

The effects of aging and loud music on hearing¹

Richard H. Nodar, Ph.D.

This paper addresses the effects of loud sound and the aging process upon the human auditory system. There is no question that loud sound, regardless of whether it is impact noise, heavy-metal rock music, or classical music, can be damaging to auditory nerve cells. It is the level of the sound as well as the duration of exposure that constitutes danger to this precious sense organ—the ear.

Index terms: Hearing loss, noise-induced • Music

Cleve Clin Q 53:49–52, Spring 1986

Two common problems that affect the hearing and consequently the performance of musicians are advancing age and loud music. In attempting to determine the extent of hearing loss and to differentiate its causes, it is helpful to measure and establish normal levels of hearing.

Measurement of hearing

Hearing in its broadest sense encompasses the entire auditory process. This includes detection (sensitivity), discrimination (acuity), and comprehension (understanding). This section predominantly addresses the sensitivity of the auditory system. Hearing is usually tested with an audiometer, an electronic instrument capable of generating pure tones beginning at 125 Hz and increasing in octaves to 8,000 Hz. The decibel is the unit of measure of the strength of the signal. The decibel represents a ratio series as opposed to an interval series and is a logarithmic unit of measurement. Therefore, for every 10-dB increase, there

¹ Department of Otolaryngology and Communicative Disorders, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation. Submitted for publication July 1985; revision accepted Dec 1985.

lp

0009-8787/86/01/0049/04/\$2.00/0

Copyright © 1986, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation

Otolaryngology and Communicative Disorders
AUDITORY TEST RECORD
Cleveland Clinic Foundation

Test Category: ☐ REFERENCE ☐ SCREEN ☐ POST-OP ☐ BASIC ☐ BATTERY ☐ HAE

Reflex Recommendations: ☐ BASIC ☐ HAE ☐ BATTERY ☐ RECHECK

Clinic Number _____ Audiometer _____ Date _____

Name _____ Age _____ Physician _____

Audiometric Weber: _____

HEARING THRESHOLD LEVEL IN DECIBELS
and 1000 Hertz

125 250 500 1000 2000 4000 8000

10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 200

AC (unmasked) R L
AC (masked) R L
BC (unmasked) R L
BC (masked) R L
ST (unmasked) R L
ST (masked) R L

SYMBOLS

EAR	R	L
COLOR	RED	BLUE
AC (unmasked)	O	2
BC (unmasked)	<	>
AC (masked)	Δ	□
BC (masked)		
ST (unmasked)	S	S
ST (masked)	A	A

TIMBITUS MATCH

POINT _____

OTHER _____

REFLEX SUMMARY

STIMULUS LEFT RIGHT

LEVEL _____

DECAY _____

800 Hz _____

100 Hz _____

TYMPANOMETRY

STIMULUS LEFT RIGHT

LEVEL _____

DECAY _____

800 Hz _____

100 Hz _____

SPEECH

EAR PER REF BAT UCL MCL RL RSD RSL

R _____

L _____

SP _____

ABBREVIATIONS

R = Right
L = Left
C = Contralateral
GRT = Gelfand Test
E = Earphone
P = Pinna
MCL = Mean Contributor Level
MLT = Masking Left Ear
MRT = Masking Right Ear
NHL = No Response
PLT = Pure Tone Level
RSD = Received
RSL = Received
ST = Speech Reception Threshold
UCL = Understanding Level
WDS = Word Discrimination Score
CQ = CQ
VW = Broad Band White Noise

Test Reliability: _____

Comments: _____

Audiologist Signature: _____

P 50 Rev. 8/78

Figure. Auditory test record, including an audiogram. The gray area encompasses normal limits. Symbols indicated are widely accepted as those used for audiograms. The symbols represent the ear that was tested and refers to the particular type of test as well as what was happening in the contralateral ear. For example, an O represents the right ear, tested by air conduction (earphone), with no competing message in the contralateral ear.

is a tenfold increase in intensity. Any decibel measurement represents a difference between two acoustic pressures (i.e., 0 dB does not represent "no sound"; it means there is no difference between the sound we are presented and an agreed upon reference). The results of hearing tests are graphed on an audiogram, which graphically represents the subject's sensitivity for pure tones as a function of frequency and intensity (Figure). *Intensity* refers to the physical strength of the signal, and *frequency* refers to the number of cycles per unit of time. Both intensity and frequency may be measured physically (electrically) and are independent of the human listener. *Loudness* is the psychological correlate of intensity, and *pitch* is the psychological correlate of

frequency. The frequency of a given tone can be changed without a perceptible change in the pitch. The same is also true of the intensity and loudness. The amount of change in frequency or intensity that results in a perceived change in loudness or pitch is called a just-noticeable difference.

A hearing test using a pure-tone audiometer is usually the first step in quantifying the sensitivity of an individual's auditory system. The results of the hearing test and the audiogram render several kinds of information: degree and type of hearing loss, possible level of dysfunction, and prognosis for hearing-aid use.

The effects of music on hearing

Sound, that magnificent phenomenon that keeps a person in contact with his or her environment, his or her society, and his or her personal world, can, if loud enough, damage or even destroy hearing. The human auditory system is at risk regardless of whether sound is meaningful (speech, music, signals, etc) or nonmeaningful (noise), pleasant or unpleasant. The two primary factors that contribute to noise-induced hearing loss are: (a) the level of the sound and (b) the duration of the exposure. Although the effects of industrial noise on human hearing have been known for many years,^{1,2} it only has been recently that attention has been paid to the effects of popular music³⁻⁷ and orchestral music.⁸⁻¹² The characteristic audiogram associated with exposure to loud sound will show hearing sensitivity within near normal limits (0 to 25 dB) at frequencies 125 Hz to 2 kHz, dropping (poorer) at 3, 4, and 6 kHz, and improving at 8 kHz with a "notchlike" appearance of the audiogram. There appears to be general agreement that live rock music (as opposed to recorded) exceeds safe levels⁴⁻⁹ and can result in severe, permanent damage to the cytoarchitecture of the organ of Corti in the cochlea.⁴ Rintelmann and Borus³ reported that the rock-and-roll musicians they studied did not have noise-induced hearing loss. However, Lipscomb⁴ noted that Rintelmann and Borus did not test the 6,000-Hz range, which Lipscomb found to be the most commonly failed frequency in the 1,680 public school students he studied.

Studies reporting risk factors for symphonic musicians are also in conflict. While certain authors^{9,11} report that passages played fortissimo are well below acoustic hazard levels, others re-

Table. Average hearing levels for men and women as a function of age versus frequency (extrapolated from Davis and Silverman¹⁵)

Age (yr)	600 Hz		1 kHz		2 kHz		3 kHz		4 kHz		6 kHz	
	M/F		M/F		M/F		M/F		M/F		M/F	
18-24	6	6	5	5	5	2	10	5	10	5	12	10
35-44	10	10	5	5	6	5	12	5	19	5	25	15
45-54	12	...	8	...	10	...	20	...	25	...	30	...
55-64	12	16	10	15	12	16	30	16	38	18	49	30
65-74	15	18	13	15	25	17	50	23	55	30	62	35
75-79	21	24	19	22	35	30	55	34	65	38	75	55

port that orchestral musicians can be exposed to potentially damaging sound pressure levels¹⁰ and that certain musicians (violinists, flutists) may be at risk for unilateral loss caused by the way they hold their instruments.

Loud sound is only one of the many hazards for the human auditory system. In addition to disease, ototoxic drugs, and physical trauma, the musician must cope with the effects of the aging process on his ability to hear.

Hearing loss and aging

There is no question that, as technology advances, the average life expectancy of American citizens increases.¹³ In the data presented by Jacobs-Condit and Fein,¹³ it has been projected that by 2050 there will be 67 million (22%) persons 65 years old or older in the United States and about 16 million who are 85 years old or older. Research suggests that the percentage of the population with speech and hearing impairments rises rapidly after age 60 and will affect almost 40% of the population. Figures currently indicate that 43% of the population in the United States over 65 have hearing impairments. By 2050, it is projected that this figure will be 59% of that population.

Presbycusis (hearing loss) associated with advancing age is the most common cause of sensorineural hearing loss in the United States. It occurs gradually over a number of years, affecting the high frequencies first and, with advancing age, the lower frequencies as well. The condition is bilateral and symmetrical. Schuknecht's text¹⁴ offers excellent documentation (including teaching slides) of inner ear pathology accompanying presbycusis. If there are great differences in hearing between two ears that cannot be explained

on the basis of noise exposure or previous history, a complete audiological and neuro-otologic evaluation are strongly warranted. The hearing loss associated with advancing age is quite complex. It may start as a sensory hearing loss (inner ear), later involving neural elements (sensorineural), and finally affecting the central mechanisms of the auditory nervous system (hearing disorder). Between the ages of 50 and 60 an individual may begin to admit slight problems in hearing. The clinical picture may be that of "difficulty hearing in noise," or "I can hear, I just can't understand," or "I have no problem hearing if people speak clearly." The individual misses key words and cannot discriminate easily in noisy situations. Frequently, the elderly will try to excuse their hearing loss by saying such things as "I hear what I want to hear," "I'm hard of listening," or "my wife says I have a hearing problem, but she mumbles." Typically, the audiogram will be that of a falling audiogram with hearing sensitivity falling within normal tolerances up to about 2,000 Hz and then gradually declining, getting poorer and poorer in the high frequencies. With advancing age, the higher frequencies will continue to decline in sensitivity with the lower frequencies following (Table). However, the audiometric configurations associated with advancing age may be augmented by previous hearing loss caused by noise exposure or disease. Hearing loss associated with advancing age is insidious in that our speech is well above our sensitivity and surrounding ambient noise. Therefore, the signal-to-noise ratio decreases as hearing loss increases. However, once a hearing loss begins to dramatically affect a person's communication skills and a hearing aid is applied, the environmental noise returns as a din, which is often unacceptable to the hard-of-hearing senior citizen. One of the

most common complaints regarding a hearing aid is "It's too noisy!" Hearing aids do have a certain amount of internal noise. However, there is no question that we live in a noisy society composed of sound being generated by air conditioners, radiators, typewriters, radios, fluorescent lights, electric fans, etc. The senior citizen who has been experiencing a gradual loss of sensitivity has, little by little, had the background noise reduced or eliminated by virtue of their hearing loss. Consequently, the hearing aid brings all of this noise back and many people find it intolerable.

Many individuals are under the misconception that short exposures to loud sound are not harmful to their hearing mechanism. This is a serious and even dangerous assumption. The hearing mechanism is a delicate sense organ, and its design is such that it can detect something as quiet as a snake slithering through leaves. The critical portion of the auditory system, the hair cells, are submicroscopic and consequently vulnerable to high-level impact sounds (firecrackers, shotguns) as well as to high-level prolonged sounds (jet engines, unmuffled vehicles, chain saws, etc). Among the potential hearing-damaging sound generators are: amplifying systems for electric guitars, public address systems, many of the percussion instruments, and certain wind instruments. It is, therefore, imperative that the musician recognize the potential hazards to his hearing such as loud sound, drugs, and trauma. Today, the scientific and scholarly community does not know enough about hearing to retard hearing loss associated with advancing age. However, preventative measures to protect the sensitivity of the hearing mechanism can be employed and is advocated. Further, exposure to exceedingly loud sound should be avoided, or when

unavoidable, appropriate protection for the ears should be used.

Department of Otolaryngology and Communicative Disorders
The Cleveland Clinic Foundation
9500 Euclid Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44106

References

1. Rosenblith WA. Industrial noises and industrial deafness. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1942; **13**: 220-225.
2. Davis H. Temporary deafness following exposure to loud tones and noise. *Acta Otolaryngol* 1950; (suppl 88).
3. Rintelmann WF, Borus JF. Noise-induced hearing loss and rock and roll music. *Arch Otolaryngol* 1968; **88**: 377-385.
4. Lipscomb DM. Ear damage from exposure to rock and roll music. *Arch Otolaryngol* 1969; **90**: 545-555.
5. Speaks C, Nelson D, Ward WD. Hearing loss in rock-and-roll musicians. *J Occup Med* 1970; **12**: 216-219.
6. Jerger J, Jerger S. Temporary threshold shift in rock-and-roll musicians. *J Speech Hear Res* 1970; **13**: 221-224.
7. Ulrich RF, Pinheiro ML. Temporary hearing losses in teenagers attending repeated rock-and-roll sessions. *Acta Otolaryngol* 1974; **7**: 51-55.
8. Flach M, Aschoff E. The risk of occupational deafness in musicians. *German Med Monthly* 1967; **12**: 49-54.
9. Lebo CP, Oliphant KP. Music as a source of acoustic trauma. *Laryngoscope* 1968; **78**: 1211-1218.
10. Westmore GA, Eversden ID. Noise-induced hearing loss in orchestral musicians. *Arch Otolaryngol* 1981; **107**: 761-764.
11. Karlsson K, Lundquist PG, Olaussen T. The hearing of symphony orchestra musicians. *Scand Audiol* 1983; **12**: 257-264.
12. Jansson E, Karlsson K. Sound levels recorded within the symphony orchestra and risk criteria for hearing loss. *Scand Audiol* 1983; **12**: 215-221.
13. Jacobs-Condit L, Fein D. Demographics on aging. Presented at the ASHA Directors' Conference, Boston, 1984.
14. Schuknecht HF. *Pathology of the Ear*. Cambridge, Mass, Harvard Univ Press, 1974.
15. Davis H, Silverman SR. *Hearing and Deafness*. New York, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 3rd ed, 1970, pp 111-113.