.
36. Maida A, Lamont BJ, Cao X, Drucker DJ. Metformin regulates the incretin receptor axis via a pathway dependent on peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α in mice. *Diabetologia* 2011; 54:339–349.
37. Gunton JE, Delhanty PJ, Takahashi S, Baxter RC. Metformin rapidly increases insulin receptor activation in human liver and signals preferentially through insulin-receptor substrate-2. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003; 88:1323–1332.
38. Ruiter R, Visser LE, van Herk-Sukel MP, et al. Lower risk of cancer in patients on metformin in comparison with those on sulfonylurea derivatives: results from a large population-based follow-up study. *Diabetes Care* 2012; 35:119–124.
39. Libby G, Donnelly LA, Donnan PT, Alessi DR, Morris AD, Evans JM. New users of metformin are at low risk of incident cancer: a cohort study among people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009; 32:1620–1625.
40. Bodmer M, Becker C, Meier C, Jick SS, Meier CR. Use of metformin and the risk of ovarian cancer: a case-control analysis. *Gynecol Oncol* 2011; 123:200–204.
41. Azoulay L, Dell'Aniello S, Gagnon B, Pollak M, Suissa S. Metformin and the incidence of prostate cancer in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2011; 20:337–344.
42. Noto H, Goto A, Tsujimoto T, Noda M. Cancer risk in diabetic patients treated with metformin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2012; 7:e33411.
43. Currie CJ, Poole CD, Jenkins-Jones S, Gale EA, Johnson JA, Morgan CL. Mortality after incident cancer in people with and without type 2 diabetes: impact of metformin on survival. *Diabetes Care* 2012; 35:299–304.
44. Yki-Järvinen H. Thiazolidinediones. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351:1106–1118.
45. Azoulay L, Yin H, Filion KB, et al. The use of pioglitazone and the risk of bladder cancer in people with type 2 diabetes: nested case-control study. *BMJ* 2012; 344:e3645.
46. Lewis JD, Ferrara A, Peng T, et al. Risk of bladder cancer among diabetic patients treated with pioglitazone: interim report of a longitudinal cohort study. *Diabetes Care* 2011; 34:916–922.
47. Colmers IN, Bowker SL, Johnson JA. Thiazolidinedione use and cancer incidence in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab* 2012; 38:475–484.
48. Dormandy J, Bhattacharya M, van Troostenburg de Bruyn AR; PROactive investigators. Safety and tolerability of pioglitazone in high-risk patients with type 2 diabetes: an overview of data from PROactive. *Drug Saf* 2009; 32:187–202.
49. Erdmann E, Song E, Spanheimer R, van Troostenburg de Bruyn A, Perez A. Pioglitazone and bladder malignancy during observational follow-up of PROactive: 6-year update. Abstract presented at the 72nd Scientific Sessions of the American Diabetes Association; June 8–12, 2012; Philadelphia, PA.
50. Akinyeye TO, Stewart LV. Troglitazone suppresses c-Myc levels in human prostate cancer cells via a PPAR γ -independent mechanism. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2011; 11:1046–1058.

51. Ban JO, Oh JH, Son SM, et al. Troglitazone, a PPAR agonist, inhibits human prostate cancer cell growth through inactivation of NFkB via suppression of GSK-3B expression. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2011; 12:288–296.
52. Yan KH, Yao CJ, Chang HY, Lai GM, Cheng AL, Chuang SE. The synergistic anticancer effect of troglitazone combined with aspirin causes cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in human lung cancer cells. *Mol Carcinog* 2010; 49:235–246.
53. Rashid-Kolvear F, Taboski MA, Nguyen J, Wang DY, Harrington LA, Done SJ. Troglitazone suppresses telomerase activity independently of PPARgamma in estrogen-receptor negative breast cancer cells. *BMC Cancer* 2010; 10:390.
54. Home PD, Kahn SE, Jones NP, Noronha D, Beck-Nielsen H, Viberti G; ADOPT Study Group; RECORD Steering Committee. Experience of malignancies with oral glucose-lowering drugs in the randomised controlled ADOPT (A Diabetes Outcome Progression Trial) and RECORD (Rosiglitazone Evaluated for Cardiovascular Outcomes and Regulation of Glycaemia in Diabetes) clinical trials. *Diabetologia* 2010; 53:1838–1845.
55. Martin JH, Deacon CF, Gorrell MD, Prins JB. Incretin-based therapies—review of the physiology, pharmacology and emerging clinical experience. *Intern Med J* 2011; 41:299–307.
56. Wadden TA, Hollander P, Klein S, et al; NN8022-1923 Investigators. Weight maintenance and additional weight loss with liraglutide after low-calorie-diet-induced weight loss: the SCALE Maintenance randomized study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2013; 37:1443–1451.
57. Hegedüs L, Moses AC, Zdravkovic M, Le Thi T, Daniels GH. GLP-1 and calcitonin concentration in humans: lack of evidence of calcitonin release from sequential screening in over 5,000 subjects with type 2 diabetes or nondiabetic obese subjects treated with the human GLP-1 analog, liraglutide. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011; 96:853–860.
58. Alves C, Batel-Marques F, Macedo AF. A meta-analysis of serious adverse events reported with exenatide and liraglutide: acute pancreatitis and cancer. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012; 98:271–284.
59. MacConell L, Brown C, Gurney K, Han J. Safety and tolerability of exenatide twice daily in patients with type 2 diabetes: integrated analysis of 5,594 patients from 19 placebo-controlled and comparator-controlled clinical trials. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2012; 5:29–41.
60. Nauck MA. A critical analysis of the clinical use of incretin-based therapies: The benefits by far outweigh the potential risks. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36:2126–2132.
61. Bailey CJ. Interpreting adverse signals in diabetes drug development programs. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36:2098–2106.
62. Yachida S, Jones S, Bozic I, et al. Distant metastasis occurs late during the genetic evolution of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 2010; 467:1114–1117.
63. Egan AG, Blind E, Dunder K, et al. Pancreatic safety of incretin-based drugs—FDA and EMA assessment. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370:794–797.
64. Bischoff H. The mechanism of alpha-glucosidase inhibition in the management of diabetes. *Clin Invest Med* 1995; 18:303–311.
65. Monami M, Lamanna C, Balzi D, Marchionni N, Mannucci E. Sulphonylureas and cancer: a case-control study. *Acta Diabetol* 2009; 46:279–284.
66. Tseng CH. Diabetes and risk of bladder cancer: a study using the National Health Insurance database in Taiwan. *Diabetologia* 2011; 54:2009–2015.
67. Vallon V. The proximal tubule in the pathophysiology of the diabetic kidney. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2011; 300:R1009–R1022.
68. Kim Y, Babu AR. Clinical potential of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in the management of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2012; 5:313–527.

ADDRESS: Grace E. Ching Sun, DO, Department of Medicine, Section of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, 1501 Kings Highway, Shreveport, LA 71130; e-mail: gsun1@lsuhsc.edu