### **ADRIENNE BOISSY, MD, MA**

Chief Experience Officer, Department of Patient Experience; Staff Neurologist, Mellen Center for Multiple Sclerosis, Neurological Institute, Cleveland Clinic

# Labels matter: Challenging conversations or challenging people?

Anyone who has tried to appreciate the challenges we face in medicine has probably read the 1978 article by Groves, "Taking care of the hateful patient." This and a later article by Strous et al<sup>2</sup> label and group patients according to specific behaviors and, perhaps more importantly, how they make the clinician on the other end of the conversation feel.

See related article, page 535

How patients make us feel should not be underappreciated. Taking care of other human beings is a complex, intricate, intimate privilege. To characterize it as anything else—to simply consumerize it—is to not fully understand it.

Yet, now more than ever, the impact of challenges—not just with patients but in healthcare today—is staggering: 54% of US physicians report burnout,<sup>3</sup> and significant numbers would not choose medicine again as a career. Too much time spent charting (up to 2 hours in a recent study<sup>4</sup>) and less time spent connecting as human beings are driving the meaning out of medicine. Calls are growing for more empathy in medicine and better services to meet the needs of patients and caregivers alike.

# WORDS CAN STIGMATIZE, VALIDATE, DAMAGE, OR HEAL

As we read in the article by Schuermeyer et al in this issue of the *Journal*,<sup>5</sup> there are steps forward and also continued opportunities.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.84a.16128

The article begins to shift us from labeling patients as "dependent clingers" and "entitled demanders" to a much needed and more meaningful discussion about difficult patient behaviors and how we might more effectively respond to them.

Even if we need to apply them in medicine at times, our labeling the type of person a patient is or how the patient behaves carries tremendous significance to our patients and should not be applied lightly. Depending on the words or labels we choose, our words can stigmatize, validate, damage, or heal. Have no doubt, however, that our words will be remembered.

People are not the lab

## PATIENTS LABEL US, TOO

As a chief experience officer, I review thousands of patient comments every month. And what patients say is that although their medical care may be spectacular, their emotional needs and expectations are not always met. Despite both valid and less-valid criticisms of patient satisfaction surveys, we have an obligation to listen and learn. We too are fallible.

We too could be—and most certainly are—labeled by patients. "Insensitive," "uncaring," and "rude" are words I too often hear from patients as they comment on the care they received from their physicians. These labels certainly do not embody the profound caring at the core of the health-care profession, just as they do not embody our patients.

People are not the label we give them, or the disease they have

### LABELING ENDS REFLECTION

An additional and unforeseen risk to labeling is the end of meaningful reflection. When we label, we stop asking who this person is. What trauma did the person suffer that makes trust so difficult? What is he or she most afraid of? What am I contributing to this ineffective dialogue, and how can I adapt my own language and behavior? We have a professional responsibility to respond to frustration or challenges with patients, not with labeling in return, but with humility, listening, and reflection.

### BEYOND LABELS

To truly enhance communication and the experience of our patients, we must model empathic curiosity. People are not the label we give them. They are not the disease they have. The richness of their lives, experiences, and emotions cannot possibly be embodied in a single word that we have assigned. Our role as healers requires not judgment but the willingness to know more about who they are and the skill to more effectively express our intention and meaning. Only then will our patients feel truly "seen" and known by us.

To that end, there are a few models of effective communication. One of them, the Relationship: Establishment, Development, and Engagement (REDE) model, was developed at Cleveland Clinic,<sup>6</sup> and a recent study found that when physicians were trained in it, patient satisfaction, physician empathy, and burnout improved.<sup>7</sup> Another, the Four Habit model, has been effectively used by Kaiser Permanente for decades.<sup>8</sup> These models provide a

framework and detailed skills that can be used with any patient, loved one, or colleague, especially those we find "challenging."

In addition, Groves and Schuermeyer et al highlight the impact these difficult conversations have on the clinician. Because most clinicians care deeply about the patients they serve, they are haunted by conversations that don't go well. When patients are unhappy or angry with our care, we often feel that it is our fault or that we have failed in some way. Alternatively, we seek to distance ourselves from the patient we find challenging.

### **EMPATHY IS HARD WORK**

The most difficult work actually goes on in the space between withdrawing from our patients in anger and continuing to enable inappropriate behavior at an emotional cost to ourselves and our colleagues. That in-between space is an opportunity for the clinician to set boundaries and be consistent, while also seeking to build relationships based on empathy and trust. Otherwise, both parties walk away labeling each other, which prevents us from building relationships with the patients whom we find difficult. Relationships still matter in healthcare and have therapeutic benefits for our patients and ourselves.

Empathy is hard work. When we connect with the patient in front of us, empathy may be easy. Yet the real need for empathy is when we don't connect with the person in front of us—when we feel frustrated, tired, and angry. And I believe as healers—not just doctors—we are absolutely up for the challenge.

# I believe as healers we are absolutely up for the challenge

### REFERENCES

- Groves JE. Taking care of the hateful patient. N Engl J Med 1978; 298:883–887
- Strous RD, Ulman AM, Kotler M. The hateful patient revisited: relevance for 21st century medicine. Eur J Intern Med 2006; 17:387–393.
- Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Dyrbye LN, et al. Changes in burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance in physicians and the general US working population between 2011 and 2014. Mayo Clin Proc 2015; 90:1600–1613.
- Sinsky C, Colligan L, Li L, et al. Allocation of physician time in ambulatory practice: a time and motion study in 4 specialties. Ann Intern Med 2016: 165:753–760.
- Schuermeyer IN, Sieke E, Dickstein L, Falcone T, Franco K. When patients challenge you: Strategies for communication. Cleve Clin J Med 2017; 84:535–542.
- Windover AK, Boissy A, Rice TW, Gilligan T, Velez VJ, Merlino J. The REDE model of healthcare communication: optimizing relationship as a therapeutic agent. J Patient Exper 2014; 1:8–13
- Boissy A, Windover AK, Bokar D, et al. Communication skills training for physicians improves patient satisfaction. J Gen Intern Med 2016; 31:755–761.
- Stein T, Frankel RM, Krupat E. Enhancing clinician communication skills in a large healthcare organization: a longitudinal case study. Patient Educ Couns 2005; 58:4–12.

ADDRESS: Adrienne Boissy, MD, MA, Office of Patient Experience, NA4, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; boissya@ccf.org