#### PATRICK A. GLADDING, MBChB, PhD\*

Director of Personalized and Genomic Medicine, North Shore Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; Theranostics Laboratory, Global Cardiovascular Innovations Center, Cleveland Clinic; Integrated Cardiovascular Project for the International Space Station

# Clinical applications of pharmacogenetics: Present and near future

"Change is the only constant."
—Heraclitus (c 535–475 BCE)

W ITH THE COST OF HEALTH CARE rising and money to pay for it shrinking, there has never been a greater need to reduce waste.

See related article, page 483

Ineffective treatments and adverse drug effects account for much preventable morbidity and expense. New treatments, touted as more potent, are often introduced as replacements for traditional ones that are still effective in many patients, adding to costs and the potential for harm. For the pharmaceutical industry, the search for new "blockbuster" drugs seems to have hit a wall, at least in cardiovascular medicine. Advances often come at the cost of adverse effects, such as bleeding with triple antiplatelet therapy and diabetes with potent statin drugs.

The path to maximizing benefit and reducing harm now appears to lie in stratifying populations and appreciating patient individuality in response to treatment. For many decades we have known that patients vary widely in their response to drugs, owing to personal factors such as body surface area, age, environment, and genetics. And indeed, we treat our patients as individuals, for example by tailoring aminoglycoside dose to weight and renal function.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.80a.12111

However, clinical trials typically give us an idea of the benefits only to the average patient. While subgroup analyses identify groups that may benefit more or less from treatment, the additional information they provide is not easily integrated into the clinical model of prescribing, in which one size fits all.

### THE PROMISE OF PHARMACOGENETICS

The emerging field of pharmacogenetics promises to give clinicians the tools to make informed treatment decisions based on predictive genetic testing. This genetic testing aims to match treatment to an individual's genetic profile. This often involves analyzing single-nucleotide polymorphisms in genes for enzymes that metabolize drugs, such as the cytochrome P450 enzymes, to predict efficacy or an adverse event with treatment.

Pharmacogenetics is playing an increasing role in clinical trials, particularly in the early stages of drug development, by helping to reduce the number of patients needed, prove efficacy, and identify subgroups in which alternative treatment can be targeted. At another level, a molecular understanding of disease is leading to truly targeted treatments based on genomics.

Over recent years, genetic testing has been increasingly used in clinical practice, thanks to a convergence of factors such as rapid, low-cost tests, a growing evidence base, and emerging interest among doctors and payers.

An advantage to using genetic testing as opposed to other types of laboratory testing, such as measuring the concentration of

Pharmacogenetics will give clinicians the tools to make treatment decisions based on predictive genetic testing

<sup>\*</sup>Dr. Patrick Gladding is the founder of Theranostics Laboratory and holds a patent on clopidogrel pharmacogenetics.

TABLE 1			
FDA-approved drugs with pharmacogenomic information in the labeling			
DRUG	THERAPEUTIC AREA	BIOMARKER	LABEL SECTIONS
Abacavir	Antiviral	HLA-B*5701	Warnings and precautions: Stevens-Johnson syndrome
Azathioprine	Rheumatology	TPMT	Warnings and precautions: bone marrow toxicity
Carbamazepine	Neurology	HLA-B*1502	Boxed warning: Stevens-Johnson syndrome
Citalopram	Psychiatry	CYP2C19	Poor metabolizers are less likely to tolerate treatment
Clopidogrel	Cardiovascular	CYP2C19	Poor metabolizers have a higher cardiovascular event rate; ultrametabolizers are at higher risk of bleeding
Ivacaftor	Pulmonary	<i>CFTR</i> (G551D)	Personalized treatment for cystic fibrosis
Trastuzumab	Oncology	HER2/neu	Personalized treatment for breast cancer
Vemurafenib	Oncology	BRAF	Personalized treatment for metastatic melanoma
Warfarin	Hematology	CYP2C9, VKORC1	Dosage predicted by genetic algorithm and risk of bleeding
	ADAPTED FROM <u>HTTP://www.fda.gov/drugs/scienceresearch/researchareas/pharmacogenetics/ucm083378.htm.</u>		

The cost of genotyping is falling inversely faster than Moore's law

the drug in the blood during treatment, is that genetic tests can predict the response to treatment before the treatment is started. Moreover, with therapeutic drug monitoring after treatment has begun, there are sometimes no detectable measures of toxicity. For example, both carbamazepine and the antiviral drug abacavir can—fortunately only rarely—cause Stevens-Johnson syndrome. But before genetic markers were discovered, there was no method of estimating this risk apart from taking a family history.<sup>2,3</sup> Considering the numbers of people involved, it was not feasible until recently to suggest genetic screening for patients starting on these drugs. However, the cost of genotyping and gene sequencing has been falling at a rate inversely faster than Moore's law (an approximate annual doubling in computer power), and population genomics is becoming a reality.4

The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recognizes the current and future value of pharmacogenetics in drug safety and development. A number of approved pharmacogenetic biomarkers are listed on the FDA website (TABLE 1). Black box warnings have been mandated for a number of drugs on the basis of observational evidence.

The FDA also promotes rapid approval for

novel drugs with pharmacogenetic "companion diagnostics." A recent example of this was the approval of ivacaftor for cystic fibrosis patients who have the G551D mutation. Here, a molecular understanding of the condition led to the development of a targeted treatment. Although the cost of developing this drug was high, the path is now paved for similar advances. Oncologists are familiar with these advances with the emergence of new molecularly targeted treatments, eg, BRAF inhibitors in metastatic melanoma, imatinib in chronic myeloid leukemia, and gefitinib in non-small-cell lung cancer.

## PHARMACOGENETICS IN CARDIOVASCULAR MEDICINE

Cardiovascular medicine also stands to benefit from rapid advances in pharmacogenetics.

While no treatment has been developed that targets the molecular basis of cardiovascular disease, a number of genomic biomarkers have emerged that identify patients at risk of adverse reactions or treatment failure. These include genetic tests to predict the maintenance dose and risk of bleeding with warfarin, the likelihood of myopathy and myositis with simvastatin, and the risk of recurrent thrombotic events with clopidogrel. 8-10

# Using pharmacogenetics in prescribing warfarin and its alternatives

The pharmacogenetics of warfarin has been extensively researched, but genotyping before prescribing this drug is not yet widely done.

In 2007, the FDA updated the labeling of warfarin to include information about the influence of two genes, VKORC1 and CYP2C9, on a patient's response to this drug. In 2010 this was updated to add that testing for these genes could be used to predict the maintenance dose of the drug. Difficulties with algorithms used to integrate this into clinical practice have hindered adoption of this testing.

With the advent of new anticoagulants such as dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban, many have expected warfarin and its pharmacogenetics to become obsolete. However, the new agents cost considerably more. Further, they may not offer a very great advantage over warfarin: in the Randomized Evaluation of Long-term Anticoagulant Therapy (RE-LY) trial, the absolute risk reduction in intracranial hemorrhage with dabigatran vs warfarin was small.11 Therefore, dabigatran is probably not cost-effective in populations at low risk of bleeding. 12 A cost-effectiveness analysis comparing warfarin with dabigatran in patients with uncomplicated atrial fibrillation has suggested that dabigatran is, however, cost-effective in patients at moderate risk. 12

In the RE-LY trial, the international normalized ratios (INRs) of the patients in the warfarin group were in the therapeutic range only 64% of the time. The advantages of dabigatran over warfarin become less pronounced as warfarin control is tightened.<sup>13</sup> Of note, pharmacogenetics and home monitoring of the INR have both been shown to lead to tighter control of the INR, with greater time within the therapeutic range.<sup>14,15</sup>

Moreover, genetic testing can help us reduce the number of bleeding events in patients taking warfarin. Patients who carry the CYP2C9\*2 or CYP2C9\*3 polymorphism metabolize S-warfarin slower and therefore have a threefold higher risk of hemorrhage after starting warfarin. We could speculate that patients carrying these variants may be better served by the newer anticoagulants, though this has not been tested in any clinical trial.

It is also worth appreciating that the con-

ditions requiring anticoagulation, such as atrial fibrillation, also have a strong genetic basis. Variants in chromosomes 4q25, 1q21, and 16q22 have all been associated with atrial fibrillation.<sup>18</sup> The risk of atrial fibrillation is five to six times higher in carriers of multiple variants within all of these loci. 19 Genetic variants at 4q25 have been associated with the response to specific antiarrhythmic drug treatments, 20 response to pulmonary vein isolation,<sup>21,22</sup> and direct-current cardioversion.<sup>23</sup> One can imagine a future in which patients with palpitations, carrying multiple gene risk variants, will choose prolonged monitoring at home to confirm a diagnosis. They would then be provided with a personalized best management strategy, using their personal preferences, clinical data, and genetic profile to make a treatment decision.

# Using pharmacogenetics in prescribing clopidogrel and its alternatives

The pharmacogenetics of clopidogrel is of particular interest, as it has the potential of establishing a rational basis for using newer antiplatelet drugs such as ticagrelor and prasugrel, which are considerably more expensive than generic clopidogrel.

Most of the people who do not respond to clopidogrel carry the common cytochrome P450 2C19 variants CYP2C19\*2 or CYP2C19\*3.9 These variants are present in particularly high frequency in Asians and African Americans, who often do not feature in large randomized trials.

Newer antiplatelet agents have failed to demonstrate consistent superiority to clopidogrel without a tradeoff of more bleeding. However, in the Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition With Prasugrel-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 39 (TRITON TIMI-38),<sup>24,25</sup> patients with the \*2 variant receiving prasugrel had lower cardiovascular event rates than \*2 carriers receiving clopidogrel.

Similarly, the patients who benefit the most from ticagrelor are carriers of the 2C19 nonresponder variants. In a large study, clopidogrel responders who did not carry either 2C19 nonresponder genetic variants or ABCB1 variants had cardiovascular outcomes similar to those of patients receiving ticagrelor.<sup>26</sup>

Clopidogrel
pharmacogenetic testing
is recommended in selected
patients
according to
ACC/AHA
guidelines

Clinicians have been cautious in prescribing potent antiplatelet agents to all patients because of the risk of bleeding. One could assume that by reserving newer agents for clopidogrel nonresponders, the bleeding risk could be minimized and overall benefit could be preserved with this strategy.

Cost may also be contained. The cost-effectiveness of such an approach with prasugrel has been tested with computer modeling and appears favorable.<sup>27</sup> On the other hand, a similar yet limited analysis did not find genotype-driven use of ticagrelor to be cost-effective.<sup>28</sup> This was mostly due to fewer deaths in patients receiving ticagrelor. However, the cost estimate for genotype-guided therapy was overestimated, as heterozygotes in the model were treated with ticagrelor instead of a high dose of clopidogrel.

It now appears that heterozygotes, ie, patients with one copy of the nonresponder variant, can achieve similar platelet inhibition with clopidogrel 225 mg daily as noncarriers on 75 mg daily.<sup>29</sup> Since genotype-guided antiplatelet therapy has not been tested in a randomized outcomes trial, this tailored strategy has not been widely accepted.

The '-omics' suffix implies a greater, holistic view

#### ■ THE FUTURE

The barriers to adoption of pharmacogenetics are considerable. Clinicians need to be educated about it, reimbursement needs to be worked out, and the pharmaceutical industry needs to get behind it. Nevertheless, the future of pharmacogenetics is extremely promising.

Research networks are forming to support the use of pharmacogenetics in clinical practice. The Pharmgkb (www.pharmgkb.org) database serves as a hub for educating clinicians and researchers as well as curating data for reference. Vanderbilt University is piloting the BioVu project, in which DNA and genotype data on patients are being stored and matched to the electronic clinical records. These projects not only provide clinically useful information on the current state of the art of pharmacogenetics, they also aid in disseminating new information about genotype-phenotype relationships.

Analytical software that uses "natural language processing" is being applied to clinician-

generated notes to derive new observations and associations between genetic variants and clinical phenotypes. Integrating this information in real-time decision-support modules in the electronic health record provides a feedback loop for a rapid assimilation of new knowledge. Similar innovative decision-support modules are being established by Cleveland Clinic's Center for Personalized Healthcare.<sup>31</sup>

#### The rise of 'omic' sciences

Pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics are part of a larger set of "omic" sciences. The suffix "-omics" implies a larger, more holistic view and is being applied to a number of fields—for example, the study of proteins (proteomics) and the study of metabolites (metabolomics). Profiling proteins and metabolites delivers a deluge of information on a patient that can be clustered, using pattern-recognition software, into population subgroups. Patterns of multiple proteins or metabolites are extracted from this spectral data to identify disease or response to treatment (pharmacometabolomics).

Metabolomics has been shown to predict the response to statins, <sup>32</sup> diagnose myocardial infarction, <sup>33</sup> and reclassify cardiovascular risk status. <sup>34</sup> In addition, whereas traditional laboratory chemistry is reductionist, using single biomarkers for single-disease diagnosis, omic technologies hold the potential to reveal information on a number of possible health or disease states. The identification of "healthy" profiles using these technologies can potentially provide positive feedback to patients undertaking lifestyle changes and treatment.

The instrument costs for proteomic and metabolomic profiling are relatively high. However, the ongoing running costs are minimal, estimated at as low as less than \$13 per test, as there are no expensive reagents.<sup>33</sup> High-volume testing therefore becomes very cost-effective.

Although omic science appears futuristic, proteomics and metabolomics are already used in many clinical laboratories to rapidly identify bacteria. These methods have already revolutionized the way laboratories identify microbes, since they are automated, reduce workload, and give very fast results.

# The cost of genetic testing is falling

Critics of pharmacogenetics claim that the predictive value of genetic testing is poor, that evidence is lacking, and that the cost is too high. In all new technologies, the first iteration is coarse, but performance improves with use. The first major barrier is adoption. Projects like BioVu are establishing the infrastructure for a feedback loop to iteratively improve upon the status quo and provide the evidence base clinicians demand.

The cost of genetic testing is falling rapidly, with whole-genome sequencing and annotation now costing less than \$5,000. The cost of a pharmacogenetic test can be as low as \$100 using low-cost nanotechnology, and the test needs to be performed only once in a patient's lifetime.<sup>27</sup>

As other related molecular technologies such as proteomics and metabolomics become available and are integrated with genomics, the predictive ability of this science will improve.

## REFERENCES

- Topol EJ. Past the wall in cardiovascular R&D. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009; 8:259.
- Mallal S, Phillips E, Carosi G, et al; PREDICT-1 Study Team. HLA-B\*5701 screening for hypersensitivity to abacavir. N Engl J Med 2008; 358:568–579.
- Hung SI, Chung WH, Jee SH, et al. Genetic susceptibility to carbamazepine-induced cutaneous adverse drug reactions. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2006; 16:297–306.
- Phimister EG, Feero WG, Guttmacher AE. Realizing genomic medicine. N Engl J Med 2012; 366:757–759.
- Van Goor F, Hadida S, Grootenhuis PD, et al. Rescue of CF airway epithelial cell function in vitro by a CFTR potentiator, VX-770. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009; 106:18825–18830.
- International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium; Klein TE, Altman RB, Eriksson N, et al. Estimation of the warfarin dose with clinical and pharmacogenetic data. N Engl J Med 2009; 360:753–764.
- SEARCH Collaborative Group; Link E, Parish S, Armitage
  J, et al. SLCO1B1 variants and statin-induced myopathy—
  a genomewide study. N Engl J Med 2008; 359:789–799.
- Simon T, Verstuyft C, Mary-Krause M, et al; French Registry of Acute ST-Elevation and Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (FAST-MI) Investigators. Genetic determinants of response to clopidogrel and cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med 2009; 360:363–375.
- Mega JL, Close SL, Wiviott SD, et al. Cytochrome p-450 polymorphisms and response to clopidogrel. N Engl J Med 2009: 360:354–362
- Collet JP, Hulot JS, Pena A, et al. Cytochrome P450 2C19 polymorphism in young patients treated with clopidogrel after myocardial infarction: a cohort study. Lancet 2009; 373:309–317.
- Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al; RE-LY Steering Committee and Investigators. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2009: 361:1139–1151.
- 12. Shah SV, Gage BF. Cost-effectiveness of dabigatran for

#### AWAY FROM ONE-SIZE-FITS-ALL MEDICINE

Over the last decade there has been a trend away from "one size fits all" to customized "markets of one" in everything from consumer products to education to medicine. Mass customizing, also known as personalization, has been embraced by the internet community as a means to increase efficiency and reduce cost. This occurs by eliminating waste in redundant work or production of ineffective products.

Personalization on the Internet has been enabled through the use of informatics, mathematics, and supercomputing. The same tools that have personalized the delivery of consumer products are also being applied to the field of pharmacogenetics. Applied in an evidence-based fashion, these new technologies should profoundly improve patient care now and in the future.

- stroke prophylaxis in atrial fibrillation. Circulation 2011; 123:2562–2570.
- Wallentin L, Yusuf S, Ezekowitz MD, et al; RE-LY investigators. Efficacy and safety of dabigatran compared with warfarin at different levels of international normalised ratio control for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: an analysis of the RE-LY trial. Lancet 2010; 376:975–983.
- Anderson JL, Horne BD, Stevens SM, et al; Couma-Gen Investigators. Randomized trial of genotype-guided versus standard warfarin dosing in patients initiating oral anticoagulation. Circulation 2007; 116:2563–2570.
- Matchar DB, Jacobson A, Dolor R, et al; THINRS Executive Committee and Site Investigators. Effect of home testing of international normalized ratio on clinical events. N Engl J Med 2010; 363:1608–1620.
- Epstein RS, Moyer TP, Aubert RE, et al. Warfarin genotyping reduces hospitalization rates results from the MM-WES (Medco-Mayo Warfarin Effectiveness study). J Am Coll Cardiol 2010; 55:2804–2812.
- Sanderson S, Emery J, Higgins J. CYP2C9 gene variants, drug dose, and bleeding risk in warfarin-treated patients: a HuGEnet systematic review and meta-analysis. Genet Med 2005: 7:97–104.
- Ellinor PT, Lunetta KL, Albert CM, et al. Meta-analysis identifies six new susceptibility loci for atrial fibrillation. Nat Genet 2012; 44: 670–675.
- Lubitz SA, Sinner MF, Lunetta KL, et al. Independent susceptibility markers for atrial fibrillation on chromosome 4q25. Circulation 2010; 122: 976–984.
- Parvez B, Vaglio J, Rowan S, et al. Symptomatic response to antiarrhythmic drug therapy is modulated by a common single nucleotide polymorphism in atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012; 60: 539–545.
- Husser D, Adams V, Piorkowski C, Hindricks G, Bollmann A. Chromosome 4q25 variants and atrial fibrillation recurrence after catheter ablation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010; 55: 747–753.
- Benjamin Shoemaker M, Muhammad R, Parvez B, et al. Common atrial fibrillation risk alleles at 4q25 predict recurrence after catheter-based atrial fibrillation

- ablation." Heart Rhythm 2012; Nov 23. pii: S1547-5271(12)01340-9. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2012.11.012. [Epub ahead of print].
- Parvez B, Benjamin Shoemaker M, Muhammad R, et al. Common genetic polymorphism at 4q25 locus predicts atrial fibrillation recurrence after successful cardioversion. Heart Rhythm 2013 Feb 18. pii: S1547-5271(13)00161-6. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2013.02.018. [Epub ahead of print]
- 24. Mega JL, Close SL, Wiviott SD, et al. Genetic variants in ABCB1 and CYP2C19 and cardiovascular outcomes after treatment with clopidogrel and prasugrel in the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial: a pharmacogenetic analysis. Lancet 2010; 376:1312–1319.
- Mega JL, Close SL, Wiviott SD, et al. Cytochrome P450 genetic polymorphisms and the response to prasugrel: relationship to pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and clinical outcomes. Circulation 2009; 119:2553–2560.
- Wallentin L, James S, Storey RF, et al; PLATO investigators. Effect of CYP2C19 and ABCB1 single nucleotide polymorphisms on outcomes of treatment with ticagrelor versus clopidogrel for acute coronary syndromes: a genetic substudy of the PLATO trial. Lancet 2010; 376:1320–1328.
- Guzauskas GF, Hughes DA, Bradley SM, Veenstra DL.
   A risk-benefit assessment of prasugrel, clopidogrel, and genotype-guided therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012; 91:829–837.
- 28. Crespin DJ, Federspiel JJ, Biddle AK, Jonas DE, Rossi JS.

- Ticagrelor versus genotype-driven antiplatelet therapy for secondary prevention after acute coronary syndrome: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Value Health 2011; 14:483–491.
- Mega JL, Hochholzer W, Frelinger AL 3rd, et al. Dosing clopidogrel based on CYP2C19 genotype and the effect on platelet reactivity in patients with stable cardiovascular disease. JAMA 2011; 306:2221–2228.
- Xu H, Jiang M, Oetjens M, et al. Facilitating pharmacogenetic studies using electronic health records and naturallanguage processing: a case study of warfarin. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2011; 18:387–391.
- Teng K, Eng C, Hess CA, et al. Building an innovative model for personalized healthcare. Cleve Clin J Med 2012; 79(suppl 1):S1–S9.
- Kaddurah-Daouk R, Baillie RA, Zhu H, et al. Enteric microbiome metabolites correlate with response to simvastatin treatment. PLoS One 2011; 6:e25482.
- Bodi V, Sanchis J, Morales JM, et al. Metabolomic profile of human myocardial ischemia by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of peripheral blood serum: a translational study based on transient coronary occlusion models. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012; 59:1629–1641.
- Shah SH, Sun JL, Stevens RD, et al. Baseline metabolomic profiles predict cardiovascular events in patients at risk for coronary artery disease. Am Heart J 2012; 163:844– 850.e1.

ADDRESS: Patrick A. Gladding, MBChB, PhD, 26 Volcanic St., Mt. Eden, Auckland, New Zealand 1041; e-mail: patrickg@theranosticslab.com