# The negative U wave in the setting of demand ischemia

(AUGUST 2011)

TO THE EDITOR: We thank Drs. Venkatachalam and Rimmerman<sup>1</sup> for their Clinical Picture article, "Electrocardiography in aortic regurgitation: It's in the details," in the August 2011 issue. This was very interesting, as usual for the Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine.

The maxim that "a negative U wave is never normal," first noted about 50 years ago, still holds true. However, the authors' statement on page 506—ie, that a negative U wave indicates structural heart disease—is too restrictive, since ischemia is not always due to a structural problem. Functional ischemia from excess demand, such as from tachycardia, sepsis, or gastrointestinal bleeding, can also cause negative U waves.<sup>2,3</sup> The broader comment in the "sidebar" on page 505 could be considered to include demand ischemia, but for clarity, it would be helpful to state this explicitly.

PROMPORN SUKSARANJIT, MD Bassett Medical Center Cooperstown, NY

WISIT CHEUNGPASITPORN, MD Bassett Medical Center Cooperstown, NY

EDWARD F. BISCHOF, MD Bassett Medical Center Cooperstown, NY

HERBERT MARX, MD Bassett Medical Center Cooperstown, NY

## REFERENCES

- Venkatachalam S, Rimmerman CM. Electrocardiography in aortic regurgitation: It's in the details. Cleve Clin J Med 2011; 78:505–506.
- Sovari AA, Farokhi F, Kocheril AG. Inverted U wave, a specific electrocardiographic sign of cardiac ischemia. Am J Emerg Med 2007; 25:235–237.
- Correale E, Battista R, Ricciardiello V, Martone A. The negative U wave: a pathogenetic enigma but a useful, often overlooked bedside diagnostic and prognostic clue in ischemic heart disease. Clin Cardiol 2004; 27:674–677.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.78c:12003

IN REPLY: We appreciate the comments from Drs. Suksaranjit, Cheungpasitporn, Bischof, and Marx on our recent article on the negative U wave in a patient with chronic aortic regurgitation. The clinical data including electrocardiography, echocardiography, and coronary angiography were presented to emphasize the importance of identifying the negative U wave in the setting of valvular heart disease. We outlined the common differential diagnosis for a negative U wave (page 506). We believe that in the appropriate clinical setting the presence of a negative U wave provides diagnostic utility.

Several published reports to date have described the occurrence of the negative U wave in the setting of obstructive coronary artery disease<sup>2–5</sup> or coronary artery vasospasm.<sup>6</sup> We were unable to find similar data in the setting of demand ischemia in the presence of normal coronary arteries (functional ischemia), but we fully recognize its likely occurrence, and we value the helpful insight.

SRIDHAR VENKATACHALAM, MD, MRCP Cleveland Clinic

CURTIS M. RIMMERMAN, MD, MBA Cleveland Clinic

# REFERENCES

- Venkatachalam S, Rimmerman CM. Electrocardiography in aortic regurgitation: It's in the details. Cleve Clin J Med 2011;78:505–506.
- Gerson MC, Phillips JF, Morris SN, McHenry PL. Exerciseinduced U-wave inversion as a marker of stenosis of the left anterior descending coronary artery. Circulation 1979: 60:1014–1020.
- Galli M, Temporelli P. Images in clinical medicine. Negative U waves as an indicator of stress-induced myocardial ischemia. N Engl J Med 1994; 330:1791.
- Miwa K, Nakagawa K, Hirai T, Inoue H. Exercise-induced U-wave alterations as a marker of well-developed and well-functioning collateral vessels in patients with effort angina. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000; 35:757–763.
- Rimmerman CM. A 62-year-old man with an abnormal electrocardiogram. Cleve Clin J Med 2001; 68:975–976.
- Kodama-Takahashi K, Ohshima K, Yamamoto K, Iwata T, Hamada M, Hiwada K, et al. Occurrence of transient U-wave inversion during vasospastic anginal attack is not related to the direction of concurrent ST-segment shift. Chest 2002; 122:535–541.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.78c:12004

# Dabigatran

(OCTOBER 2011)

TO THE EDITOR: The article "Dabigatran: Will it change clinical practice" has a dangerous error. In its Key Points, it says "dabigatran is a potent, reversible direct thrombin inhibitor." In fact, it is *not* reversible.<sup>2</sup>

Shamefully poor editing.

VAN SMITH, MD, FACP

# REFERENCES

- Wartak SA, Bartholomew JR. Dabigatran: Will it change clinical practice? Cleve Clin J Med 2011; 78:657–664.
- 2. Antithrombotic drugs. Treat Guidel Met Lett 2011; 9:61–66.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.78c.12001

**IN REPLY:** This is not an error. When we<sup>1</sup> and others<sup>2</sup> said that dabigatran is a reversible direct thrombin inhibitor, we were referring to its effect at the molecular level, the appropriate description of its mechanism of action. However, we suspect that Dr. Smith means that there is no antidote to give in cases of bleeding or overdose. We share his concern and we discussed this in our article.

Unlike heparin, direct thrombin inhibitors act independently of antithrombin and inhibit thrombin bound to fibrin or fibrin degradation products. There are two types of direct thrombin inhibitors: bivalent (eg, hirudin) and univalent (eg, argatroban, ximelagatran, and dabigatran). The bivalent ones block thrombin at its active site and at an exosite and form an irreversible complex with it. The univalent ones interact with only the active site and reversibly inhibit thrombin, eventually dissociating from it and leaving a small amount of free, enzymatically active thrombin available for hemostatic interactions. Therefore, in contrast to the hirudins, they produce relatively transient thrombin inhibition.<sup>2-4</sup>

As we pointed out in our article, the lack of an antidote for dabigatran and the lack of experience in treating bleeding complications are major concerns. Fortunately, the drug has a short half-life (12–14 hours) so that the

treatment is to withhold the next dose while maintaining adequate diuresis and giving transfusions as indicated. Activated charcoal, given orally to reduce absorption, is under evaluation but must be given within 1 or 2 hours after the dabigatran dose. Dabigatran can be removed by dialysis (in part because it is a reversible inhibitor), a measure that may be necessary in life-threatening cases. Recombinant activated factor VII or prothrombin complex concentrates may be additional treatment options. With time will come experience and, we hope, evidence-based guidelines.

SIDDHARTH A. WARTAK, MD Cleveland Clinic

JOHN R. BARTHOLOMEW, MD, FACC Cleveland Clinic

### REFERENCES

- Wartak SA, Bartholomew JR. Dabigatran: Will it change clinical practice? Cleve Clin J Med 2011; 78:657–664.
- van Ryn J, Stangier J, Haertter S, et al. Dabigatran etexilate—a novel, reversible, oral direct thrombin inhibitor: interpretation of coagulation assays and reversal of anticoagulant activity. Thromb Haemost 2010; 103:1116– 1127.
- Di Nisio M, Middeldorp S, Büller HR. Direct thrombin inhibitors. N Engl J Med 2005; 353:1028–1040.
- Stangier J, Clemens A. Pharmacology, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of dabigatran etexilate, an oral direct thrombin inhibitor. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2009; 15(suppl 1):95–165.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.78c.12002